

Ohio Department of Transportation

Prebid Questions

Project No. 070055

Sale Date - 3/7/2007

Question Submitted: 1/22/2007

Question Number: 1

Plans and specifications for the vehicular signal heads on this project currently do not call for LEDs. However, past projects for the City of Oxford have contained LEDs. Are LEDs required for Bid References 126, 127, and 128? If so, should supplemental specification 872 dated 4/21/2006 be referenced.

Question Submitted: 1/22/2007

Question Number: 2

Plans and specifications for the vehicular signal heads on this project currently do not call for LEDs. However, past projects for the City of Oxford have contained LEDs. Are LEDs required for Bid References 126, 127, and 128? If so, should supplemental specification 872 dated 4/21/2006 be referenced.

Question Submitted: 1/24/2007

Question Number: 3

I have contacted CSX RR concerning the 36" Conduit, Bored and Jacked at Locust. I spoke with Mel McNickels in order to gather the information needed to be included in this item, per the contract documents. Mel is in the Public Projects Division over this area and could only speak in regards to the Engineering Division. He is unaware of any activity for this crossing. There needs to be a Contract between the City of Oxford and CSX for the proposed work. The Engineering Division has not seen the plans. This being the case, I was told that it would be impossible to estimate an associated cost for the proposed work by CSX. How is the Contractor supposed to quote this work without being able to acquire the cost estimates from CSX?

Question Submitted: 1/24/2007

Question Number: 4

I have contacted CSX RR concerning the 36" Conduit, Bored and Jacked at Locust. I spoke with Mel McNickels in order to gather the information needed to be included in this item, per the contract documents. Mel is in the Public Projects Division over this area and could only speak in regards to the Engineering Division. He is unaware of any activity for this crossing. There needs to be a Contract between the City of Oxford and CSX for the proposed work. The Engineering Division has not seen the plans. This being the case, I was told that it would be impossible to estimate an associated cost for the proposed work by CSX. How is the Contractor supposed to quote this work without being able to acquire the cost estimates from CSX?

Question Submitted: 1/26/2007

Question Number: 5

1. Are there any soil borings for this project? With the amount of storm sewer and Jack and Bore under the railroad, soil borings would be very helpful when putting our bid together. 2. Plan sheets 4 and 5: the typical sections show all the sidewalk as #8 - 6" Concrete Traffic Islands.

Question Submitted: 1/26/2007

Question Number: 6

Page 12/147 right hand column discusses conduit bored or jacked under the railroad. 1. Paragraph 7 states "The contractor will execute a bond in favor of both the state and the company as required by section 5525.16 of the revised code of Ohio" Please clarify the requirements of a bond to the company. Section 5525.16.1 requires a bond to the railroad in the case of grade separation, the work in this project is boring a conduit under the railroad not a grade separation. 2. The proposal does not include an item for railroad insurance nor is there any information concerning the number of trains per day. Will railroad insurance be required and for what aggregate amounts? Please advise the rail traffic per day.

Question Submitted: 1/26/2007

Question Number: 7

1. Are there any soil borings for this project? With the amount of storm sewer and Jack and Bore under the railroad, soil borings would be very helpful when putting our bid together. 2. Plan sheets 4 and 5: the typical sections show all the sidewalk as #8 - 6" Concrete Traffic Islands.

Question Submitted: 1/26/2007

Question Number: 8

Page 12/147 right hand column discusses conduit bored or jacked under the railroad. 1. Paragraph 7 states "The contractor will execute a bond in favor of both the state and the company as required by section 5525.16 of the revised code of Ohio" Please clarify the requirements of a bond to the company. Section 5525.16.1 requires a bond to the railroad in the case of grade separation, the work in this project is boring a conduit under the railroad not a grade separation. 2. The proposal does not include an item for railroad insurance nor is there any information concerning the number of trains per day. Will railroad insurance be required and for what aggregate amounts? Please advise the rail traffic per day.

Question Submitted: 1/27/2007

Question Number: 9

The project maintenance of traffic plans are very specific as to what to do with the traffic on Locust between High street and 127. On Locust, from High street south there are no details for the maintenance of traffic. There is a bore pit that will be required at station 16+10 (South side of the rail road) that will occupy all of the north bound lanes and most of the south bound lanes. What is the departments intention to do with the traffic while this is being constructed?

All prospective bidders, subcontractors, suppliers, materialmen and all others who have an interest in these prebid questions and answers are advised that these items are being provided for informational purposes only and are not part of the bidding documents. If a question warrants a clarification, the Department will issue an addenda addressing the request for clarification to all plan holders. If the Department believes that the bidding documents adequately address the request, the contractor will be advised accordingly.

Ohio Department of Transportation Prebid Questions

Question Submitted: 1/27/2007

Question Number: 10

Page number 131 in the plans shows Detail "A" for reference number 98 in the proposal. This detail shows a 1" copper line from the main to the water meter pit. The note on the bottom right of page 129 in the plans, for this detail, states "Applicable provisions of item 638 shall govern this work." According to 638.19 copper service branches will be paid for by the foot. When this meter is relocated will 1" service line be required? If so, shouldn't a pay item be added to the project for the 1" copper?

Question Submitted: 1/27/2007

Question Number: 11

The project maintenance of traffic plans are very specific as to what to do with the traffic on Locust between High street and 127. On Locust, from High street south there are no details for the maintenance of traffic. There is a bore pit that will be required at station 16+10 (South side of the rail road) that will occupy all of the north bound lanes and most of the south bound lanes. What is the departments intention to do with the traffic while this is being constructed?

Question Submitted: 1/27/2007

Question Number: 12

Page number 131 in the plans shows Detail "A" for reference number 98 in the proposal. This detail shows a 1" copper line from the main to the water meter pit. The note on the bottom right of page 129 in the plans, for this detail, states "Applicable provisions of item 638 shall govern this work." According to 638.19 copper service branches will be paid for by the foot. When this meter is relocated will 1" service line be required? If so, shouldn't a pay item be added to the project for the 1" copper?

Question Submitted: 1/29/2007

Question Number: 13

There is an Item 633 controller unit type TS2/A2 with cabinet type TS1 summarized in the plans, however, the item is not listed as a reference number in the Contract Letting Pamphlet. Please advise. Thank you

Question Submitted: 1/29/2007

Question Number: 14

There is an Item 633 controller unit type TS2/A2 with cabinet type TS1 summarized in the plans, however, the item is not listed as a reference number in the Contract Letting Pamphlet. Please advise. Thank you

Question Submitted: 3/5/2007

Question Number: 15

In Addendum 4, you state that soils boring will not be required because the RR requires them for pipe 48" and larger. Please note that the installation of the 36" RCP will require a 54" or 60" casing, which exceeds the 48" threshold. Will ODOT provide soil boring (minimum of 2) along the centerline of the proposed bore per the RR specifications prior to the opening? If no, will ODOT make an allowance for reimbursement to the contractor when the Railroad requires the soil testing?

No, please bid accordingly.

All prospective bidders, subcontractors, suppliers, materialmen and all others who have an interest in these prebid questions and answers are advised that these items are being provided for informational purposes only and are not part of the bidding documents. If a question warrants a clarification, the Department will issue an addenda addressing the request for clarification to all plan holders. If the Department believes that the bidding documents adequately address the request, the contractor will be advised accordingly.