

Ohio Department of Transportation

Prebid Questions

Project No. 090244

Sale Date - 5/20/2009

Question Submitted: 11/14/2008

Question Number: 1

Will the current version of SS840 dated 01/16/2009 be allowed for this project?

Question Submitted: 11/14/2008

Question Number: 2

Will SIP metal deck forms be permitted on this project?

Question Submitted: 11/14/2008

Question Number: 3

Can piling be pre-driven prior to embankment construction and then driven to bearing upon completion of contract required settlement period?

Question Submitted: 11/14/2008

Question Number: 4

Please verify the plan qty. for Bid Item 242 - QC/QA Superstructure -- 243 CY. When diaphragm concrete is included we exceed this qty. Also verify Bid Item 244 - QC/QA Parapets -- 57 CY includes parapet concrete on the approach slabs.

Question Submitted: 11/14/2008

Question Number: 5

It appears that there will be a conflict between the 54" Conduits running parallel to SR 73 and the proposed MSE walls at the Nelson Road overpass. These conduits are only 20' from the MSE wall, and I believe that the reinforcing straps at the bottom of those walls will conflict with these conduits.

Question Submitted: 11/14/2008

Question Number: 6

The plan qtys. for all concrete items associated with CLI-73-0825 L&R appear to be in error. The parapet qtys. (Ref. Nos. 279 & 312) do not appear to include qtys. on the approach slabs. The deck and substructure qtys. are grossly in error (Ref. Nos. 277, 280, 310, and 313)

Question Submitted: 11/17/2008

Question Number: 7

Please make the digital design files (.dgn, .gpk, .xsr) available on the FTP site for this project. Thanks

<ftp://ftp.dot.state.oh.us/pub/Contracts/Attach/CLI-78571/>

Question Submitted: 11/17/2008

Question Number: 8

The response to my request for digital design files included an FTP link to the wrong project. The files still need to be posted to the site for this project.

Question Submitted: 11/17/2008

Question Number: 9

We are requesting that the work type percentage performed by the prime contractor be reduced from 50% to 40%.

The Department respectfully declines to change the WT percentage.

Question Submitted: 11/17/2008

Question Number: 10

We are requesting that ODOT consider adding a concrete pavement option to compete against the asphalt base bid. This was done on the previous section of Clinton 73 (ODOT Project 080507) and considerably increased the competition on the pavement portion of the project.

Question Submitted: 11/17/2008

Question Number: 11

Could all of the electronic files be made available?

All prospective bidders, subcontractors, suppliers, materialmen and all others who have an interest in these prebid questions and answers are advised that these items are being provided for informational purposes only and are not part of the bidding documents. If a question warrants a clarification, the Department will issue an addenda addressing the request for clarification to all plan holders. If the Department believes that the bidding documents adequately address the request, the contractor will be advised accordingly.

Ohio Department of Transportation Prebid Questions

Question Submitted: 4/30/2009

Question Number: 12

The proposal gives an assortment of pipes that can be used. These options have a lighter wall thickness than supplemental specification 800. Can you please clarify which is to be used, the proposal or ss 800.

Question Submitted: 4/30/2009

Question Number: 13

Ref #15 - Embankment, As Per Plan, note is referenced on plan sheet 118/482, on the lower left corner of the plan sheet, "Embankment, As Per Plan" directs the reader to see the special provisions. On page 23/462 "General Notes" lower right hand corner I find the following: Item 203 EMBANKMENT, AS PER PLAN Embankment placed with geogrid reinforcement shall be furnished and placed where indicated in the plans in accordance with the special provision for Geogrid Reinforcement of soil embankment. In accordance with the special provisions, this embankment shall be paid for as cubic yards of Item 205 Embankment, As Per Plan. Please note, Item #205 is Chemically Stabilized Embankment. Note: First the item number is changed from 203 to 205. Second I can not find the special provision - Geogrid Reinforcement of soil embankment. Please clarify.

Question Submitted: 4/30/2009

Question Number: 14

We respectfully request control for this project be reduced to 40%. Reducing control will allow for more contractors to submit competitive bids on this project

Question Submitted: 4/30/2009

Question Number: 15

Plan Pages 386, 388, and 390 each list an Uninterruptible Power Supply (UPS), 1000 watt in the intersection sub summary. These items are not carried forward to the general summary or proposal. Are these required? Will an addendum be issued with a pay item for these materials?

Question Submitted: 5/5/2009

Question Number: 16

Will the current version of SS832 dated 04/17/2009 be applied to this project?

All prospective bidders, subcontractors, suppliers, materialmen and all others who have an interest in these prebid questions and answers are advised that these items are being provided for informational purposes only and are not part of the bidding documents. If a question warrants a clarification, the Department will issue an addenda addressing the request for clarification to all plan holders. If the Department believes that the bidding documents adequately address the request, the contractor will be advised accordingly.