

Ohio Department of Transportation

Prebid Questions

Project No. 060214

Sale Date - 5/3/2006

Question Submitted: 4/24/2006

Question Number: 1

The plan note for trench, APP, requires granular limestone backfill for all trenches. This is unusual for traffic signal trench backfill. Is it intended for this note to apply to the traffic signals as well as the drainage items?

This is a City of Olmsted Falls requirement for all utility trenches.

Question Submitted: 4/26/2006

Question Number: 2

Plan page 6/77, center column, last paragraph, Item 630 And Item 632 - Alternate Foundations. There is not an item in the proposal for this item, and the foundation description that directs the contractor to page 8 for a detail does not exist. Will an item be added or the note removed?

The detail for alternate foundations is on sheet 18 of the plans. The detail is an alternate foundation in the event that the standard foundation cannot be built. The foundation is still paid for under Item 632- Strain Pole Foundation. A hole that is drilled but will not work is paid under "Foundation Test Hole."

Question Submitted: 4/26/2006

Question Number: 3

Plan page 6/77 "Item 625 Trench, As Per Plan" indicates all trench is to be back filled to grade with granular material. Is it the Owners intention to fill to grade even when the trench is in a grassy or tree lawn area? will the item be modified or a new item added?

The trench backfill is a City of Olmsted Falls requirement.

Question Submitted: 4/27/2006

Question Number: 4

Reference #119 Confirmation Light:

The general notes for this item on plan page 7/77 shows the mounting hardware to use a mini-brac and other accessories. However, the intersection drawings shows the confirmation light to be mounted on the span wire in the center of the direction of travel for the appropriate preemption phase. Please clarify how these are to be mounted, using the mini-brac or span wire mounted.

Thank you for pointing out this inconsistency in the plans. Because the dollar value of this inconsistency is relatively small compared to the value of the entire project, we will not delay the scheduled sale date in order to make a correction. The light will be mounted on the span wire.

Question Submitted: 4/27/2006

Question Number: 5

Reference numbers 084 & 085 to re-lamp existing pedestrian signals. The bid tabulation has separate bid items for the HAND and WALKING PERSON, however, the plan set show a D2 style pedestrian signal. In the D2 style pedestrian signal the LED is a single unit and cannot be priced out separately. Please verify if the existing pedestrian signals are A2 or D2 style. If they are D2 style will there be an addendum released changing the bid tabulation?

Thank you for pointing out this inconsistency in the plans. Because the dollar value of this inconsistency is relatively small compared to the value of the entire project, we will not delay the scheduled sale date in order to make a correction. The existing pedestrian heads are D2 style. Please divide the cost of the replacement LED lamp unit between the two bid items.

Question Submitted: 4/27/2006

Question Number: 6

Reference Numbers #076 Sign Flasher Assembly & #077 School Speed Limit Sign:

The general summary on plan page 11/77 shows quantities for both of these items on sub-summary sheets 21 and 23. However, on the sub-summary sheets only plan page 21/77 has a quantity for these items, plan page 23/77 does not have any quantity for either one of these items. Moreover, I did not see the totals required by the bid tabulation shown on the plans anywhere. Was the quantities shown on the general summary and bid tabulation a mistake? If not please state where the quantities supposed to be shown on 23/77 are actually located.

Question Submitted: 4/27/2006

Question Number: 7

On plan sheet 51/77, what is the required depth for the 6" steel casing under the railroad tracks, and what is the required depth for the proposed manholes?

Question Submitted: 5/3/2006

Question Number: 8

In the proposal, in the wage rate section, some electrical rates are missing, the rates agreed on by Local 71 and it's members. Local 71 has advised U S Utility that their rates were submitted but never incorporated into the project. The Local 71 agreement contains the following rates: DOT / Traffic Signal and Highway Lighting Projects, Effective Jan. 1, 2006, Gen Foreman \$31.91 Foreman \$30.53 Sub Foreman 29.14 Journeyman Lineman \$27.75 Operator \$24.98 Groundman \$18.04 Please advise, these rates should be added to the wage rate section of this project to allow for competitive bidding.

All prospective bidders, subcontractors, suppliers, materialmen and all others who have an interest in these prebid questions and answers are advised that these items are being provided for informational purposes only and are not part of the bidding documents. If a question warrants a clarification, the Department will issue an addenda addressing the request for clarification to all plan holders. If the Department believes that the bidding documents adequately address the request, the contractor will be advised accordingly.