

Ohio Department of Transportation

Prebid Questions

Project No. 050111

Sale Date - 2/23/2005

Question Submitted: 2/14/2005

Question Number: 1

#1. Would ODOT consider changing the #646 Epoxy Paint on I-71 in Morrow and Delaware County to polyester or traffic paint? Per spec. for Epoxy the temporary paint has to be removed, this operation may damage the Micro-Surfacing due to its thickness.

#2. Would ODOT consider waiving the night time restrictions on I-71 in Morrow and Delaware County for placement of the #617 Compacted Aggregate on shoulders?

#3. Would ODOT consider waiving night time restrictions for Item #251 Pavement Repair on I-71?

A1: Epoxy pavement markings have been placed on resurfacing projects in the past without a problem. One method the contractor may use is to stagger the work zone lane lines in relation to the permanent marking locations. A2: No, work in the daytime in this area has caused backups so the times in the plan will remain. A: No, work in the daytime in this area has caused backups so the times in the plan will remain.

Question Submitted: 2/14/2005

Question Number: 2

#1. Would ODOT consider changing the #646 Epoxy Paint on I-71 in Morrow and Delaware County to polyester or traffic paint? Per spec. for Epoxy the temporary paint has to be removed, this operation may damage the Micro-Surfacing due to its thickness.

#3. Would ODOT consider waiving the night time restrictions on I-71 in Morrow and Delaware County for placement of the #617 Compacted Aggregate on shoulders?

#3. Would ODOT consider waiving night time restrictions for Item #251 Pavement Repair on I-71?

All prospective bidders, subcontractors, suppliers, materialmen and all others who have an interest in these prebid questions and answers are advised that these items are being provided for informational purposes only and are not part of the bidding documents. If a question warrants a clarification, the Department will issue an addenda addressing the request for clarification to all plan holders. If the Department believes that the bidding documents adequately address the request, the contractor will be advised accordingly.

Ohio Department of Transportation

Prebid Questions

Project No. 040357

Sale Date - 6/2/2004

Question Submitted: 5/13/2004

Question Number: 1

Ref, Item #202, Raised Pavement Markers, Third Column, Page 2 of 65 of plans.

Last paragraph of this section says that all depressions to be filled with asphalt concrete, not with proposed surface treatment. We are requesting that it be changed to permit Micro-Surfacing to be used in the Micro-Surface sections of the project.

Please see Item #421 Micro-Surfacing in 2002 Spec Book, page 254 Item #421.08 Surface Preparation. This section permits use of Micro-Surfacing for filling the depressions caused by RPM removal.

We are warranting the Micro-Surfacing and have concerns about using hot mix in this application under the Micro and how it will affect our warranty. Please note: Micro-Surfacing has been used for filling these depressions on state wide projects for years with excellent results.

Question Submitted: 5/18/2004

Question Number: 2

This question is in regards to the Construction Layout Stakes required under plan note on page 4/65. Locations 1, 2, 5, 8 and 11 are micro-surfacing locations. The micro-surfacing is being paid for by the square yard. The construction layout stakes are of no practical use to the Contractor nor do we see the stakes being of any use to ODOT. The placement of these stakes at 100-ft intervals would require the placement of approximately 1300 stakes. We feel that by excluding these locations from this requirement it would save the Contractor time and ODOT the cost associated with the placement, maintenance and removal of said staking.

Question Submitted: 5/24/2004

Question Number: 3

This question is in regards to the extra area work required under the micro-surfacing section of this contract. The Typical Details on page 17/65 show placing micro-surfacing material back onto driveway approaches whether these driveways are gravel, asphalt or concrete. Also another detail on this page shows placing micro-surfacing material back into intersecting roadways.

This is where the problem exists with these details. Micro-surfacing can not be placed on gravel or concrete. If this work were to be done it would require separate set-up and placement with the micro-surfacing equipment. These areas can not be covered as the micro-surfacing machine paves the mainline area (as an asphalt paver would). We understand that these details are typical and required for asphalt overlays to provide for a smooth transition from the intersecting roadway or driveway to the new pavement. But with micro-surfacing being a very thin overlay (in the range of 1/4" to 1/2") and having the ability to taper the outer edge to almost 0", these details will add considerable cost and time to this project.

We request that these extra work areas for driveways and intersecting roadways be removed from the contract.

All prospective bidders, subcontractors, suppliers, materialmen and all others who have an interest in these prebid questions and answers are advised that these items are being provided for informational purposes only and are not part of the bidding documents. If a question warrants a clarification, the Department will issue an addenda addressing the request for clarification to all plan holders. If the Department believes that the bidding documents adequately address the request, the contractor will be advised accordingly.