

# Ohio Department of Transportation - Prebid Questions

Project No. 108052

Sale Date - 7/29/2010

FRA-85347 - IR-70-23.91

Question Submitted: 7/27/2010 12:29:47 PM

There is no wearing course removal set up in the quantities for the bridge deck. It is mentioned on Pg 10/14. If no wearing course removal is to be done on the decks, then we will need to add tapers for the 2" overlay. Will the deck be planed or should we figure additional material for tapers?

**No wearing course removal is to be done on the deck. The previously issued addendum addresses the additional pay items required to provide a taper down to existing pavement from the 2" surface placed on the deck.**

Question Submitted: 7/27/2010 11:44:35 AM

The SB closures are allowed on 2 consecutive weekends. If the work can not be completed in this time frame, can 1 lane nighttime restrictions be utilized to complete the SB, similar to the time restrictions for the NB closures?

**Given the Department's clarification on the intent of the project and scope of the work the given traffic restrictions will remain as given in the plan.**

Question Submitted: 7/27/2010 10:34:50 AM

The paint system on the girders will be damaged from the grinding & welding of the decking's new steel angle supports. Will the paint system need to be repaired?

**The Department's intent is to extend the short term service life of the structure. The areas damaged by the installation of the false decking will not require paint system repair.**

Question Submitted: 7/27/2010 9:19:45 AM

After seeing the site, it is apparent that there will be a substantial amount of the deck that, when sounded, will require full depth repairs. Is it your intent to use the "Patching Concrete Bridge Decks" pay item to perform both the partial depth and the full depth repairs? This will require additional MOT costs, traffic protection, etc. Please Clarify

**This Bridge Deck will be replaced in the near future. The intent of this project is to perform primarily partial depth repairs on areas using the "Patching Concrete Bridge Decks" item that are in immediate need of repairs to allow the placement of the waterproofing asphalt overlay. Given the Department's intent to extend only the near term service life of the deck we do not anticipate the need for the additional costs referenced.**

Question Submitted: 7/26/2010 4:02:05 PM

Confirming the 1st prebid question, the quantity is in error. The published quantity is 100% of the deck area and not the 20% the plans said were to be included in the proposal. We have yet to see the addendum that the answer to the prebid question said was posted. Also, there is a problem getting the grade 50w angle called out in the plans. Both the grade and the "w" designation are issues. Can a different angle be used?

**The 1st prebid question has been answered and has been posted. The grade of steel required is ASTM A709 Grade 50W. If a particular supplier does not carry the angle size proposed in the plan the contractor may substitute an angle of greater thickness and greater or equal leg length of the required grade as necessary. This cost is to be included with REF# 0015.**

Question Submitted: 7/23/2010 6:01:04 PM

Can you verify the proposed quantity for Ref. 14, the plans are showing 2978 sy, which is estimated at 20% of the deck area. This seems to be excessive considering the size of the bridge.

**See addendum 1**