

Ohio Department of Transportation

Prebid Questions

Project No. 060064

Sale Date - 2/15/2006

Question Submitted: 1/4/2006

Question Number: 1

Both required Critical Path Method - are these projects over 5 million or 3 Phrase - Why the expense of this type of scheduling.

Project GUE-541-7.04/8.20 has A+B bidding in PN 124 therefore PN127 is required.

Question Submitted: 2/13/2006

Question Number: 2

The addendum issued on 2/10/06 changes the 144" Liner Plate to a .2092 minimum thickness. The original item description held that "base metal composition, depth and span of the corrugations, and size and spacing of bolts and bolts holes shall be in accordance with the details of the manufacturer". Why the change to .2092" thickness when a more economical thickness in a particular type of Liner Plate would be structurally acceptable? In specifying only one minimum thickness requirement for only one of the types of Liner Plate commercially available ODOT has likely eliminated any material cost savings associated with the different types of Liner Plate. Is this ODOT's intention or would a lighter thickness in a more efficient Liner Plate design be considered?

The District believes that the minimum thickness required is necessary.

All prospective bidders, subcontractors, suppliers, materialmen and all others who have an interest in these prebid questions and answers are advised that these items are being provided for informational purposes only and are not part of the bidding documents. If a question warrants a clarification, the Department will issue an addenda addressing the request for clarification to all plan holders. If the Department believes that the bidding documents adequately address the request, the contractor will be advised accordingly.