

Ohio Department of Transportation

Prebid Questions

Project No. 090001

Sale Date - 1/28/2009

Question Submitted: 3/16/2009

Question Number: 1

Please make available copies of the existing structure drawings. Thank you.

<ftp://ftp.dot.state.oh.us/pub/Contracts/Attach/HAM-75567/>

Question Submitted: 3/16/2009

Question Number: 2

The plans detail using PCB as part of the mot to perform the weekend bridge deck overlay work. The weekend overlay work is very condensed to begin with, now adding placement and removal of the PCB makes the situation very tough. You cannot remove the PCB until the deck is out of cure. Please review this situation to see if PCB is really necessary. Also, could the designers breakdown of quantity of PCB be made available.

Question Submitted: 3/16/2009

Question Number: 3

In reviewing the mot setups for weekend deck overlays, will ramps A & B be allowed to be closed. It appears that the accel and decel lane will be very short when overlays will be done on the outside(ramp) bridge deck lanes. Please review.

Question Submitted: 3/16/2009

Question Number: 4

On page 436 for Structures HAM-275-0312 L/R on the 514 - Field Painting it says to paint all steel within 15' of beam ends. Is this correct or are the entire structures to be painted?

Question Submitted: 3/16/2009

Question Number: 5

Please clarify the complete light pole design for reference number 0072 and 0073, item code 625E10490.

The light pole design information can be found in the lighting plans at the locations the light poles are to be placed.

Question Submitted: 3/16/2009

Question Number: 6

Are soil borings for the project available? If so, where can they be located?

<ftp://dot.state.oh.us/pub/Contracts/Attach/ham75567>.

Question Submitted: 3/16/2009

Question Number: 7

On drawing 14/482 for the Item Special Misc.: Consultant for Concrete Quality Control Including Testing and Inspection, it states that testing concrete for structures and Portland cement concrete pavement shall be performed as outlined in Supplemental Specification 898 and 888 respectively. There are no work types listed in the unit price contract which reference Supplemental Specification 888, and Supplemental Specification 888 is not listed with the other Supplemental Specifications on drawing 1/482. Please clarify if Supplemental Specification 888 for testing Portland cement concrete pavement is required for this project.

Supplemental Specification 888 is not required for this project.

Question Submitted: 3/16/2009

Question Number: 8

the general summary refers to the pavement quantities as office calculations. are the office calculations available?

<ftp://ftp.dot.state.oh.us/pub/Contracts/Attach/HAM-75567/>

Question Submitted: 3/16/2009

Question Number: 9

On plan sheet 23 the Ramp A & Ramp C Cross Sections indicate Temporary Guardrail, however there are no pay items for the Temporary Guardrail Type 5, or for Anchor Assemblies as end treatments. Please advise here this guardrail will be paid for.

The temporary guardrail is not required and should be removed from the Ramp A and Ramp C typical sections for Phase 1b.

All prospective bidders, subcontractors, suppliers, materialmen and all others who have an interest in these prebid questions and answers are advised that these items are being provided for informational purposes only and are not part of the bidding documents. If a question warrants a clarification, the Department will issue an addenda addressing the request for clarification to all plan holders. If the Department believes that the bidding documents adequately address the request, the contractor will be advised accordingly.

Ohio Department of Transportation Prebid Questions

Question Submitted: 3/16/2009

Question Number: 10

The Railing Faced items for structures 0034 L&R, 0312 L&R and 0397 L&R require removing 1.125" of existing concrete from the upper 1'-5" of the existing fascia concrete bridge barrier walls. Please refer to drawing no. 444, Removal Section. However, for Structure 0304 L&R, this removal is not required. The designer increased the width of the new Railing Faced section by 1"; thereby, eliminating the removal of 1.25" of existing concrete. See drawing no. 414, Proposed Section and Removal Section. In the same section on drawing no. 414, the minimum thickness of the new concrete will be about 3.7". Is this satisfactory, or will additional concrete have to be removed to have 4" of clearance? May we base our proposal for this project by assuming that Railing Faced details for 0034, 0312 and 0397 will be changed to reflect the details of 0304, Sheet 414? This change will eliminate the 1.125" removal of existing concrete for the upper 1'-5" of 5000 lf of existing bridge barrier wall. Thanks.

Question Submitted: 3/17/2009

Question Number: 11

Per Item 622 single-slope barrier type-D, there needs to be an item for a end-anchorage @ an end that doesn't require a end-section. RM 4.5(2/2)

Question Submitted: 3/17/2009

Question Number: 12

In the general notes for bridge structures HAM-275-0034L/R, HAM-275-0304L/R, HAM-275-0312L/R, and HAM-275-0397L/R, Item 517 Railing Faced states that the concrete is to be Class QSC2. Please clarify if this concrete is to be tested per Supplemental Specification 898, and please provide quantities for this concrete.

Question Submitted: 3/17/2009

Question Number: 13

Is there any additional information available in regards to location for Ref. 0408 Linear Grading added to the proposal as part of Addendum No. 2?

All prospective bidders, subcontractors, suppliers, materialmen and all others who have an interest in these prebid questions and answers are advised that these items are being provided for informational purposes only and are not part of the bidding documents. If a question warrants a clarification, the Department will issue an addenda addressing the request for clarification to all plan holders. If the Department believes that the bidding documents adequately address the request, the contractor will be advised accordingly.