

# Ohio Department of Transportation

## Prebid Questions

Project No. 060375

Sale Date - 8/9/2006

Question Submitted: 7/17/2006

Question Number: 1

1. page 5 in the plans refers to bid item 614 - law enforcement officer with patrol car 200 hours. this item is not on the bid sheet. should this item be added?
2. will there need to be transverse lines and channelizing lines for the ramps?
3. is it really necessary to spec 446 on this job?
4. please review items 2 (203 excavation) and 6 (301 base). quantities do not seem right. please provide some information and/or details for this work.

thank you for you time on these matters.

Question Submitted: 7/26/2006

Question Number: 2

1. ref #4 pavement planing, as per plan (heaved joints). are we just suppose to take the tops off? if so, what is ref #5 patching planed surface for? or are we suppose to treat these like a partial depth repair? please provide a detail or more information for this work.

1a. will there be butt joints on the job to grind? (beginning and end of job, ramps)

2. page 29/29 in the plans refers to rpm's being placed 530 each. there is no bid item for this. should there be a bid item for rpm's?

Question Submitted: 7/31/2006

Question Number: 3

1. On sheets 17 and 18 of project plans it shows reducing the number of westbound lanes from five to three between station 1174+00 and 1182+50. Is this ODOT's intent?
2. Since the plan is moving traffic 8.5 feet to the north, is it the intent of the plans to switch the resurfaced area each night which would mean the Contractor would have to shift traffic mid-way each night to get the proper lane widths or is it the intent to switch WB traffic to the new configuration prior to the resurfacing but after the pavement widening is complete?
3. On sheet 28 it shows the traffic shift will be completed east of station 1348+79.50 but no resurfacing is scheduled east of this station. Traffic will be driving across the rumble strips on the north shoulder since the traffic switch onto the shoulder must be accomplished between the gore @ US 42 and station 1348+79.50. Is this ODOT's intent?

**1. No. That was definitely a design oversight and amended sheets are being sent via an addendum. 2. The contract calls for the contractor to submit a Maintenance of Traffic plan for approval. Items such as this would be included. 3. No. A forthcoming addendum has added an item to fill in rumble strips over which traffic will drive.**

Question Submitted: 8/1/2006

Question Number: 4

Since the proposed lane configuration and the existing lane configuration are considerably different, in order to get from the existing lane configuration to the proposed lane configuration, we need some information concerning minimum and maximum allowable temporary lane widths. I believe that a 10 ft temporary minimum width is necessary to make the operation feasible. Also, the plans show how the proposed configuration transitions to the existing configuration at the west end, but at the east end, it just jumps from the existing section to the proposed section. Will the transition be made on the new pavement or the old pavement?

All prospective bidders, subcontractors, suppliers, materialmen and all others who have an interest in these prebid questions and answers are advised that these items are being provided for informational purposes only and are not part of the bidding documents. If a question warrants a clarification, the Department will issue an addenda addressing the request for clarification to all plan holders. If the Department believes that the bidding documents adequately address the request, the contractor will be advised accordingly.