

Ohio Department of Transportation

Prebid Questions

Project No. 066015

Sale Date - 5/3/2006

Question Submitted: 5/2/2006

Question Number: 1

In the bid proposal, in the wage rate section on page 1 the rates agreed on by Local 71 and it's people do not appear in the proposal.

Local 71 advised U S Utility that these rate were submitted but as stated do not appear.

The Local 71 agreement contains the following rates:

DOT / Traffic Signal and Highway Lighting Projects

General Foreman \$31.91

Foreman \$30.53

Sub Foreman \$29.14

Journeyman Lineman \$27.75

Operator \$24.98

Groundman \$18.04

These rates are effective as of Jan. 01, 2006

These rates should be added to allow fair and competitive bidding.

U S Utility intends to use these rates.

Please advise how the Department will proceed.

Bidder should submit bids which are based upon the wage rate given in the bidding documents.

All prospective bidders, subcontractors, suppliers, materialmen and all others who have an interest in these prebid questions and answers are advised that these items are being provided for informational purposes only and are not part of the bidding documents. If a question warrants a clarification, the Department will issue an addenda addressing the request for clarification to all plan holders. If the Department believes that the bidding documents adequately address the request, the contractor will be advised accordingly.

Ohio Department of Transportation

Prebid Questions

Project No. 050352

Sale Date - 7/13/2005

Question Submitted: 5/31/2005

Question Number: 1

Regarding general maintenance of traffic- would it be possible to do the southbound widening of SR 58 (per plan sheet 13) while doing the westbound widening of the north side of US 20 (Station 344+50 to SR 58 per plan sheet 9)? There are plan notes allowing the other three sides of the intersection (Southwest side, Southeast side, and Northeast side) to be done concurrently.

Question Submitted: 5/31/2005

Question Number: 2

Plan sheet 8 note regarding Overnight Trench Closing- this calls for base widening to be completed to a depth of no more than 3.25" below the existing pavement edge by the end of each day.

How is the contractor supposed to abide by this requirement when there is subgrade stabilization setup for widened areas, given the cure time required for stabilized subgrade per specification 206.04 and 206.05?

Question Submitted: 6/17/2005

Question Number: 3

We have concerns that the completion date of October 31, 2005 is not reasonable due to the potential incurred downtime as a result of curing of the lime-stabilized subgrade in this multi-phased project. Will ODOT consider revising the completion date or changing the method of subgrade treatment?

Question Submitted: 6/27/2005

Question Number: 4

Given that the project has now been delayed until July 13, 2005- will ODOT be revising the completion date that is currently set for October 31, 2005?

Question Submitted: 6/3/2005

Question Number: 5

Plan sheet 4- there are two typical sections that show underdrains meeting stabilized areas. Is the underdrain supposed to go through the stabilized area or is the top of the underdrain supposed to be at the bottom of the stabilized subgrade? The plans give a dimension to the top of the stabilization but show stabilization being paid to the outside of the underdrain.

Please clarify.

Question Submitted: 6/6/2005

Question Number: 6

Regarding Environmental Work notes on plan sheet 7:

1. Will ODOT make available to the bidders the environmental studies referred to in paragraph one?
 2. In the fifth paragraph- the final two sentences refer to "additional testing required for disposal". Is the contractor responsible for these additional costs when we don't have the information available prior to the bid as to the level of contamination of the soil?
- Given the quantity setup for this item, this should be clarified.

All prospective bidders, subcontractors, suppliers, materialmen and all others who have an interest in these prebid questions and answers are advised that these items are being provided for informational purposes only and are not part of the bidding documents. If a question warrants a clarification, the Department will issue an addenda addressing the request for clarification to all plan holders. If the Department believes that the bidding documents adequately address the request, the contractor will be advised accordingly.