

Ohio Department of Transportation

Prebid Questions

Project No. 090207

Sale Date - 4/8/2009

Question Submitted: 11/26/2008

Question Number: 1

1. Sheet 15 MOT Roadway Section the item 204 Excavation of Subgrade and Granular Embankment shows a depth of 9". On Sheet 9 Maintenance of Traffic Table the Calcs show a depth of 12". Please Clarify. 2. Are your earthwork quantities correct? 3. Note on Sheet 132 of 158 says, "the buildings on this project are being inspected for the presence of asbestos. The contractor shall not be responsible for asbestos abatement." Are we responsible for the two reference items set up for asbestos abatement? Please specify the building that the asbestos is in. Please Clarify. 4. The Phase 2 MOT plan seems to place traffic on an uneven joint between existing and new pavement. If there is an elevation discrepancy, how is it to be handled?

1. Plan intent for Phase 1 & Phase 2 is Excavation and Granular Embankment of 12" Depth as correctly shown on Sheet 16 and sheet 9 Calculations/Quantities. 2. Earthwork quantities are correct as shown on Sheet 9 for Excavation of Subgrade, (12" Thick) and for Granular Embankment (12" Thick). 3. Answered previously in Addendum 2.4. The intent of the Phase 2 plan is not to have an uneven joint between the existing and new pavement. Sheet 37 gives guidance on drop-offs within traveled lanes. Any uneven joint (greater than 1 1/2" per sheet 37) between adjacent pavement surfaces during Phase 2 will be handled using a wedge of Item "614-Asphalt Concrete for Maintaining Traffic" as provided for under Maintenance of Traffic General Notes on Sheet 6. Final surfacing will be done during Phase 4 which should minimize areas where the wedge would be required (drop-off greater than 1 1/2").

Question Submitted: 11/26/2008

Question Number: 2

The General Summary on pages 38 and 39 have a column of OFFICE CALC SHT 1, SHT 2 AND SHT 3 for the pavement quantities. The contractors needs these calculations to prepare our bid. Could these calculations be made available?

<ftp://ftp.dot.state.oh.us/pub/contracts/attach/LUC-21052/>

Question Submitted: 11/28/2008

Question Number: 3

The "BORING PITS" in the plans are 10' x 16' (example see page 58 of 158 Station 1654+65 right). We have quotes from three subcontractors and have talked to them about this and the smallest boring pit that they can use is 10' x 25'. The normal bore pit is 10' x 35' to accommodate the equipment. Can the Bore pit be larger than 16' in length? How can the bore pit be lengthened and positioned to accommodate the need for a longer bore pit?

Question Submitted: 11/29/2008

Question Number: 4

Bid Reference 30 Geotextile fabric "as per plan". The as per plan note refers you to page 5 of the plans and says "delete the last sentence of 204.02". The last sentence of 204.02 says to furnish geotextile fabric conforming to 712.09 Type D. THIS DELETES THE TYPE D FABRIC. What kind of fabric do you want to use????

Question Submitted: 12/1/2008

Question Number: 5

Sheet 3/158, bubble 8, calls out 302 asphalt in a 3" and 3.5" lift thickness. The minimum lift thickness for 302 is 4". Please clarify.

Question Submitted: 12/1/2008

Question Number: 6

Sheets 6/158 has the approach intersection closures listed at 30 days. Sheet 10/158 has the approach intersection closures listed at 21 days. Sheet 11/158 has the approach intersection closures listed at 14 days. Please clarify the length of closure allowed.

Question Submitted: 12/1/2008

Question Number: 7

ODOT's website - Addenda List is showing two (2) addenda for this project. The "Document Search Results" show (0 Found). BidExpress shows two (2) amendments. Please advise.

Question Submitted: 12/1/2008

Question Number: 8

Does Ref item #2 include asphalt removal or is it just for concrete removal? Usually there is a plan note if pavement removal is to include asphalt wearing course however the typical section on plan sheet 3 of 158 seems to indicate that it is included. It would appear that the 27" drainage outlet at Sta 1677+32, 31' rt is well below the water elevation of Cedar Creek. Is there a normal water elevation available? Can an item be added for cofferdams to control the water?

All prospective bidders, subcontractors, suppliers, materialmen and all others who have an interest in these prebid questions and answers are advised that these items are being provided for informational purposes only and are not part of the bidding documents. If a question warrants a clarification, the Department will issue an addenda addressing the request for clarification to all plan holders. If the Department believes that the bidding documents adequately address the request, the contractor will be advised accordingly.

Ohio Department of Transportation Prebid Questions

Question Submitted: 12/1/2008

Question Number: 9

Sheet 3/158, bubble 8, calls out 302 asphalt in a 3" and 3.5" lift thickness. The minimum lift thickness for 302 is 4". Please clarify.

Question Submitted: 12/1/2008

Question Number: 10

The summary on sheet 112/158 calls out 1 water manhole adjusted to grade and 3 water manholes reconstructed. The cross sections on sheets 72/158, 73/158, 117/158, and 118/158 call out all 4 water manholes to be reconstructed. Please clarify the adjusted vs. reconstructed quantities.

Question Submitted: 12/1/2008

Question Number: 11

The manholes at stations 1607+55, 37.5 lt, sheet 51/158 and 1648+60, 27' rt, sheet 57/158 don't appear on the drainage sub-summary sheets.

Question Submitted: 12/1/2008

Question Number: 12

The Environmental Work, plan note on sheet 5/158, states that an underground storage tank may or may not be present on the project. We have the following questions regarding this work. 1) What size is the tank? 2) How much ground water is anticipated and should there be a pay item for storing, testing and disposing of the water?

Question Submitted: 12/1/2008

Question Number: 13

Since the asbestos survey conducted under the direction of ODOT will not be completed on Parcel #28 until May 11, 2009, we have no data upon which to base our bid for this portion of the work. As a result, we suggest that the asbestos abatement for this parcel be addressed by ODOT spec item 109.05.

Question Submitted: 12/2/2008

Question Number: 14

References 129, special - asbestos abatement: floor tile and mastic, and reference 130 special -misc. asbestos abatement: window glazing are called for in the proposal. The note on sheet 132/158 states that the contractor will not be responsible for asbestos abatement. Please clarify. If the asbestos abatement is required with of the buildings are affected?

Question Submitted: 12/2/2008

Question Number: 15

The Contract Administration website shows 2 addendums for the project. When I use the link to the addendums, none are available. When will addendums 1 and 2 be available?

Question Submitted: 12/2/2008

Question Number: 16

Most all of the storm sewer on the project is called out as type C conduit. Will the storm sewers under the proposed drives need to be installed as type B conduit?

Question Submitted: 12/2/2008

Question Number: 17

Is a schedule for the aerial utility relocation available for the project?

All prospective bidders, subcontractors, suppliers, materialmen and all others who have an interest in these prebid questions and answers are advised that these items are being provided for informational purposes only and are not part of the bidding documents. If a question warrants a clarification, the Department will issue an addenda addressing the request for clarification to all plan holders. If the Department believes that the bidding documents adequately address the request, the contractor will be advised accordingly.