

Ohio Department of Transportation

Prebid Questions

Project No. 100096

Sale Date - 2/25/2010

Question Submitted: 1/19/2010

Question Number: 1

1. Could existing structure and roadway plans be made available on the website?

Plans are available at <ftp://ftp.dot.state.oh.us/pub/Contracts/Attach/LUC-23593/>

Question Submitted: 1/20/2010

Question Number: 2

On plan pages 57 & 92 of 134 under the approach slab notes paragraph 2 says "concrete for approach slabs shall be class HP3, for parapets class HP1, CMS 499. Is this an error?

See addendum.

Question Submitted: 1/26/2010

Question Number: 3

1. Will ODOT allow an opening in the EB & WB PC Barrier wall during each phase between Collingwood Ave and Swan Creek to allow contractor access in between the two structures(Luc-25-8.29 & Luc-25-8.37)? If so, additional impact attenuators will be required in each direction for each phase so the quantity for Reference No. 69-Impact Attenuator should increase by 4 ea.2. The traffic note on Sheet 9/134 reads: "All existing lanes shall be open to traffic between November 30 and April 30." Can the April 30 date be changed to April 1st to allow the contractor to start earlier in the spring each year? Does "All existing lanes" refer to lanes on SR25(Anthony Wayne Trail) or on Collingwood Blvd or both?3. Should a bid item 615 LS-Roads for Maintaining Traffic be added to pay for the excavation required for the installation of Pavement for Maintaining Traffic, ClassA?4. Should the Construction noise note on Sheet 7/134 read "shall not be operated between the hours of 10pm to 7am. instead of "shall not be operated between the hours of 7am and 10pm"?

See addendum.

Question Submitted: 1/29/2010

Question Number: 4

On plan sheet 57/134 & 92/134, under ITEM 202 PORTION OF STRUCTURE REMOVED, AS PER PLAN, there is a note that reads: "REMOVE THE EXISTING WELDED STUD SHEAR CONNECTORS FROM THE EXISTING STRUCTURAL STEEL AND CAREFULLY GRIND THE FLANGE SURFACES SMOOTH AND PARALLEL TO THE FLANGE SURFACE. Shown on the existing bridge plans made available on Odot's website, there is a total of 9,092 ea 4"x3/4"dia existing studs at 12" on center on the bridges of this project. In checking with our welded stud subcontractors, to their knowledge they have never seen this requirement of removing all of the existing studs before. On past projects, only the few studs damaged during deck removal operations were removed/replaced and if the existing studs were inadequate, additional studs were added in between the rows of existing studs. Additional, they have added a 2" stud to an existing 4" stud if the original height was insufficient. Will ODOT consider allowing undamaged existing studs to remain on the existing beams and the new required studs to be added to miss the existing studs or a 2" stud to be added to the existing 4" studs? Thanks

See addendum.

Question Submitted: 2/10/2010

Question Number: 5

It appears as if the painting items are set up to be blasted and primed even in the areas that will be encased in the end diaphragms which would make multiple painting mobilizations necessary. Would the department consider reducing the Surface Preparation Item and Prime Coat Item to be equal with the Intermediate and Finish Coat Items and allow the non treated steel to be poured in the new diaphragms as is?

Only the exposed steel is cleaned and coated with a prime, intermediate and finish coat. See Revised pay items

Question Submitted: 2/15/2010

Question Number: 6

Should reference number 46 which is item 451 9" reinforced concrete be changed to item 305 concrete base, as the pavement is being overlayed with asphalt?

See forthcoming addendum.

Question Submitted: 2/16/2010

Question Number: 7

On bridges 0829L&R(Collingwood Blvd) there are bid items set up for Asbestos Abatement & Inspection. The existing bridge plans show the same type of conduit a 2" I.D. conduit on bridges 0837L&R(Swan Creek). Shouldn't there be bid items for Asbestos Abatement & Inspection for the pair of bridges at Swan Creek(0837L&R) also?

See forthcoming addendum.

All prospective bidders, subcontractors, suppliers, materialmen and all others who have an interest in these prebid questions and answers are advised that these items are being provided for informational purposes only and are not part of the bidding documents. If a question warrants a clarification, the Department will issue an addenda addressing the request for clarification to all plan holders. If the Department believes that the bidding documents adequately address the request, the contractor will be advised accordingly.

Ohio Department of Transportation Prebid Questions

Question Submitted: 2/16/2010

Question Number: 8

What is the width of the Class A Pavement for Maintaining Traffic-Reference No 81. Is this temporary pavement to go in place of the existing concrete curb and gutter or is the pavement to be widened outside the existing curb & gutter?

The temporary pavement is the same width of the existing curb & gutter and is to go in place of the existing concrete curb and gutter for MOT.

Question Submitted: 2/16/2010

Question Number: 9

Is the contractor to include mechanical connectors for all transverse rebar at the deck construction joints, abutment construction joints and the approach slab construction joints? The rebar summary sheets for the Collingwood Ave Bridge(0829L&R) has a note: " *-Require Mechanical Connectors" but none of the rebar marks has the "" which conflicts with the mechanical connectors called out in various bridge detail sections.

The Contractor shall include mechanical connectors for all transverse rebar at the construction phase joints for both bridges. Locations where the mechanical connectors are required are provided in the plan details.

Question Submitted: 2/17/2010

Question Number: 10

Will there be a need for pavement marking removal, either temporary or permanent, and if so can we get line items set up?

Temporary Pavement marking is required per sheets 10, 11 & 12. Removal of conflicting existing pavement marking when installing temporary markings, removal of temporary markings, and restoration of permanent markings are included in the lump Sum bid for Item 61411000 Maintaining Traffic unless separately itemized.

Question Submitted: 2/23/2010

Question Number: 11

The schedule for the painting that the generals are giving to the painters has the painters starting production work May 1, 2011. The completion date is June 30, 2011. May is historically a rainy month will the state consider an extension of time?

Per CMS Painting can be performed from April 1 to October 31.

Question Submitted: 2/4/2010

Question Number: 12

On Several Plan Sheets such as 64/134, 68/134, 99/134, 108/134, there is a plan note that reads: "Salvage Existing Reinforcing Protruding from abutment stem above removal limit. Existing reinforcing shall be cut to a length of 4" above removal limit. It looks like the A501 Dowel bars are replacing these bars so can they be cut at the abut seat removal line?

District concur that the contractor may cut the existing reinforcing steel at the removal line. The new steel furnished in the plan will be adequate for replacement.

All prospective bidders, subcontractors, suppliers, materialmen and all others who have an interest in these prebid questions and answers are advised that these items are being provided for informational purposes only and are not part of the bidding documents. If a question warrants a clarification, the Department will issue an addenda addressing the request for clarification to all plan holders. If the Department believes that the bidding documents adequately address the request, the contractor will be advised accordingly.