

Ohio Department of Transportation

Prebid Questions

Project No. 060436

Sale Date - 10/4/2006

Question Submitted: 9/12/2006

Question Number: 1

You enclosed the sign attachment assemblies for the New Overhead Sign Structures with Pay Item 61, which is for new sign attachment assemblies for new signs being installed onto existing structures. The pay item description for new overhead sign structures is to include the sign attachments.

Additionally the sign attachments required for Sign No. 122B(refer to elevation view sheet 79/109)with a new sign being mounted to the existing upright additional horizontal support will be required. It would appear that an TC-9.30 will be required at this location. If this is true there will have to be an additional pay item added to cover the TC-9.30.

1. In looking at the subsummaries for this project, at locations where a new support is installed, sign attachment assemblies are not paid separately. 2. The elevation view [pg 79] is in error. The plan view [pg 64] showing 2 signs back-to-back is correct [one arrow is facing the wrong direction, it does not effect the size of the sign].

Question Submitted: 9/14/2006

Question Number: 2

After briefly reviewing the plans we have identified several questions and/or concerns that follow:

Can you please verify the location and quantity for the 50" PCB, APP? The plans do not show any locations where PCB is to be placed. There are 15 temporary impact attenuators set up as well.

Will the misc. work on the bridges have PCB protecting the work area and workers during the proposed repairs?

Contrary to the permitted lane closures and restriction chart we assume that overnight lane closures will be utilized and maintained since the proposed repairs are utilizing Class C concrete? Also, to lend support to this assumption is that the many various proposed repairs are as directed by the engineer and do not indicate that lanes must be open at the end of the day.

Will Reference No. 43, ITEM 451, inclusive of the Reinforced Concrete Pavement specifications need to be strictly adhered in that the new concrete pavement along the existing acceleration/deceleration lane between Fifth Ave. and Wick Ave. must be placed with a self propelled spreading and finishing machine? The average lane width for this area is 12 feet. We shall assume that hand pouring/finishing will not be permitted in this area for this reference.

On page 9/109, please clarify the plan note under ITEM 451 that reads "the limits of the repair shall be determined by the Project Engineer". Does this mean that this too is a contingency quantity or is the plan intent to replace 2610 SY at this location?

Thank you.

ANS: Please see guard rail sub-summary sheets for locations. Page 15/109 shows (15 each) work zone temporary impact attenuators for use with the PCB. ANS: Not the plan intent. ANS: According to the permitted lane closure chart only one lane is required to be open to traffic at any time. ANS: The intent of the plan is to follow the spec. book except as noted in the "as per plan" notes. ANS: The intent of the plan is to replace 2610 SY of ITEM 451 as stated in the note. The start and ending points will be determined by the Project Engineer.

Question Submitted: 9/26/2006

Question Number: 3

Due to the large amount of Subcontractor work on this project (ie Concrete, Diamond Grinding, and Signing). We would respectfully request the Department to lower the 50% controlling factor requirement to 40%. Thank you in advance for your consideration of this request.

Question Submitted: 9/26/2006

Question Number: 4

To complete this project traffic zones will have to be set on SR 422 to perform many work items. ODOT project 050413 is also under construction in the same project limits. Project 050413 will be completing various work items including bridge painting and deck reconstruction that will require traffic zones to be set on SR 422. Will project 050413 effect work zones for this project? Will a progress schedule for project 050413 be provided, prior to bid, to avoid any conflicts with zones? Will a time extension be needed to complete this project?

In a previously asked question for this project about permitted lane closures, ODOT responded that only one lane needed to be open to traffic per the permitted lane closure chart. Note #4 on page 12/109 contradicts this. Will note #4 be deleted and lane closures be completed per the chart?

All prospective bidders, subcontractors, suppliers, materialmen and all others who have an interest in these prebid questions and answers are advised that these items are being provided for informational purposes only and are not part of the bidding documents. If a question warrants a clarification, the Department will issue an addenda addressing the request for clarification to all plan holders. If the Department believes that the bidding documents adequately address the request, the contractor will be advised accordingly.

Ohio Department of Transportation Prebid Questions

Question Submitted: 9/27/2006

Question Number: 5

Ref. 19 Gate, Type CL, APP does not have an as per plan note for this item in the plans. We assume that the gate is a walk gate per Std Drawing F-3.2 Please indicate if a different size applies.

Ref 10 Linear Grading APP is covered by a plan note on plan sheet 8. The note references seeding of the graded areas but does not require seeding under this pay item. We assume seeding will be paid for separately.

Page 10 makes reference to Linear Grading under the PAVING UNDER GUARDRAIL note which includes a herbicide application in preparation for placing AC Intermediate Course under Guardrail, however there is no bid item for this Linear Grading. Will a Linear grading pay item be added to perform the prep and herbicide for Ref 40 AC Intermediate Course?

Question Submitted: 9/29/2006

Question Number: 6

During our plan review we have found several items that we request clarification on. They are as follows:

Ref #30: During Item 255 repairs will as directed excavation and 304 aggregate base be paid for with this item or under the excavation and 304 items? The excavation and 304 note on page 9/109 and proposed quantities contradict the Item 255 plan notes. The note also says to add 6" of 304 after deteriorated pavement removal. It does not state to remove 6" of subbase to accommodate the 6" of new 304. Please clarify the intent and revise excavation and 304 quantities accordingly.

Is it the intent of the barrier details on page 27/109 to not include footings under the new barrier end sections?

Ref #30: Will necessary sawcutting be paid for separately or under Item 255? Either way the quantity for Ref #28 appears incorrect.

Ref #20: This items quantity is incorrect per the standard drawing and is also included in Contract 413-05. Please clarify.

ANS: Item 255 was addressed in an addendum. The quantities shown under Items 304 & 203 are intended to be used as needed under Item 255 and Item 451. ANS: Note on sheet 27/109 provides a 6" Item 301 base. ANS: Addendum provides for Item 255 saw cutting. Ref. #28 - Pavement remove limits may require longitudinal and transverse saw cuts - Bid as shown.

All prospective bidders, subcontractors, suppliers, materialmen and all others who have an interest in these prebid questions and answers are advised that these items are being provided for informational purposes only and are not part of the bidding documents. If a question warrants a clarification, the Department will issue an addenda addressing the request for clarification to all plan holders. If the Department believes that the bidding documents adequately address the request, the contractor will be advised accordingly.