Ohio Department of Transportation Prebid Questions

Project No. 091073 Sale Date - 7/15/2009

Question Submitted: 6/15/2009

Can the existing structure drawings be posted online?

ftp://ftp.dot.state.oh.us/pub/Contracts/Attach/MAH-76319/

Question Submitted: 6/15/2009

Will the department accept 32" PCB with glare screen in lieu of the 50"PCB as it has done in the past?

Question Submitted: 6/29/2009

Can you please provide readable copies of the temporary causeway plans that are included in the Special Provisions Section of the plan?

Question Submitted: 6/30/2009

The Worksite Traffic Supervisor note on sheet 10/67 indicates that WTS is required daily, including weekends and holidays to minimum one hour reviewing the work zone and/or crash data for deficiencies and maintaining the work zone. This seems to be overkill for this size and type of MOT. Will the State consider revising the requirements of this note to better correspond with the requirements of this project?

Question Submitted: 7/12/2009

Regarding the asbestos notification on page 44/67, please provide a specific quantity of asbestos containing material and an appropriate bid item. This is a key component for pricing this work. Also, the current basis of payment in this plan note does not address payment for asbestos removal. Please add an estimated quantity and pay item.

The Asbestos Notification note on sheet 44 of 87 states that the asbestos containing material was the adhesive that runs along the top of the parapet walls. The railings that have the adhesive run the entire length of the parapets and the lengths of the parapet walls are provided in the bridge plans. This should be included in the bid price for item 203 - Portions of Structure Removed, Over 20' Span, As Per Plan.

Question Submitted: 7/13/2009

In regards to the recent reply to the asbestos material removal, please indicate if the Departments reply to the asbestos note overrides the existing plan note. The plan note as stated in the plans (page 44/67) does not indicate that payment is included with Item 202, Portions of Structure Removed. The basis of payment note is very specific and it does not state that that the ACM removal is to be be paid under 202. At this time, please state that your prebid question reply overrides the note or address the note.

The asbestos notification note covers the OEPA notification costs. The asbestos material is caulking material on the parapet wall and is considered part of the parapet wall to be removed under Item 202 - Portions of Structure Removed, Over 20' Span, As Per Plan.

Question Submitted: 7/13/2009

On page 7/67, Item 209, Linear Grading, APP states to excavate and place granular material conforming to 703.16 under the 3 inches of Item 448. The typical sections do not indicate a specified thickness for the 703.16 material. Please indicate the proposed thickness of the the 703.16 material. Also, indicate if the existing natural soil is suitable that the existing material can be utilized or if it still needs to be excavated and replaced.

The purpose of the Linear Grading protion of the paving under guardrail is to provide a suitable subgrade to pave on. All unsuitable material is to be removed and compacted per 204.03. In areas where too much material is removed, it shall be replaced with compacted aggregate at no expense to the Department per 209.06. As far as the existing soil conditions, it is up to the contractor to conduct a site investigation before submitting his bid per 102.05.

Question Submitted: 7/13/2009

Please provide clarification on the asbestos note, previous question and answer. The original railing on this bridge was replaced under a previous project, it appears that the original railing base plates were caulked around, one every 7.5 feet according to extg drwgs. Is this the asbestos containing material or considering the answer to the previous question is there asbestos material located along the whole parapet top?

The asbestos material is the residual caulking from the original railing which has been removed. The previous answer was intended that the material spans the entire length of the parapet wall, but the question is correct that it is not a continuous strip, but rather at the spot locations where the original railing was attached.

All prospective bidders, subcontractors, suppliers, materialmen and all others who have an interest in these prebid questions and answers are advised that these items are being provided for informational purposes only and are not part of the bidding documents. If a question warrants a clarification, the Department will issue an addenda addressing the request for clarification to all plan holders. If the Department believes that the bidding documents adequately address the request, the contractor will be advised accordingly.

Question Number: 7

Question Number: 8

Question Number: 4

Question Number: 5

Question Number: 6

Question Number: 3

Question Number: 1

Question Number: 2

Ohio Department of Transportation Prebid Questions

Question Submitted: 7/14/2009

Question Number: 9

In regards to the previous question and answer on erosion control, who will install the necessary erosion control items along the steep slopes and Indian Run stream? Access roads along the slopes and a temporary causeway is needed to perform the work. It is extremely unlikely that no erosion control will be required. Is ODOT in a position with the EPA to take full responsibility and protect the contractor for any erosion control issues or violations that will be needed but ODOT is not addressing in the proposal? If the project is a maintenance project and ODOT has been granted a waiver on a SWPPP there still has to be an allowance for the miscellaneous erosion control items that will be necessary to follow the current EPA guidelines. When considering the erosion control allowance please consider the magnitude of soil disruption that will occur during this project to access and perform work at the bottom of the slopes.

A causeway was authorized through the nationwide permit, but is not in the plans. This is a means and methods issue. Should the contractor desire to use a causeway, the parameters have been set for how it would be constructed. Any erosion control, utility protection, access grading, etc. required for access to the causeway would be installed by the contractor, at his expense and would be included with the cost of the causeway, which would be included in Item 503 - Cofferdams, Cribbs, and Sheeting, As Per Plan.

Question Submitted: 7/14/2009

Question Number: 10

Please add pay items for storm water pollution prevention plan and erosion control allowance for this project. Currently, the proposal does not include these items.

This project is considered a maintenance project and does not require the SWPPP or erosion control items.

All prospective bidders, subcontractors, suppliers, materialmen and all others who have an interest in these prebid questions and answers are advised that these items are being provided for informational purposes only and are not part of the bidding documents. If a question warrants a clarification, the Department will issue an addenda addressing the request for clarification to all plan holders. If the Department believes that the bidding documents adequately address the request, the contractor will be advised accordingly.