

Ohio Department of Transportation

Prebid Questions

Project No. 090306

Sale Date - 6/3/2009

Question Submitted: 5/27/2009

Question Number: 1

On Page 6 of the plans, Item 254, Pavement Planing Asphalt Concrete reads: All planed pavement shall only be planed to a depth of 1.5" & resurfaced with 1.5" of 448 Asphalt Concrete Surface Course Type 1H and any planing of existing pavement greater than 1.5" in depth shall result in the contractor incurring the cost of the additional asphalt above the maximum depth of 1.5" to match the existing curb & gutter. Generally while pavement planing to a specified depth you will encounter random spalling due to fatigue of the underlying pavement which results in a depth greater than the specified planing depth at these locations. Generally there is a contingency quantity set up in the plans or Item 254 Patching Planed Surface to allow for the additional material to correct the locations and allow a contractor to pave the plan specified depth. QUESTION: How will the above locations be addressed when encountered?

The note was not intended to apply to random spalling. If that happens we will have to pay for the small additional quantities that will incur. We don't intend on hitting the brick so we did not pay for patching. The note applies when paving yields are consistently greater than expected.

Question Submitted: 5/28/2009

Question Number: 2

Sheet 15 of 61 there is an item 203, Excavation 75 CU. YD.. Will there be a pay item added or is the cost included in the removal items.

Question Submitted: 6/1/2009

Question Number: 3

Reference Nos. 46, 48, 50, and 52 all specify class I, type II work zone markings. The general summary also indicates class I, type II markings. However sheet 17/61 indicates that class II markings are to be used for the work zone lane line and work zone center line. Also, the note on sheet 12/61 indicates that the work zone markings shall be REMOVED prior to placement of permanent markings. This is an apparent conflict since 740.06 Type II markings utilize NON-REMOVABLE material, while 740.06 Type I markings utilize REMOVABLE material. ODOT's intent is not clear because though the plan notes and sub-summaries are written as if class II, type I markings are required, the proposal lists class I, type II markings. Please clarify that ODOT's intent is for the contractor to provide class I, type II pavement markings, and revise the items accordingly.

All prospective bidders, subcontractors, suppliers, materialmen and all others who have an interest in these prebid questions and answers are advised that these items are being provided for informational purposes only and are not part of the bidding documents. If a question warrants a clarification, the Department will issue an addenda addressing the request for clarification to all plan holders. If the Department believes that the bidding documents adequately address the request, the contractor will be advised accordingly.