

Ohio Department of Transportation

Prebid Questions

Project No. 070066

Sale Date - 1/31/2007

Question Submitted: 1/15/2007

Question Number: 1

On the typical section, Page 5 of the plans, only the mainline is to be milled 1.5" and replaced with 1.5" of 12.5mm Type A (446) with the shoulders remaining in place. On the resurfacing calculations, Page 19 of the plans, from sta. 382+86 to sta. 578+22 the pavement planing square yards indicate you are milling the shoulder but have not calculated 9.5mm Type A (446) material for these areas. Also on Page 5 of the plans, balloon two indicates placing 12.5mm Type A (446) and the proposal indicates 9.5mm Type A (446). Could you please clarify?

Question Submitted: 1/15/2007

Question Number: 2

On the typical section, Page 5 of the plans, only the mainline is to be milled 1.5" and replaced with 1.5" of 12.5mm Type A (446) with the shoulders remaining in place. On the resurfacing calculations, Page 19 of the plans, from sta. 382+86 to sta. 578+22 the pavement planing square yards indicate you are milling the shoulder but have not calculated 9.5mm Type A (446) material for these areas. Also on Page 5 of the plans, balloon two indicates placing 12.5mm Type A (446) and the proposal indicates 9.5mm Type A (446). Could you please clarify?

Question Submitted: 1/16/2007

Question Number: 3

The surface course of asphalt on this project is referenced in the proposal (Ref. 24), the General Summary, and the plan notes as 442 Asphalt Concrete Surface Course, 9.5mm Type A (446), APP. However, the typical sections identify the surface course as 442 Asphalt Surface Course, 12.5mm Type A (446), APP. Please advise which material is intended for the surface course, 9.5mm or 12.5mm. Thank you.

Question Submitted: 1/16/2007

Question Number: 4

The surface course of asphalt on this project is referenced in the proposal (Ref. 24), the General Summary, and the plan notes as 442 Asphalt Concrete Surface Course, 9.5mm Type A (446), APP. However, the typical sections identify the surface course as 442 Asphalt Surface Course, 12.5mm Type A (446), APP. Please advise which material is intended for the surface course, 9.5mm or 12.5mm. Thank you.

Question Submitted: 1/23/2007

Question Number: 5

Addendum #1 - Please be advised of the following reads: Revise all references to 12.5mm Type A (446) on sheet 5 of 26 to 9.5mm Type A (446). Revise the quantity of Item 254, Pavement Planing Asphalt Concrete on sheet 19 of 26 station limits 382+86 to 578+22 for each NB and SB to 54,812 s.y. Question #1 - When revising all references to 12.5mm Type A (446) as per plan on sheet 5 of 26 to 9.5mm Type A (446) was it your intent to delete the as per plan noted in the proposal line 0024 and page 11 of 26 of the general notes? Question #2 - When revising the quantity of Item 254, Pavement Planing Asphalt Concrete on sheet 19 of 26 station limits 382+86 to 578+22 for each NB and SB to 54,812 s.y. Should it have read: Station limits NB 382+86 to 572+59.3 to 54,812 s.y. - station limits SB 382+86 to 572+59.3 to 54,812 s.y. - station limits SB 572+59.3 to 576+29 to 1,068 s.y. - station limits NB 572+59.3 to 576+29 to 1,068 s.y. - station limits SB 576+29 to 578+22 to 558 s.y. and NB station limits 576+29 to 578+22 to 815 s.y.? Note: This would have given a corrected total for Ref. 19 of 160,787 s.y. Question #3 - I do not see any quantities set up for left turn lanes at the connection road to O'possum Rd., Orchard Park Rd, NB IR71 and Hanley Rd. Is it ODOT's intent to leave the existing pavement at these locations? Note: this will affect the constructability of the project at those locations in relationship to placing asphalt wedges and polymer chip and seal.

Question Submitted: 1/23/2007

Question Number: 6

Addendum #1 - Please be advised of the following reads: Revise all references to 12.5mm Type A (446) on sheet 5 of 26 to 9.5mm Type A (446). Revise the quantity of Item 254, Pavement Planing Asphalt Concrete on sheet 19 of 26 station limits 382+86 to 578+22 for each NB and SB to 54,812 s.y. Question #1 - When revising all references to 12.5mm Type A (446) as per plan on sheet 5 of 26 to 9.5mm Type A (446) was it your intent to delete the as per plan noted in the proposal line 0024 and page 11 of 26 of the general notes? Question #2 - When revising the quantity of Item 254, Pavement Planing Asphalt Concrete on sheet 19 of 26 station limits 382+86 to 578+22 for each NB and SB to 54,812 s.y. Should it have read: Station limits NB 382+86 to 572+59.3 to 54,812 s.y. - station limits SB 382+86 to 572+59.3 to 54,812 s.y. - station limits SB 572+59.3 to 576+29 to 1,068 s.y. - station limits NB 572+59.3 to 576+29 to 1,068 s.y. - station limits SB 576+29 to 578+22 to 558 s.y. and NB station limits 576+29 to 578+22 to 815 s.y.? Note: This would have given a corrected total for Ref. 19 of 160,787 s.y. Question #3 - I do not see any quantities set up for left turn lanes at the connection road to O'possum Rd., Orchard Park Rd, NB IR71 and Hanley Rd. Is it ODOT's intent to leave the existing pavement at these locations? Note: this will affect the constructability of the project at those locations in relationship to placing asphalt wedges and polymer chip and seal.

All prospective bidders, subcontractors, suppliers, materialmen and all others who have an interest in these prebid questions and answers are advised that these items are being provided for informational purposes only and are not part of the bidding documents. If a question warrants a clarification, the Department will issue an addenda addressing the request for clarification to all plan holders. If the Department believes that the bidding documents adequately address the request, the contractor will be advised accordingly.