Ohio Department of Transportation Prebid Questions

Project No. 080083 Sale Date - 2/13/2008

Question Submitted: 1/22/2008

Due to the substantial change in quantities directed by addendum #2 for Ref. 5 Excavation and Ref. 6 Embankment, will ODOT please revise the sub-summary by stations (plan sheet 13/69) and cross section sheets appropriately so cuts and fills can be properly identified?

Question Submitted: 1/22/2008

Plan sheet 5/69 Protection of Right of Way note identifies the construction limits as 30 FT from edge of pavement. The construction limits depicted on plan and profile sheets 16-19/69 appear to conflict with this note and with the large increase in excavation and embankment do not provide adequate access to the work. Will ODOT please clarify the construction and Right of Way limits on at least the cross section sheets?

Question Submitted: 1/22/2008

Notice of Addendum #2 was not received until after the ODOT Monday holiday, when it was made public on Tuesday 1/22/08. Will ODOT allow more time for the additional field visits now required to research and locate the extra 9325 CY of borrow embankment now required?

Question Submitted: 1/22/2008

Plan sheet 7/69 Item 614 Maintaining Traffic note says traffic may be detoured for 90 days. Please clarify if this means the detour may be in place 90 days for each structure.

Question Submitted: 1/22/2008

Due to the substantial change in quantities directed by addendum #2 for Ref. 5 Excavation and Ref. 6 Embankment, will ODOT please revise the sub-summary by stations (plan sheet 13/69) and cross section sheets appropriately so cuts and fills can be properly identified?

Question Submitted: 1/22/2008

Plan sheet 7/69 Item 614 Maintaining Traffic note says traffic may be detoured for 90 days. Please clarify if this means the detour may be in place 90 days for each structure.

Question Submitted: 1/23/2008

1. CAN THE EXISTING STRUCTURE DRAWINGS BE MADE AVAILABLE FOR BOTH STRUCTURES?

http://www.dot.state.oh.us/CONTRACT/ftp/attach/SAN-75101/

Question Submitted: 1/23/2008

Please clarify Unclassified Excavation at SAN-20-2131R. Drawing 34/69 shows the excavation as incidental to the demolition operation but the excavation is quantified in Ref #57 also.

Question Submitted: 1/23/2008

Is a Type C Field Office necessary for a job of this size? The Type A seems sufficient. What is the completion date for the project? Plan sheet 7/69 lists November 15 while the proposal lists August 31. What is Ref #88, Pile Driving Equipment Mobilization, for? There is no piling, sheeting or restriking anywhere in the plans.

Question Submitted: 1/23/2008

1. WHY IS THERE A BID ITEM #88 PILE DRIVING EQUIPMENT MOBILIZATION FOR THE SAN-412-0022R STRUCTURE?THERE ARE NO PILES TO DRIVE.

Question Submitted: 1/23/2008

1. ON PLAN PAGE 63/69 IT CALLS OUT 7/8" X 4" SHEAR STUDS, ON PLAN PAGE 67/69 IN CALLS OUT 7/8" X 5" SHEARS STUDS FOR THE SAME STRUCTURE 9sAN-412-0022R). WHICH SIZE IS CORRECT?

All prospective bidders, subcontractors, suppliers, materialmen and all others who have an interest in these prebid questions and answers are advised that these items are being provided for informational purposes only and are not part of the bidding documents. If a question warrants a clarification, the Department will issue an addenda addressing the request for clarification to all plan holders. If the Department believes that the bidding documents adequately address the request, the contractor will be advised accordingly.

Question Number: 1

Question Number: 2

Question Number: 3

Question Number: 4

Question Number: 5

Question Number: 6

Question Number: 7

Question Number: 8

Question Number: 9

Question Number: 10

Question Number: 11

Ohio Department of Transportation Prebid Questions

Question Submitted: 1/23/2008 1. WHAT LENGTH ARE THE SHEAR STUDS ON BRIDGE NO. SAN-20-2131R

Question Submitted: 1/23/2008 1. WHO IS RESPONSIBLE TO SIGN THE DETOURS?

Question Submitted: 1/23/2008 Question Number: 14 1) Are the quantities for Line items 28 (AC Surf Crse - 106 cy) and 29 (AC Inter Crse - 91 cy) flip-flopped in the proposal?

Question Submitted: 1/23/2008

Ref. No. 69 Item 516 Structural Expansion Joint - Page 45/69 of the contract plans show a MC12 x 53 used in the expansion joint. This does not exist. Should this be a MC12 x 45 per the standard or a MC12 x 50?

Question Submitted: 1/23/2008

#1. Without knowing the quantity breakdowns for each corner of each bridge, it is not possible to compare the dirt work with the structure work and prepare a meaningful progress schedule. The progress schedule would determine whether the time allotted for the project is sufficient and whether a contractor is willing to bid the project. Please provide the updated cross sections accompanied by their respective dirt quantities. The updated total quantities of embankment and excavation provided in the recent Addendum to meet the January 2008 Geotechnical Bulletin GB 2 do not supply sufficient information.#2. Without having the updated cross sections, it is difficult to analyze the dirt work near the abutments. If both operations are fighting for the same space, the 90 day time restriction may not be realistic.

Question Submitted: 1/23/2008

This project includes slope repairs. Some areas require wedges of embankment with as little as 1 foot of embankment progressing to zero inches. Some other areas have approximately 2 feet of embankment being added to the slopes. Due to the configuration of these repairs, what will be required to satisfy the benching requirements?

Question Submitted: 1/23/2008

When can we expect to receive revised drawings, clarifications, calculations, etc for the problems mentioned in the numerous prebid questions? There is less than a week before the job bids.

Question Submitted: 1/23/2008

Please provide updated cross sections, end areas, work limits and calculations to show how you arrived at the revised quantities of Excavation and Embankment in Addendum #2.

All prospective bidders, subcontractors, suppliers, materialmen and all others who have an interest in these prebid questions and answers are advised that these items are being provided for informational purposes only and are not part of the bidding documents. If a question warrants a clarification, the Department will issue an addenda addressing the request for clarification to all plan holders. If the Department believes that the bidding

Page 2

Question Number: 19

Question Number: 18

Question Number: 13

Question Number: 12

Question Number: 15

Question Number: 16

Question Number: 17