

Ohio Department of Transportation

Prebid Questions

Project No. 080344

Sale Date - 6/4/2008

Question Submitted: 4/24/2008

Question Number: 1

Originally this project was to be let 11/7/07, with a completion date of 9/30/08. Now this project is to be let on 5/21/08 with a completion date of 9/30/08. The time frame now involved to complete this project is much narrower even with the omission of a structure. Would the state delay this project and let it out on it's original time frame of 11/7/08 to 9/30/09, or extend it's completion date.

Question Submitted: 4/24/2008

Question Number: 2

Bid Ref.#'s 39 and 55 call out 10'VPF. Note 2 on pages 21 and 24 call out base BP-3 with post PS-1, which is a 12' post. Is this fence 10' or 12'? Also note 4 on pages 21 and 24 call out for the fence fabric to be vinyl coated black, and all post, rails and hardware to be PAINTED black. No paint spec is given for the paint. Is vinyl coating of all other material per ODOT specs acceptable in lieu of painting? If not, what paint spec is to be used?

Question Submitted: 4/30/2008

Question Number: 3

Question 1 submitted on 4/24/08 states that the time frame allowed to complete this contract is narrower than previously advertised and are requesting to delay project or extend the completion date. Our firm is prequalified and do not want this project delayed and can complete this contract on the specified completion date of 9/30/08.

Question Submitted: 5/1/2008

Question Number: 4

Question 1 submitted on 4/24/08 states that the time frame to complete this contract is narrower than previously advertised and are requesting to delay or extend project completion date. Our firm is prequalified and can complete this contract on the specified completion date of 9/30/08.

Question Submitted: 5/16/2008

Question Number: 5

We fee that the performance time is too short for this project to be performed properly. By the time the project is awarded and submittals are prepared and approved, the actual time remaining for construction to be completed by 9/30/08 will not be enough.

Addendum #1, issued 5/6, changed the completion date to 10/31/08.

Question Submitted: 5/22/2008

Question Number: 6

Can a 2" rigid fiberglass pipe insulation with an R value of 8.70 be used in lieu of calsium silicate with an R value of 5.26 on the water line

No, the specifications as shown in the plans is required. We will not accept substitutions.

Question Submitted: 5/29/2008

Question Number: 7

With the project being delayed to 6/4/08, will the project be extended into 2009 for it to be performed properly?

The completion date will not be changed.

Question Submitted: 5/29/2008

Question Number: 8

On plan sheet 2/22, Item 843, Structure SUM-59-186R Piers' Quantity states, "Measured 75 SF, Estimated 50 SF". The basis for these quantities states that each estimated quantity is to be 150% of the measured quantity. Please advise if these quantities are correct.

The quantities are correct. The estimated quantities shown in the plans are the quantities to be performed in the field.

Question Submitted: 5/29/2008

Question Number: 9

On plan Sheet 2/22, Item 638: The first sentence of the 5th paragraph, "THE MOISTURE BARRIER SHALL CONSIST OF ONE LAYER OF MIL POLYETHYLENE FILM. . ." Please specify the required MIL thickness of the polyethylene film .

ONE mil is the minimum.

Question Submitted: 5/29/2008

Question Number: 10

Item 512 - Please specify the contract limits that apply to each structure for "SEALING OF CONCRETE SURFACES (EPOXY-URETHANE)" and "TREATING OF CONCRETE BRIDGE DECKS WITH SRS."

Limits for the Epoxy-Urethane Sealer are shown on sheet 30/30SRS is applied to the entire surface area of the bridge deck, excluding sidewalks (if present)

All prospective bidders, subcontractors, suppliers, materialmen and all others who have an interest in these prebid questions and answers are advised that these items are being provided for informational purposes only and are not part of the bidding documents. If a question warrants a clarification, the Department will issue an addenda addressing the request for clarification to all plan holders. If the Department believes that the bidding documents adequately address the request, the contractor will be advised accordingly.

Ohio Department of Transportation

Prebid Questions

Project No. 070501

Sale Date - 11/7/2007

Question Submitted: 10/31/2007

Question Number: 1

The scupper lengthening detail on sheet 21/22 shows an existing bracket to be removed and replaced. On site visit the scuppers did not have a bracket as shown. Is a new bracket required? Item 518 Refurbish Bearing Device - note sheet 1/22

"Realignment of the upper bearing plate by removing existing welds and rewelding so that the bearings are vertically aligned at 60 degrees F." The bearings appear to only require adjustment of bottom masonry plate to achieve vertical alignment. Is the removal and rewelding of upper plate required?

Question Submitted: 11/1/2007

Question Number: 2

Regarding the sealing on the structures on this project. For the sealing of the structures on this project, a previous prebid question refers to ODOT spec. regarding areas that might possibly have existing sealer. Our question is: for areas that may have existing sealer, will ODOT place a bid item for this removal of the existing sealer as this is a very time consuming and labor intensive process. This would be the same as the bid items that are in place for the surface preparation of the existing steel on bridges before they are to be painted. Please clarify if a bid item for removal of existing sealer can be added and if not how the removal of the existing sealer differs from the removal of the existing paint on the steel. Thank You

Prepare the surface as per CMS 512.03E SURFACE PREPARATION, the type of existing sealer on the bridges is unknown.

Question Submitted: 11/1/2007

Question Number: 3

Q1. Drawing 7/32 - Detour Plan B seems to be misprinted. the shaded areas are not aligned with the drawing. Please issue a corrected drawing. Q2. Drawing 16/32 - Item 504.100000 qty's for final inspection and grinding fins seems confused. Please advise the correct quantities.

Question Submitted: 11/1/2007

Question Number: 4

On the Market St bridge there is one high pressure steam line ,8" East Ohio gas line med pressure and 2 x 2 SBC duct bank. Is ODOT going to have these protected? Can they be painted? Or does the contractor include this in the bid?

The information you are requesting is contained in the UTILITY NOTE in the Proposal on page 39/45. The line must be protected and kept in service at all times by the Contractor.

Question Submitted: 11/1/2007

Question Number: 5

1. On Structure 18-0968, is all of the trowelable concrete patching of super structure on top of bridge or is there any patching below the deck? If so, then below the deck patching should be a separate item to bid. 2. On all the bridges does the electrical conduct and light brackets get painted? 3. Some of the structures the parapets have already been sealed with an unknown product. Can you tell us what product was applied? Will the "coating" need to be removed? If so, what type of surface prep will be needed to remove the coating? 4. We have notice that some beam ends are very close to the back-wall and X-braces. In some areas 1 to 2 inches. How can we blast and paint these areas per ODOT spec with such limited access? Can ODOT add an item to trim these beam ends and replace some of these X-braces? 5. We believe that on structure 59-0186R that the deck patching quantity is very high and the epoxy waterproofing quantity is low. Can you please check these quantities and clarify the intent of work to be performed on the deck? Are these quantities reversed? 6. With the amount of work, different detours and coordinating with utility company contractors, we are requesting to extension of the completion date to 10-30-08. Adding one month to the original complete date and the end of the paint season will help scheduling. 7. When we did our site visit we notice another contract working in the same areas and closing down parts of SR 59. When will this contract be finish? How will this impact this projects? Also how will this affect our detours and traffic closers?

A1) The trowelable concrete patching will not be performed on the bottom of the deck. A2) No A3) Prepare the surface as per CMS 512.03E SURFACE PREPARATION, the type of existing sealer on the bridges is unknown. A4) The District believes that there is sufficient access to paint the beams as per the Specifications, there will not be trimming of the beam ends performed. A5) We have checked the quantities and believe that they are correct. A6) The project competition date will not be extended. A7) It is not anticipated that this project will be in conflict. But, if it is then the Contractor shall cooperate as per the CMS.

Question Submitted: 11/1/2007

Question Number: 6

On 10/22/07, we asked for a clarification on the removal of existing sealer for 463(07), Please forgive us for listing the wrong project number, the project we were asking about was 070501. Thank You

All prospective bidders, subcontractors, suppliers, materialmen and all others who have an interest in these prebid questions and answers are advised that these items are being provided for informational purposes only and are not part of the bidding documents. If a question warrants a clarification, the Department will issue an addenda addressing the request for clarification to all plan holders. If the Department believes that the bidding documents adequately address the request, the contractor will be advised accordingly.