

# Ohio Department of Transportation

## Prebid Questions

Project No. 108043

Sale Date - 6/24/2010

Question Submitted: 6/15/2010

Question Number: 1

1.)Is it permissable to preform the project in halves, utilizing one lane closure of traffic?2.)It appears that the square yardage is for both the Right & Left bridge, Our field measurement was  $200 \times 40 = 889$  sy, the bid quantity is 1958 sy.

**A1. The geometrics of the approaches would not permit maintaining 1 lane of traffic to be open and operate safely. Therefore, closure of the bridge has been provided for in the plans. A2. The Bridge Number is SUM-76-0657R, this bridge carries traffic in both directions, there is not a "Left" bridge. There are not 2 bridges at this location, both directions are carried on 1 bridge. The work to be performed on this project is in both directions (EB IR-76 over IR-277/224 and SB-76 to EB IR-277/224). The quantities shown in the plans are correct.**

Question Submitted: 6/15/2010

Question Number: 2

Will the project information be made available on the bid document Listing on the web page. Some of the subs would like to download the plan pages. We would also like to see the planholders list.

**The plans are now available online.**

Question Submitted: 6/20/2010

Question Number: 3

The plans are set up for two weekend closures for overlay work along with a couple of nights to remove and replace the existing strip seals. With the District office only a few miles away there is no reason for a field office item, especially a type b office. Please have the District review this so this item is bid properly from all bidders. The cathodic protection system that is to be removed under the lump sum portions of structure removed item contains a hazardous caustic material that is encased when installed, removal methods, deck milling, will most likely destroy the casing of this material. Has the District considered this handling issue with regards to the planned weekend construction? Will a item be set up for the disposal of this material, i.e. hazardous waste or solid waste disposal?

**Q1 The Field Office Item will not be deleted from the Plan.Q2 The District has reviewed the information available to us and cannot find any items of a hazardous nature. Please supply to us the information you have that leads you to believe there is?**

All prospective bidders, subcontractors, suppliers, materialmen and all others who have an interest in these prebid questions and answers are advised that these items are being provided for informational purposes only and are not part of the bidding documents. If a question warrants a clarification, the Department will issue an addenda addressing the request for clarification to all plan holders. If the Department believes that the bidding documents adequately address the request, the contractor will be advised accordingly.

# Ohio Department of Transportation - Prebid Questions

Project No. 108043

Sale Date - 6/24/2010

SUM-88184 - IR-76-6.57

Question Submitted: 6/22/2010 10:15:25 AM

In response to the question/answer with regards to the possible hazardous nature of the existing cathodic protection system incorporated into the previous deck overlay: it is the owner's responsibility to investigate what material was actually used for this cathodic material. Please provide the manufacturer MSDS information so this item can be accessed and bid according to federal, state and local regulations. Disposal locations will require this information prior to allowing their use of their facilities. Also, if this material is deemed to be hazardous, person protection equipment will need to be used by the labor forces performing the removal operation.

**The District has reviewed the information available to us and cannot find any items of a hazardous nature. Additional information you have requested is not available.**