

Ohio Department of Transportation

Prebid Questions

Project No. 091107

Sale Date - 8/12/2009

Question Submitted: 7/16/2009

Question Number: 1

Can you please post the existing structure drawings on-line? Please revise the 622 portable concrete barrier app note on sheet 5/37 to allow loop & pin type connections as per the standard drawing.

[ftp://ftp.dot.state.oh.us/pub/Districts/D03/317\(57\)](ftp://ftp.dot.state.oh.us/pub/Districts/D03/317(57)) A2) Please see addendum.

Question Submitted: 7/16/2009

Question Number: 2

It appears that the quantity of work zone attenuator, unidirectional, 24" should be 4 each, 2 for each direction. Please revise.

The total quantity of work zone attenuators should be a total of 3--one from Sheet 6/37 and two from 7/37. Only two are required on Sheet 7 because: There is cable rail between the north-bound and south bound lanes; There is existing guardrail around the piers in the median which would be encountered before the end of the Precast Concrete Barrier by a vehicle crossing the median; There is a 40-foot median between the north and south-bound lanes. The clear zone for a 65 mph facility is 30 feet, meaning the trailing end of the PCBs is outside of the clear zone for traffic traveling in the opposite direction.

Question Submitted: 7/17/2009

Question Number: 3

Concerning the MOT on IR-71, Is the State going to require that conflicting pavement markings to be removed for the various lane closures and shifts? If so, can the bid items be added for pavement marking removal and add/revise bid items to restripe 71 after traffic is shifted back? If required there appears to be more than 10000 LF of removals and replacement for the multiple phases with multiple mobilizations.

A1) Yes, the State will require that conflicting pavement markings be removed for the various lane closures and shifts, per Standard Construction Drawing MT-95-40, paragraph 8B.b, which says "The existing conflicting pavement markings shall be removed or covered as per CMS 614.11G." A2) No, pavement marking items will not be added. The restoration of these items is also covered in Standard Construction Drawing MT-95-40, paragraph 8E, which says "After completion of the work, pavement markings other than CMS 740.06, Type 1 shall be removed in accordance with CMS 614.11I. The original markings and raised pavement marker reflectors shall be restored at no additional cost unless separately itemized in the plans." They are not separately itemized and therefore will be included in the lump sum price bid for Item 614 Maintaining Traffic.

Question Submitted: 7/20/2009

Question Number: 4

Concerning work zone impact attenuators on page 6 north bound is depicted and the notes indicate that the detail is applicable to south bound as well. All the other quantities were doubled in the page summary to north & south bound, but impact attenuators were not listed. I think the correct qty is 2 from page 6 and 2 from page 7. Please advise.

You are correct. Please see addendum.

Question Submitted: 7/31/2009

Question Number: 5

Is the quantity for formliner Ref #92 special (122 SF) correct? Should this be SY? We can find no detail on the parapets and usually formliners are shown on both sides. Is the formliner on one side only as indicated by plans? Thank you.

The units for this item are in square feet. Sheet 20/37 shows the form liner in the "abutment" column, not on the parapet. No addendum required.

Question Submitted: 8/3/2009

Question Number: 6

Can you check the link for the as built drawings? I do not think it is working. The directory labeled 317 contains about 200 dwgs, which I could not locate the structure for this project.

<ftp://ftp.dot.state.oh.us/pub/Contracts/Attach/WAY-18242/>

All prospective bidders, subcontractors, suppliers, materialmen and all others who have an interest in these prebid questions and answers are advised that these items are being provided for informational purposes only and are not part of the bidding documents. If a question warrants a clarification, the Department will issue an addenda addressing the request for clarification to all plan holders. If the Department believes that the bidding documents adequately address the request, the contractor will be advised accordingly.