

MAY 11

DATE: April 30, 2010

LOCATION: District 3

PID NO.: 86254

SUBJECT: LOR-57-12.54 L/R Design/Build Pre-Bid

ATTENDEES
(PLEASE PRINT)

<u>NAME</u>	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>	<u>TELEPHONE/E-MAIL</u>
Ron Nussbaum	D-3	419-207-7069
Michael Weiler	D-3	419-207-7073
Jim Burchfield	D-3	419-207-7151
Perry Ricciardi	D-03	419 207 7022
Ben Runyan	J D Williamson	330 633 1258
Joel Williamson	"	"
Gary Stoller	Shaffer Pomeroy	419-756-7302
DAVID KING	PERK CO.	216-857-0729
Bob Shenal	BEN	330-376-5778
Jeff Ackerman	B & M	440-354-9700
Joyce Scherp	Cynthia Bridgetoad	330-769-2999
DEAN GILBRA	THE RULLIN CO.	330-239-2800
RYAN COCCO	KOKOSING Ammarian	614.679.2437
WALTER FLORENCE	R&I CONST.	419-448-8236
DAVID Timmer	Richland Engineering Limited	(419)524-0074
Tony Warholie	Richland Engineering LTD	(419)524-0074
DOUG SHEAY	MOSSER CONSTRUCTION	419-355-3256
DAN LEWIS	MOSSER CONSTR	330-523-0504

0001

1

2

3

4

5 OHIO DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

6 PRE-BID MEETING

7

8 ~~~~~

9

10 LORAIN-57-12.54

11 3013 (10)

12

13 ~~~~~

14

May 11th, 2010

15 1:00 p.m.

16 Taken at:

Ohio Department of Transportation

17 906 Clark Avenue

Ashland, Ohio

18

19 Melissa Cruz, Notary Public

20

21

22

23

24

25

0002

1 MR. RICCIRDI: This is the pre-bid
2 meeting for project 3013 of 2010. The project
3 bids on June 3, 2010, has a completion date of
4 October 15th, 2011. It's for the design,
5 build, replacement of Lorain 57-12.54, and if
6 you've been up there or if you're familiar with
7 the area, this is actually a set of bridges.
8 They're not necessarily twin bridges, but
9 parallel bridges on Route 57, and they're both
10 basically going to get the same treatment.

11 Very, very briefly, I'll mention
12 what the work is, and then I'll turn it over to
13 Ron Nussbaum, but first, I'll mention that Jim
14 Burchfield is the area engineer in construction
15 who will be supporting the contract. We have
16 yet to determine who will be the project
17 engineer for the construction. Ron will be the
18 person from production who will be responsible
19 for the review of the plans and the submittals
20 from the DB team.

21 Presently in the scope there are
22 three submissions that are required from the DB
23 team, a stage one, a stage two and a tracings
24 submission. After the first two submissions,
25 the DB team should expect that the district

0003

1 will have two weeks to review the plans and
2 comment on it.

3 Okay. Very briefly, the contract is
4 to replace the superstructure on the existing
5 bridges with three span slabs. Pretty much the
6 same geometry that's out there now. There's
7 going to be some minor abutment work. I'll
8 have Ron talk about that, a little bit of
9 approach roadway work and at this particular
10 point, work is to be done part with
11 construction.

12 Presently there is no in-stream work
13 allowed on the contract. The likelihood is, is
14 that we believe, actually what I should say is
15 that the district does not have a permit to
16 allow in-stream work on the contract. The
17 district is expecting, though, that a permit
18 will be required for some of the activities to
19 demolish the bridge and/or replace false work
20 for the bridge. I guess having said that, Ron,
21 why don't you take a few minutes and go over in
22 somewhat more detail what the expectations are
23 in the scope of the work?

24 MR. NUSSBAUM: We're going to
25 replace the existing superstructure basically,

0004

1 so we want new continuous concrete slabs, 40
2 feet face to face the new guardrail. We're
3 going to use print steel tubed guardrail with
4 new approach slabs, including sleeper slabs at
5 the end and approach slabs, and we're going to
6 anticipate minor roadway work for this to
7 feather back to the new profile of the bridge
8 and the approach slabs.

9 As Perry mentioned, we're going to
10 remove the concrete down to 12 inches below the
11 seal elevations for a full width, salvage the
12 reinforcements and rebuild the seats as
13 necessary for the new superstructure. Other
14 than that, it's just going to be minor sealing
15 of concrete items and other miscellaneous
16 items. That's the gist of the whole thing.
17 We're going to do this part with construction
18 so that's another kicker in there. We intend
19 to maintain one lane in each direction at all
20 times.

21 MR. RICCIRDI: Jim, do you have
22 anything to add?

23 MR. BURCHFIELD: No. Just be
24 advised that the existing structures do have
25 overlays on them. You're looking at

0005

1 construction plans that will not be shown, but
2 the bridge has been rehabbed in the past.
3 There's an overlay on the existing structure.

4 MR. RICCIRDI: Okay. At this
5 particular time, are there any questions from
6 any of the contractors or from the consultants?

7 MR. COLLURA: Dean Collura with
8 Ruhlin and Company. There was reference in the
9 scope of services about an asbestos survey that
10 was in the process of being done. Are those
11 results available?

12 MR. RICCIRDI: Yes. They will be
13 available. I'm not sure, we've had four of
14 these and some of them are complete and a
15 determination has been made. We can, we'll let
16 you know in the addenda. My guess is that
17 there is not any asbestos on the bridge. We
18 did bid this project one other time and
19 terminated the contract with a different scope
20 of work.

21 I guess an item to note in the
22 scope is that there is a subsurface utility
23 exploration element that is required as part of
24 this work. It isn't necessarily prohibited,
25 but it seems unlikely that the work could begin

0006

1 this fall. It's possible to get the design
2 done, and it's possible to actually start the
3 work, but it will be very unlikely you could
4 get through both phases of construction before
5 the winter.

6 Jim, there is no prohibition,
7 though, in the contract presently that could
8 stop the work from starting; is that accurate?

9 MR. BURCHFIELD: I don't believe
10 there is, no.

11 MR. RICCIRDI: We may include
12 something along those lines in an addendum.
13 One thing worth noting is that under the main
14 subtraffic restrictions on page 16 of the
15 scope, it says that a minimum of 11 foot lanes
16 are required at all times. Eleven feet is the
17 actual lane width. Your design, of course,
18 must include the appropriate offsets to any
19 barriers from that lane width.

20 It is likely that when we publish
21 the agenda, that there will be a requirement to
22 use small tools or handtools to remove the
23 concrete over the piers so as to not damage the
24 reinforcement steel that is presently there to
25 connect the deck to the piers.

0007

1 Okay. Those are the only
2 additional comments I have at this time about
3 the scope. Okay.

4 Unless anybody has any other
5 questions other than the one that Dean asked,
6 then I guess we'll assume that the meeting is
7 closed and again, this job bids on June 3rd.
8 We'll try to get an addenda out within the next
9 week, week-and-a-half. Good luck.

10 (Meeting concluded.)

11 - - - - -

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

0008

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

CERTIFICATE

I, Melissa Cruz, do hereby certify that as such Reporter I took down in Stenotypy all of the proceedings had in the foregoing transcript; that I have transcribed my said Stenotype notes into typewritten form as appears in the foregoing transcript; that said transcript is the complete form of the proceedings had in said cause and constitutes a true and correct transcript therein.

Melissa Cruz, Notary Public
within and for the State of Ohio

My commission expires April 8th, 2013.