



OHIO DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

PREBID MEETING

NEW PHILADELPHIA, OHIO

- - -

IN RE:

BEL 7 DESIGN BUILD

PID 84331

**ORIGINAL**

- - -

Prebid meeting taken before me, the undersigned, Tami A. Mitchell, RPR and Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio, at the offices of Ohio Department of Transportation, 2201 Reiser Avenue, SE, New Philadelphia, Ohio, on Monday, the 24th day of October, 2011 at 10:08 a.m.

-----

BISH & ASSOCIATES, LLC  
520 S. Main, Suite 2501  
Akron, Ohio 44311  
(330) 762-0031  
(800) 332-0607  
FAX (330) 762-0300  
E-Mail: bishinfo@bish-associates.com

## APPEARANCES:

Todd Moore, P.E.  
Christopher Varcolla, P.E.  
Bill Finnical  
Scott Warner  
Len More  
Michael Hobbs  
Russ Krock  
Steve Tomsic

- - -

1 MR. MOORE: We here for the prebid  
2 meeting for project 301211 PID 84331, which is  
3 a design build signing product, Belmont and  
4 Jefferson County, State Route 7. Do you want  
5 everybody to introduce themselves?

6 MR. VARCOLLA: We can do that. My  
7 name is Chris Varcolla. I'm the program  
8 manager for this project.

9 MR. TOMSIC: Steve Tomsic, project  
10 manager with KCI.

11 MR. KROCK: Russ Krock, ADR.

12 MR. WARNER: Scott Warner with  
13 Ohio District 11 engineer.

14 MR. HOBBS: Mike Hobbs, GPD.

15 MR. FINNICAL: Bill Finnical, with  
16 ODOT, area supervisor in Belmont County.

17 MR. MORE: Len More, Cleveland  
18 Barricade Systems.

19 MR. MOORE: Todd Moore, area  
20 engineer. Jim Graham is the engineer over  
21 this project, but he was not available today.

22 MR. VARCOLLA: I guess I will get  
23 started. Chris Varcolla, to let you know.

24 As far as the scope of the work  
25 there's three section of Route 7 that we would

1 like to replace and upgrade the existing  
2 signing. The first section is BEL 7 6.11 to  
3 8.68, and then BEL 7 from 14.09 to 16.97, and  
4 then those locations and those limits are  
5 described in the scope of the work. And the  
6 final section is Jeff 7 from 0.00 section to  
7 14.76 section. This is -- this project is  
8 similar to the last three or four sign design  
9 builds we have done in the past on our four  
10 lane here recently. I don't think there's  
11 anything unusual about this, about this  
12 section.

13 The list of the interchanges are  
14 shown on page 24 of 38 of the scope that we  
15 would like included. And then I have copies  
16 the plan insert sheet for the protective  
17 coating for the overhead signs support.  
18 That's basically all that I have. I'm willing  
19 to answer any questions that the contractors  
20 may have. Todd, unless you have anything  
21 else?

22 MR. MOORE: No.

23 MR. MORE: I have a question. Len  
24 More, Cleveland Barricading. Jeff 7,  
25 interstate change at C56 connector, it's 2242,

1 that's outside the limits that were described  
2 as far as the other parts of the project.

3 MR. MOORE: 0 to 14.76.

4 MR. VARCOLLA: Yeah. I may just  
5 inadvertently left it on.

6 MR. MORE: It doesn't matter as  
7 long as it's described as part of the work.

8 MR. VARCOLLA: I think that was  
9 probably a mistake on the district's part for  
10 including that, that listing there. So we  
11 won't be doing that interchange.

12 MR. MORE: Will that be taken out  
13 in an addendum.

14 MR. VARCOLLA: We'll put that in  
15 the addendum when we send out the transcripts.

16 MR. MOORE: I would like to make a  
17 change myself. Page 17 of 38 under utilities,  
18 existing utilities, the contact information  
19 for the Ohio Department of Transportation is  
20 no longer attention to Todd Moore. It's  
21 attention Bobby Taylor. I'm back in  
22 construction. Bobby Taylor is the new roadway  
23 services engineer, so he will be handling  
24 those.

25 MR. MORE: One other point I would

1 like to try to understand it says the work is  
2 to be accomplished, this is under MOT  
3 restrictions, work is to be accomplished with  
4 no lane closures unless there is work over the  
5 pavement where the contractor is unable to  
6 remove his equipment from the pavement at a  
7 specific location. That sounds like typical  
8 maintenance of traffic thing, that you would  
9 take a lane if you needed to take a lane to do  
10 work. Is that your understanding?

11 MR. MOORE: Yes. A lot of areas  
12 on Route 7 have small shoulders. If you  
13 cannot get off the pavement, close the lane.  
14 We don't want to close a lane, unless a lane  
15 closure is necessary for the work.

16 MR. HOBBS: Mike Hobbs from GPD.  
17 In the State Route 7 section, that's one of  
18 the areas where there's a slip and right now  
19 all the traffic is a single lane in the  
20 northbound lane. Is that condition going to  
21 be resolved by next year.

22 MR. MOORE: That condition will be  
23 resolved hopefully by the end of next year.  
24 So there should be provisions to suspended  
25 work in there. There's two sections on 7 in

1 Jefferson County; the north end or the south  
2 end.

3 MR. HOBBS: Closer to the north  
4 end.

5 MR. MOORE: That job will be done.  
6 It has a December completion date of this  
7 year. So even with delayed a little bit, that  
8 should not impact your operations.

9 MR. VARCOLLA: I didn't think that  
10 went into the slip area.

11 MR. MOORE: That's north of County  
12 Road 56. That's at the 22 mile marker.

13 MR. HOBBS: I was just down there  
14 last Friday. There's a section it looks like  
15 the road is closed because of a slip. It's  
16 very substantial.

17 MR. MOORE: It's the 5.0 site.  
18 It's in your Jefferson 7 from 0 to 14.76.  
19 That site is slated to have plans completed in  
20 the spring of 2012 with work to proceed  
21 sometime later in 2012. So there should be  
22 provisions made to not work in that site until  
23 after that project is complete.

24 MR. HOBBS: What is your  
25 completion date on this project.



1 MR. VARCOLLA: October 31, 2012.

2 MR. MOORE: That may have to be  
3 adjusted.

4 MR. VARCOLLA: Fine.

5 MR. MOORE: We will deal with the  
6 completion date as needed. But do not plan on  
7 working in that five site until that project  
8 is completed.

9 MR. MORE: What is the mile  
10 marker?

11 MR. MOORE: After the 5. Jeff 7  
12 around the 5.0.

13 MR. MORE: That's about a one mile  
14 section?

15 MR. MOORE: Yeah.

16 MR. MORE: Do you know if there  
17 are any other projects that will be happening  
18 or scheduled for 2012 work in those limits,  
19 any paving projects?

20 MR. MOORE: Most of that was paved  
21 this year. I know they are going to be paving  
22 within Steubenville next year, the city  
23 limits, which is the northern limits of the  
24 Jeff 7 section. So there's a possibility that  
25 traffic control for that project could extend

1 outside the corp limit. So that could be a  
2 possibility there.

3 MR. MORE: Is that going to be an  
4 ODOT project?

5 MR. MOORE: Yes. I don't know the  
6 specific details on that site because  
7 Jefferson County is not mine any more. But we  
8 will work with you. If a situation, we will  
9 work with it. I'm not aware of anything else.  
10 Bill, are you.

11 MR. FINNICAL: I don't think  
12 there's anything on 7.

13 MR. MOORE: Any other concerns,  
14 questions. You guys are making this way too  
15 easy.

16 MR. MORE: You have copies of all  
17 the plan insert sheets.

18 MR. VARCOLLA: Just the one plan  
19 insert sheet for the coating process.

20 MR. MORE: I see there was only  
21 four structures that have pictures, and that's  
22 the only four that get painted; is that true?

23 MR. VARCOLLA: As far as the best  
24 of my knowledge.

25 MR. MORE: There's four pictures.

1 MR. VARCOLLA: If there's other  
2 overhead structures that I missed, obviously I  
3 want those included. My intent for those  
4 pictures was just to give you guys an idea of  
5 the type of structures that are out there.  
6 I've learned now that I'm not going to include  
7 pictures anymore, because you take that those  
8 are just the locations of them. My intention  
9 was to give you an idea of the type of  
10 structures you would be dealing with. And  
11 from now on, I'm going to leave it without the  
12 pictures in there. But if there -- if I did  
13 miss anything, yes, I would like to have the  
14 ones that are not included in the scope as  
15 part of this work. I don't think there's that  
16 many overhead structures with this project as  
17 there was in the last couple design builds we  
18 have had in the past.

19 Todd or Bill, do you have anything  
20 else?

21 MR. MOORE: No, I do not.

22 MR. FINNICAL: What is the coating  
23 system going to be?

24 MR. VARCOLLA: It's what we have  
25 used in the past.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

MR. FINNICAL: Okay.

MR. MOORE: No further questions?  
Then I guess we'll call this meeting to close.

- - -

(Meeting concluded at 10:22 a.m.)

- - -

C E R T I F I C A T E

STATE OF OHIO, )  
 ) SS:  
 SUMMIT COUNTY, )

I, Tami A. Mitchell, RPR and Notary Public within and for the State of Ohio, duly commissioned and qualified, do hereby certify that the proceedings were by me reduced to Stenotypy, afterwards transcribed upon a computer; and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcription of the proceedings so given as aforesaid.

I do further certify that these proceedings were taken at the time and place in the foregoing caption specified, and were completed without adjournment.

I do further certify that I am not a relative, employee of or attorney for any of the parties in the above-captioned action; I am not a relative or employee of an attorney of any of the parties in the above-captioned action; I am not financially interested in the action; and I am not, nor is the court reporting firm with which I am affiliated, under a contract as defined in Civil Rule 28(D).

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal of office at Akron, Ohio, on this 25th day of October, 2011.

*Tami A. Mitchell*  
 -----  
 Tami A. Mitchell, RPR and Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio.

My Commission expires November 11, 2014.