



MOT-70-10.79
PID 76667
PRE-BID Meeting
November 13, 2013
District 7 – HMA Conference Room

Sign-In Sheet

Name	Company	Email
Josh Bowman	ODOT District 7	joshua.bowman@dot.state.oh.us
Sherry Wampler-Ley	ODOT District 7 P+E	
KEVIN OHL	KOKOSING CONSTRUCTION	KAO@KOKOSING.BIZ
BARRY LOGAN	EL ROBINSON	blogan@elrobinson.com
J.J. O'Rielly	Kokosing	jjo@kokosing.biz
Greg Hamilton	Kokosing	gah@kokosing.biz
Fernando Rodríguez	URS	Fernando.Rodriguez@urs.com
TRICIA BISHOP	ODOT ODOT D7	tricia.bishop@dot.state.oh.us
JAMES SHEEHY	John R Jurgensen Co	james.sheehy@jrjnet.com
Tim Guenther	John R Jurgensen	tim.guenther@jrjnet.com
Josh Carter	John R. Jurgensen	Josh.Carter@jrjnet.com
Dan Grilliot	ODOT District 7	daniel.grilliot@dot.state.oh.us

BEFORE THE OHIO DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

- - -

MOT-70-10.79

PID 76667

PRE-BID Meeting

District 7

- - -

Pre-bid meeting held at the Ohio Department of
Transportation, District 7 - HMA Conference Room,
1001 St. Marys Avenue, Sidney, Ohio, 45365, on
Wednesday, November, 13, 2013, called at 10:00 a.m.

- - -

ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.
222 East Town Street, Second Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201
(614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481
Fax - (614) 224-5724

- - -

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

PRESENT:

ODOT:

Josh Bowman, Moderator
Trish Bishop
Matt Boythe
Betty Buening
Dan Grilliot
Phil Stormer
Sherry Wampler-Ley

E. L. ROBINSON ENGINEERS:

Barry Logan

JOHN R. JURGENSEN:

Josh Carter
Jim Crawford
Tim Guenther
Jason Jurgensen
James Sheehy

KOKOSING:

Gregory Hamilton
Kevin Ohl
J. J. O'Rielley

URS:

Fernando Rodriguez

- - -

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Wednesday Morning Session,
November 13, 2013.

- - -

MR. BOWMAN: I'd like to welcome everybody to the MOT-70-10.79 design and build prebid meeting. My name is Josh Bowman. I'll be the construction project manager for the job. Everything today is going to be recorded by our court reporter, Rosemary Anderson, so if you would, just some ground rules.

If you have a question, state your name and company so that she can get it down and then proceed with your question. Only one question at a time, too, so that she can clearly get it documented.

The minutes of the meeting will be posted on the prebid website, so as soon as we get them, we will get them out, posted for everybody. Make sure everybody is signed in, I assume, on the sign-in sheet.

Along with the questions, if we feel comfortable answering the questions in this venue today, we will. If we don't, we will just let you know, and we'll get back with you if we need to do some additional research on them.

You have an agenda. I will give a brief

1 project overview; talk about some environmental
2 stuff; the structures; some minor utility comments;
3 MOT; pavement design, and aesthetics a little at the
4 end.

5 All right. We will get started with the
6 project overview. The scope of the work for the
7 project -- let's do some introductions. I already
8 introduced myself. We will start with Sherry here.

9 MS. WAMPLER-LEY: Sherry Wampler-Ley, I
10 am the project manager, design of the project.

11 MR. STORMER: Phil Stormer, work zone
12 traffic manager.

13 MR. GRILLIOT: Dan Grilliot, ODOT
14 district geotech engineer.

15 MR. BOYTHE: Matt Boythe, district bridge
16 design.

17 MR. O'RIELLEY: J.J. O'Rielley, Kokosing.

18 MR. HAMILTON: Greg Hamilton, Kokosing.

19 MR. OHL: Kevin Ohl, Kokosing.

20 MR. LOGAN: Barry Logan, E. L. Robinson.

21 MS. BISHOP: Trish Bishop, District 7,
22 environmental coordinator.

23 MR. CRAWFORD: Jim Crawford, John R.
24 Jurgensen.

25 MR. JURGENSEN: Jason Jurgensen, John R.

1 Jurgensen.

2 MR. CARTER: Josh Carter, John R.

3 Jurgensen.

4 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Fernando Rodriguez with
5 URS.

6 MR. SHEEHY: James Sheehy, John R.

7 Jurgensen.

8 MR. GUENTHER: Tim Guenther, John R.

9 Jurgensen.

10 MS. BUENING: Betty Buening, ODOT,
11 traffic control.

12 MR. BOWMAN: All right. We will start
13 out with the Scope of Work. The limits for the
14 project are from straight line mileage 10.79 to 15.07
15 on Mainline I-70; and on State Route 48 from straight
16 line mileage 5.63 to 6.04. The total project length
17 is about 4.28 miles.

18 The project shall consist of full depth
19 replacement of the pavement and the addition of a
20 third lane in each direction on I-70. All shoulders
21 will be replaced with the same buildup as the
22 mainline pavement also.

23 The project shall consist of replacement
24 of structures MOT-70-1130, left and right;
25 MOT-70-1219, left and right; MOT-70-1267, left and

1 right, and the rehab of structure MOT-70-1192, which
2 is the Stillwater River bridge.

3 State Route 48 shall consist of four thru
4 lanes, two in each direction, with a left and right
5 turn lane and a 5-foot bike lane in each direction
6 also on State Route 48.

7 And then there's numerous other roadway
8 improvements that are outlined inside the scope.

9 The final sealed plans for structure
10 MOT-70-1192 have been provided as part of the scope.
11 MOT-70-1192 left and right plans are to be treated as
12 a contract document, and they are in Attachment 22.1
13 of the scope. The design-build team shall
14 incorporate these plans into the overall final plan
15 package.

16 We have had two addendums issued for the
17 job. I'm going to really start with Addendum
18 2 because it has the majority of the changes and
19 quantities and clarifications that required changes.

20 The completion date was revised from 5/15
21 of 2016 to June 1, 2017.

22 MR. O'RIELLEY: J. J. O'Rielley from
23 Kokosing. The third page of the addendum there's
24 another spot where it states the completion date is
25 July 1. Just want to make sure, is the June 1 date

1 the correct date or July 1? It's the third page
2 where it says "Scope of Services," Section 6, Project
3 Description section, revised completion date, 5/15 to
4 7/1.

5 MR. BOWMAN: I think we decided
6 July 1 was the date.

7 MS. WAMPLER-LEY: I will reissue that in
8 the next addendum.

9 MR. BOWMAN: So completion date July 1,
10 2017, was changed by Addendum No. 2. We also have an
11 interim completion date of November 15th of 2016 to
12 get traffic in its permanent pattern on I-70 for that
13 next winter. So really the 2017 season would be just
14 for items not affecting the I-70 work, maybe some
15 mainline things on State Route 48, on the side road
16 itself.

17 Addendum No. 2 has a variety of quantity
18 changes. I'm not going to go through each one.
19 Obviously, everyone in here has probably had a chance
20 to review them. We also added a hazardous material
21 note. The environmental studies have shown
22 petroleum-contaminated soils are present on
23 1273 South Main Street on State Route 48, so we
24 actually added a note and a quantity associated with
25 that, too, as part of the addendum.

1 MR. O'RIELLEY: Josh, another question on
2 that. J. J. O'Rielley with Kokosing. Later on where
3 it talks about the hazardous materials, it talks
4 about an attachment. That was not on the FTP site,
5 Attachment 23.7, the ESA document.

6 MR. BOWMAN: We will get it on there.

7 Under the Scope of Services, Section
8 10.5, Mussel Survey & Relocation for the Stillwater
9 bridge, we clarified a prebid question that only one
10 mussel survey and relocation is required for the
11 entire duration of the project. So before you get in
12 the river, I think it has to be done within six
13 months before you start construction. That's good
14 for the entire duration of the project. No need to
15 do it each year or numerous times.

16 And then at the end of Addendum No. 2, we
17 have numerous answers to prebid questions we inserted
18 into the addendum also. I'm not going to go through
19 each one, but I just wanted to point out that they
20 are there.

21 Moving on to some of the environmental
22 issues/requirements that are in the scope, the
23 project is subject to environmental commitments that
24 have been incorporated into the scope. Reference
25 document 23.2, you will see environmental commitments

1 and plan notes as written in the environmental
2 document. The design-build time shall initiate all
3 environmental matters with District 7, Environmental,
4 through the lead, who is actually Trish Bishop. It
5 should probably come through Sherry Wampler-Ley
6 initially.

7 Unless directed by ODOT, the design-build
8 team is not to coordinate directly with any outside
9 agencies regarding the environment matters.

10 A plan note to provide for management of
11 petroleum-contaminated soils has been inserted as
12 part of the addendum. That's also listed under
13 10.2 under the environmental section.

14 Just some comments as part of the
15 environmental notes, any and all construction debris,
16 earthen debris, asphalt, concrete, wood, debris from
17 clearing or excess fill material cannot be placed
18 within 1,000 feet of the river on either side.

19 All in-stream work shall be conducted
20 during the low flow period, which is August 1 through
21 October 31. This also means that your causeway
22 material has to be out by that October 31 date,
23 unless you can show and devise a plan or causeway
24 drawing that shows that you can maintain twice the
25 peak monthly flow of March. Those monthly flows are

1 listed on the USGS's website for the stream stats, so
2 that's where you can find those numbers.

3 So August 1 through October 31st; if you
4 want to keep the causeway in after that, you have to
5 provide some sort of a causeway detail that shows you
6 can maintain twice that peak monthly flow. And we
7 will probably be issuing some sort of an addendum or
8 clarification through the prebid website kind of
9 discussing that also just to make it clear for
10 everybody.

11 All streambank vegetation shall be left
12 undisturbed to the maximum extent possible. Cutting
13 or clearing of any riparian vegetation within
14 1,000 feet of a scenic river beyond the existing
15 right-of-way shall be prohibited. However, vertical
16 trimming is permitted where necessary to construct
17 the bridge.

18 Section 10.3 is where we start to talk
19 about the waterway permitting. ODOT is in the
20 process right now of securing the necessary Ohio EPA
21 individual 401 water quality permit and the Army
22 Corps' 404 permit. It's not approved; it's in draft
23 form, but we expect to have that.

24 Trish, it will be probably after the job
25 is bid?

1 MS. BISHOP: Yes. We should have it by
2 January or February, but it's about a four-month
3 process at this point.

4 MR. BOWMAN: Right. So no in-stream work
5 may occur prior to receipt of that certification from
6 the Ohio EPA and the Army Corps and District 7
7 Environmental's receipt of the special provisions of
8 the waterway permit conditions, just like we
9 typically put in our plans.

10 We note that environmental -- the
11 document is 150 pages long. We inserted a set of
12 special provisions to detail some of the more common
13 items you need to be aware of.

14 As a reminder, a meeting shall be held
15 prior to the start of any work at the river. The
16 conditions of the waterway permit will be reviewed at
17 the meeting, and the attendees should be the
18 design-build team, District 7 environmental
19 coordinator, ODOT's construction and design project
20 managers.

21 The floodplain permit, Montgomery County
22 has issued the floodplain permit, and it expires on
23 May 1, 2016. Typically I don't think there's an
24 issue with getting that extended if we would have to
25 for some reason. We have had to in the past.

1 Mussel Survey & Relocation, the
2 design-build team will arrange for a mussel survey
3 and relocation with an approved malacologist within
4 six months prior to the start of the in-stream work.

5 I wanted to note as part of the scope,
6 and it's listed in the scope under 10.5, that a prior
7 survey was done in the past and did not identify any
8 federally protected species, but that doesn't mean
9 that they couldn't be in there now, so that's why we
10 have to do it again.

11 Under Section 10.6, Scenic River
12 Involvement of the Stillwater bridge, I did want to
13 note that we're going to probably on our prebid
14 website give the actual draft permit. We are going
15 to put it out there for your review. Obviously, it
16 has the causeway provisions in it, the amount of
17 temporary fill that you're allowed to put in, and the
18 distances that you're allowed to impact the
19 Stillwater River, basically acreage area. That will
20 be posted to our prebid website here pretty quickly.

21 But it's just important to note that it's
22 in draft form and it could change. We really don't
23 anticipate any changes to the fills, the temporary
24 fills or the area that we're impacting, but it is in
25 draft form and not approved yet.

1 Moving on to utilities under
2 Section 12.2, the Utility Coordination
3 Responsibilities, the design-build team shall
4 coordinate all existing utilities with construction
5 activities on the project. The design-build shall
6 ensure that potential delays in coordination and the
7 location of the utilities are minimized. The
8 design-build team shall copy the ODOT project manager
9 and the district utility coordinator on all
10 correspondence or phone calls between the
11 design-build team and each utility. They also shall
12 include the submittal of plans to each utility.

13 MR. CRAWFORD: Jim Crawford with
14 Jurgensen. It says, "The cost of all utility
15 coordination shall be bid as a Lump Sum Item" at the
16 bottom of that section. I did not see that in the
17 posting.

18 MR. BOWMAN: Got a line item for it?

19 MR. CRAWFORD: I said I didn't. I don't
20 know if it was addressed in the addendum or not, but
21 it's not in the original.

22 MR. BOWMAN: I don't think we addressed
23 it in Addendum No. 2. We will look into it. If we
24 will need to issue an addendum for it, we will.

25 Section 12.3 discusses the City of

1 Englewood has a utility duct bank on 48. The bank
2 has been relocated by the City based on the Stage
3 2 documents, Stage 2 design documents. We've had
4 some discussions with them. We don't foresee any
5 issues with that duct bank at all. They got it out
6 of the way. We should be in good shape with that.

7 Moving on to some MOT items, the
8 design-build team shall prepare and submit a
9 Conceptual Maintenance of Traffic plan for approval
10 at least 21 days prior to beginning construction.
11 I'm not going to go through all that, but Section A
12 through G under 13.2 basically tells you exactly what
13 needs to be submitted as part of that plan.

14 We also will have to approve that plan
15 before we can start construction, so it gives us
16 21 days to review, make changes, comment, et cetera.

17 Section 13.3 is a Maintenance of Traffic
18 plan. Design-build team shall prepare and submit for
19 each buildable unit a maintenance and traffic plan to
20 the department for approval at least 14 days prior to
21 the beginning of construction on that specific
22 buildable unit. Section A through H goes through the
23 requirements of that.

24 Any changes or modifications to the
25 specific MOT plan shall be submitted to the

1 department for approval a minimum of 7 calendar days
2 prior to the desired implementation. So if we've
3 approved your initial MOT plan for a buildable unit
4 and you want to make some changes, all those changes
5 need to be submitted to us within 7 days prior to
6 making that change, or you guys wanting to, and then
7 we will review and respond back.

8 MR. CRAWFORD: Josh, Jim Crawford with
9 Jurgensen. Could we go back to 13.1? "All detour
10 routes shall be provided by the Department, signed by
11 the Contractor." Are you willing to make those
12 available prior to bid?

13 MR. BOWMAN: Yes.

14 MR. CRAWFORD: Thank you.

15 Under Section 13.3, the Maintenance of
16 Traffic Design Requirements, I wanted to mention a
17 few things. We need to maintain two lanes in each
18 direction on I-70; Ramps A, C, D, and E, a minimum of
19 one lane on those ramps at all times. Lane widths on
20 I-70 and 48 are 11 foot and need to be maintained at
21 11-foot at minimum; nonfreeway roadways, a minimum of
22 10 feet in width.

23 All work zone traffic control devices
24 shall be compliant with NCHRP 350 or MASH-08
25 requirements.

1 We will be closing Meeker Road and
2 Frederick Pike as part of the project in order to
3 reconstruct the overhead structures for those two
4 roadways.

5 Under Ramp Closures, The maximum number
6 of ramps that will be allowed to be closed at one
7 time is one. Ramp closures shall be limited to one
8 nighttime closure per ramp. Really, that closure is
9 intended just for the tie-ins for I-70.

10 As always, we have our permitted lane
11 closure times that you're allowed to utilize.
12 They're listed on our website, so at time of bid,
13 whatever it says is what we're allowed to do out
14 there.

15 Moving on to some Pavement comments,
16 Section 14.3, we are leaving two options for the
17 pavement make-up out on I-70, either asphalt or
18 concrete. The ramps do have a significant portion of
19 concrete that is required on them. Under Section
20 14.3, State Route 48 and Rockridge Road have some
21 specific pavement make-ups that I just wanted to
22 point out.

23 As part of the pavement design, we have
24 had some pretty big questions discussing the chemical
25 stabilization we are going to require, so we will be

1 issuing an addendum or a comment on our prebid
2 website addressing that, making it a little bit
3 clearer on what we expect and what we want.

4 The utilization of rubble and roll will
5 not be allowed on the project so it's going to be
6 some sort of chemical stabilization we are going to
7 require.

8 A few comments about the structures, just
9 wanted to really point out that structure MOT-70-1130
10 is the State Route 48 structure, left and right.
11 Again, MOT-70-1192 is the Stillwater bridge.
12 MOT-70-1219 is Meeker Road. MOT-70-1267 is Frederick
13 Pike.

14 Moving on to some Aesthetic comments,
15 Section 17, the requirements of this specification
16 apply to the design and construction of all permanent
17 structures including but not limited to bridge,
18 abutments, sidewalk, streetscapes, landscape
19 enhancements at 70 and State Route 48.

20 Under Section 17.2, Formliners, we have
21 three preapproved formliner systems. If somebody
22 else wants to submit a different formliner system, we
23 would have to get approval through the City of
24 Englewood for that.

25 Section 17.3, Epoxy-urethane sealer, just

1 discusses what colors we are looking for. And then
2 it goes on to discuss Structural Painting and
3 Decorative Underpass Lighting. The actual underpass
4 lighting, what we are looking for is the design on
5 that structure shall provide for three 4-inch
6 conduits to be used in a future decorative lighting
7 plan. That is detailed in Attachment 22.11.

8 On State Route 48 itself, stamped
9 concrete shall be provided as surface treatment where
10 limited natural light prevents vegetation growth,
11 pretty much underneath the bridge and north or south
12 of there also. Stamped concrete shall be provided
13 for the pedestrian path along State Route 48 also.

14 And then at the end of the scope in
15 Section 22, we have the index of attachments.
16 Obviously, we have one that we think we are going to
17 look into, but we may be needing to add based on the
18 questioning here today. Other than that, as far as
19 going through the scope, I guess I'll open it up to
20 any kind of questions that anybody has.

21 MR. OHL: Kevin Ohl, Kokosing. One of
22 the sections you went through regarding the stamped
23 concrete "where limited natural light prevents
24 growth," can you in an addendum clarify what those
25 limits are because that's kind of subjective, and

1 what I think limited natural light is and what you
2 think limited natural light is, just to make sure we
3 are giving you exactly what you want.

4 MR. BOWMAN: Yes.

5 MR. OHL: On the waterway permit issue at
6 Stillwater, what is driving the two times the peak
7 monthly flow comment that's forcing us to get our
8 causeway out of there? I don't see that anywhere in
9 the scope document or in the environmental stuff
10 that's currently available to us. We've put a lot of
11 bridges in a lot of rivers and never been subject to
12 that restriction before.

13 MR. BOWMAN: Trish, you may have a little
14 more comment as far as the requirements.

15 MS. BISHOP: That is an ODOT Central
16 Office requirement to prevent us from having
17 liability due to washout downstream. So we used to
18 see a lot of the causeways washing out and a bunch of
19 materials downstream, so we are now requiring twice
20 peak monthly flow, so if you are going to keep it in
21 until March -- well, you have to keep it in all the
22 way around because you can only get in the stream
23 from August 1 to October 31. But if you want to keep
24 it in year-round, you have to be able to meet twice
25 March's flows.

1 MR. OHL: You are saying March is the
2 peak?

3 MR. BOWMAN: It helps us ensure that that
4 material is not going to be washed down river. If we
5 can maintain twice that peak monthly flow, chances
6 are it's going to stay.

7 MR. OHL: And you're going to clarify
8 exactly what you're looking for in an addendum?

9 MS. BISHOP: Well, that's available from
10 the USGS stream stat website. You can go on there
11 and click on that location, and it will give you the
12 peak monthly flow, which will be March.

13 MR. OHL: Well, I'm just saying the
14 requirement for two times the peak monthly flow is
15 not part of this project right now.

16 MS. BISHOP: It will be included in the
17 special provisions for the waterway permit, which we
18 don't have yet because we don't have the waterway
19 permit yet, but I believe Josh is intending to issue
20 that as an addendum.

21 MR. BOWMAN: Right. That's why we
22 mentioned it today. That's why I kind of said we'd
23 be issuing an addendum to clarify it.

24 MR. OHL: Josh, there was a prebid
25 question asked over a month ago now about maintenance

1 of traffic on the existing shoulders and the
2 responsibility for maintaining shoulders and
3 maintaining the existing pavement. Are you guys
4 intending to respond to that question?

5 MR. BOWMAN: Yes.

6 MR. OHL: Do you know how you are going
7 to respond to that question?

8 MR. BOWMAN: Yes. We are going to mill
9 and fill the shoulders on eastbound and westbound.

10 MR. OHL: As a requirement? I mean,
11 that's going to -- when you say you are going to mill
12 and fill the shoulders, that's going to be added to
13 the scope as a project requirement?

14 MR. BOWMAN: Yes. Yes. That helps us
15 ensure, obviously, that the shoulders hold up through
16 the duration of the project, and maintenance will
17 then reside with you, with the contractor.

18 MR. OHL: What about on the mainline if
19 potholes develop and things like that? Typically on
20 these design-build team projects, there's been a
21 small contingency item set up for asphalt for
22 maintaining traffic that you guys can then direct us
23 to go fill potholes and things like that.

24 MR. BOWMAN: Sure. We paved quite a bit
25 of the right lane about two years ago on I-70, and

1 now that we are going to be milling and filling
2 shoulders, we don't really see a need for that. So,
3 again, that maintenance is going to reside with the
4 contractor.

5 MR. OHL: How do we bid that? I mean,
6 it's a risk, obviously.

7 MR. BOWMAN: Right.

8 MR. OHL: So you could really open
9 yourself up into a big argument scenario if we go out
10 there on the job and you're telling us to go out
11 there and fix that, and we say "No, we don't want to
12 fix it." I mean, how --

13 MR. BOWMAN: Well, then ODOT would fix it
14 themselves and then write a deduct change order.

15 MR. OHL: It would solve, maybe, a lot of
16 project issues if you just set up a contingency item
17 for something, 50 yards, 100 cubic yards for MOT, and
18 then you tell us, "Go fix that."

19 MR. BOWMAN: We will look into it.

20 MR. CRAWFORD: Josh, Jim Crawford with
21 Jurgensen. 19.3, the Stage 1 reviews, it says the
22 department has ten days from receipt to review plans
23 unless otherwise shown in utilities, and then it has
24 20 days. My question, is that 10 days plus their 20,
25 or does the 20-day become the governing?

1 MR. BOWMAN: We will clarify it.

2 MR. CRAWFORD: Most places through this
3 you've indicated your review would be ten working
4 days.

5 MR. BOWMAN: It appears, according to the
6 scope, except for utility company submittals and the
7 Ohio Department of Natural Resources.

8 MR. CRAWFORD: The clarification was
9 whether it's your 10 that applies or whether it's 20.

10 MR. BOYTHE: The intent was 20 total.
11 It's not additional. It just highlights the fact
12 that there's going to be a delay when you involve
13 those other entities.

14 MR. CRAWFORD: Okay.

15 MR. BOWMAN: Some of that may be based on
16 past experience, too.

17 MR. CRAWFORD: Are you trying to say
18 utilities don't play well?

19 MR. BOWMAN: No, I didn't say that.

20 MR. CRAWFORD: I have another question.
21 10.2 on page 17 of the scope, "Noise-generating
22 construction activity shall not occur from 9:00 p.m.
23 to 7:00 a.m.," except with approval by the
24 department. I think that ought to be clarified. We
25 either can work at night or -- I don't know how you

1 bid "except as approved."

2 MR. BOWMAN: We will look into it.

3 MR. CARTER: Josh Carter, Jurgensen.

4 Section 10.2 is notes from the structure 11.92. Now,
5 these notes are to be carried forward to the project.
6 Do these notes apply to the entire project or to this
7 specific structure?

8 MR. BOWMAN: Under 10.2?

9 MR. CARTER: Yes.

10 MR. BOWMAN: The entire project.

11 MR. CARTER: So all of the rip-rap on the
12 project needs to be washed; is that correct?

13 MR. BOWMAN: Yes.

14 MR. O'RIELLEY: There was a printed
15 question and answer regarding the drainage scope
16 where you guys -- where it was clarified somewhat
17 what was required for the drainage, but then also a
18 statement about that the district is in the process
19 of evaluating the existing drainage based on the
20 Stage 2 plans. How soon will that be completed?

21 Our concern is if as you're doing the
22 evaluation and you determine some culverts need to be
23 replaced, since it is a design-build project,
24 there's a lot that would have to happen on our end if
25 we need to replace those structures.

1 MR. BOWMAN: We are in the process of
2 reviewing them now, and we're hoping to get something
3 out as soon as possible as far as clarifying that.

4 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Fernando Rodriguez. Are
5 exfiltration trenches going to be allowed on the
6 project?

7 MR. BOWMAN: I will have to check on
8 that.

9 MR. CARTER: Josh Carter, John R.
10 Jurgensen. Can you walk me through the MOT submittal
11 process again? You submit a conceptual 21 days, and
12 then there's a 14-day review as well. So would that
13 be concurrent? Or once the conceptual is approved
14 21 days prior, then you got to submit another one 14
15 days prior?

16 MR. BOWMAN: The 14 days prior is for
17 each buildable unit, so we would need 21 days to
18 review your conceptual and then a separate 14 days
19 for the buildable unit. Then the buildable unit is
20 built off of that, obviously, as the project goes on.

21 MR. CARTER: So technically the
22 conceptual would have to be submitted further than
23 21 days before construction starts.

24 MR. BOWMAN: The way it reads in the
25 scope. We may talk a little bit about that

1 afterwards and see if we need that much time. We'll
2 look into it if we think it needs clarified, but the
3 way it reads in the scope, yes, 21 days plus 14 for
4 that first submittal.

5 MR. CARTER: Josh Carter, John R.
6 Jurgensen. This one is just clerical. The section
7 on page 30 it talks about traffic entering 71.

8 MR. BOWMAN: We will get that changed.

9 MR. OHL: Kevin Ohl with Kokosing again.
10 An answer on your prebid website regarding the
11 topsoil said that a minimum of 4 inches of topsoil
12 will be required. That answer was never carried
13 forward to an addendum, so it's noncontractual right
14 now.

15 MR. BOWMAN: Okay.

16 MR. CARTER: Josh Carter, Jurgensen. In
17 general, if something is shown in the Stage 2 plans
18 but is not addressed at all in the scope, does the
19 scope override the Stage 2 plans?

20 MR. BOWMAN: The Stage 2 plans are for
21 information only. They're not contractual.

22 MR. CARTER: Then the material that will
23 be delivered to the city of Englewood, if we have to
24 take it there, will they unload it, or do we have to
25 have someone at the other end to unload it?

1 MR. BOWMAN: We will clarify that for
2 you.

3 Anybody else have anything?

4 So I know I talked a lot about a lot of
5 things today that are going to be issued in an
6 upcoming addendum, so we will try to get as much of
7 that clarified as we can. If it is a contract
8 change, it will be by addendum. If it's not, it will
9 be shown on our prebid website, construction website.

10 Any other questions?

11 MR. O'RIELLEY: When do you expect the
12 addendum to be out, a certain date at this point, the
13 next one?

14 MS. WAMPLER-LEY: Well, with everything
15 that I have to clarify, if I get my end done,
16 hopefully to the Central Office by Friday or Monday,
17 it will take them three days to process it through
18 FHWA from there, so hopefully by the end of next week
19 it will be posted to the website for you guys.

20 MR. OHL: If there's stuff available
21 sooner rather than later, I mean, from a contractor's
22 perspective, especially in a design-build job, we
23 would much rather see three addendum that answer five
24 questions each rather than wait for one addendum that
25 answers 15 questions. A lot of this stuff, like J.J.

1 mentioned, has a ripple effect on everything we're
2 doing.

3 MS. WAMPLER-LEY: Right. We will answer
4 the prebid questions, and those will go out
5 immediately, and if anything are attachments, they
6 will be addressed immediately; and then formally if
7 it's contractual, we will put it in an addendum.

8 MR. OHL: Thank you.

9 MR. CRAWFORD: Jim Crawford. Page 9,
10 8.2, talks about Basis of Payment, and the reference
11 to "Consultant" in the second paragraph, I don't know
12 who that is.

13 MS. WAMPLER-LEY: The design-build team.

14 MR. BOWMAN: It would be your consultant.

15 MR. CRAWFORD: With design-build team
16 projects, we don't have to furnish you the list of
17 quantities, but that's what this says.

18 MR. BOWMAN: As part of your plan, you're
19 going to have a summary that has a list of bid items,
20 correct?

21 MR. CRAWFORD: Nope. We may have one
22 internally, but that's not -- you don't -- we don't
23 furnish quantities.

24 MR. BOWMAN: We'll have to look into it.

25 MS. WAMPLER-LEY: We will have to look

1 into it with Eric.

2 MR. OHL: I guess to build on that, in
3 the same paragraph, the sentence that bleeds over to
4 the top of page 10 says, "Design-build team shall
5 provide subsummaries and a general summary with the
6 final construction plans," so --

7 MR. BOWMAN: That's the way I would have
8 interpreted that.

9 MR. OHL: Right. And we would expect
10 that, but that will be, obviously, later than when we
11 put the work into place. I want to make sure you
12 guys aren't confusing the two items here. That
13 summary that I think you're looking for comes much
14 later after you have put the work into place.

15 MR. BOWMAN: After you start. We will
16 clarify it.

17 Any other questions?

18 Okay. Well, I'd like to thank everybody
19 for coming today, and we will get these
20 clarifications out as soon as we can, addendums,
21 contract changes out as soon as we can.

22 (The meeting concluded at 10:44 a.m.)

23 - - -

24

25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the meeting taken by me in this matter on Wednesday, November 13, 2013, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes.

Rosemary Foster Anderson,
Professional Reporter and
Notary Public in and for
the State of Ohio.

My commission expires April 5, 2014.

(RFA-73753)

- - -