

Meeting Attendance



Project: 8021-15 PIKE
 Subject: PREBID 8021-15 PIK-SR-104-10.64 LAKE WHITE DAM|PID#83667|Major Recon.

Date/Time: Friday, June 26, 2015 @ 1:00 p.m.
 Location: DOT D09 GREAT SEAL CONFERENCE ROOM

| | Name | Company | Phone | Email |
|----|-----------------|--------------------|--------------|-----------------------------------|
| 1 | Ryan Schibury | Tow Path Ready Mix | 740-357-5724 | rschibury@towpathreadymix.com |
| 2 | Tom Whelan | Tow Path Ready Mix | | |
| 3 | Troy Huff | DOT PAV | 740-774-8929 | troy.huff@dot.state.oh.us |
| 4 | Doug Baskirk | ADOT | 740-774-9048 | doug.baskirk@dot.state.oh.us |
| 5 | Billy Sattin | Sunesis | 513-326-6880 | battin@sunesis.com |
| 6 | John Sattin | Sunesis | 513-326-6800 | jsattin@sunesis.com |
| 7 | Doug Smith | TRT | 513-316-6005 | dsmith@trtj.net.com |
| 8 | Kyle Buchner | PI5 | 865-202-5290 | kbuchner@pi5.com |
| 9 | HEATH STONE | PI5 | 865-603-1443 | HSTONE@PI5T.COM |
| 10 | Kolbit L. Allen | PI5 | 865-805-9107 | colleer@pi5.com |
| 11 | Tim Guenther | PI5 | 513-283-9585 | tim.guenther@pi5.net.com |
| 12 | Josh Carter | PI5 | 513-771-8820 | josh.carter@kmod.com |
| 13 | Ben Sivo | PI5 | 865-342-3047 | bsivo@pi5.com |
| 14 | CERTY ARVIDSON | PHILLIPS & TARDY | 865-337-0265 | CARVIDSON@PHANT.COM |
| 15 | Eric Reaver | Stantec | 740-815-9598 | erik.reaver@stantec.com |
| 16 | Rob Kirkbride | Stantec | 614-545-3398 | Rob.Kirkbride@stantec.com |
| 17 | ANGY BOYCE | Stantec | 614-444-4380 | angy@stantec.com |
| 18 | Tom Morrison | PI5 | 419-308-7000 | tom.morrison@stantec.com |
| 19 | Victor Piedrauo | ADOT | 740-774-8713 | Victor.Piedrauo@dot.state.oh.us |
| 20 | Matt Heuck | ADOT | 740-774-9055 | matr.heuck@dot.state.oh.us |
| 21 | Jason Beckwith | ADOT | 740-774-8910 | jason.beckwith@dot.state.oh.us |
| 22 | Stan Harris | Stantec | 43-842-8211 | stan.harris@stantec.com |
| 23 | Russ Hanson | Alpen Alloy | 304-375-1044 | asc@alpenalloy.com |
| 24 | Ron Jarvis | PI5 | PI5 | |
| 25 | Anthony Edgus | Ala Stone | 740-525-1585 | anthony@alastone.com |
| 26 | Greg Mansdi | ADOT D-9 | 740-774-8976 | greg.mansdi@dot.state.oh.us |
| 27 | DAVID BEETEMAN | ADOT PAV | 740-774-8952 | david.beeteman@dot.state.oh.us |
| 28 | DAVID BEETEMAN | ADOT D9 | 740-774-8952 | david.beeteman@dot.state.oh.us |
| 29 | Dave Marino | TRUSS CONST. | 740-417-9010 | dave.marino@trussconstruction.com |
| 30 | Ryan Foster | ADOT | 614-265-6961 | Ryan.Foster@dot.state.oh.us |

Meeting Attendance



Project: 8021-15 PIKE

Date/Time: Friday, June 26, 2015 @ 1:00 p.m.

Subject: PREBID 8021-15 PIK-SR-104-10.64 LAKE WHITE DAM/PI#83667/MAJOR RECON.

Location: DOT D09 GREAT SEAL CONFERENCE ROOM

| | Name | Company | Phone | Email |
|----|-----------------|------------------|--------------|---------------------------|
| 1 | Tom Schwabert | ODNR | 614-265-6801 | |
| 2 | Rich Kirk | ASI CONSTRUCTORS | 719-248-2647 | rkirk@asiconstructors.com |
| 3 | Harold YME | Oguz | 614/265-6774 | |
| 4 | Carrie Musumeci | "11" | 614/215-6956 | |
| 5 | Kathleen Fuller | DOT D9 | 719-774-8834 | KFuller@dot.ohio.gov |
| 6 | | | | |
| 7 | | | | |
| 8 | | | | |
| 9 | | | | |
| 10 | | | | |
| 11 | | | | |
| 12 | | | | |
| 13 | | | | |
| 14 | | | | |
| 15 | | | | |
| 16 | | | | |
| 17 | | | | |
| 18 | | | | |
| 19 | | | | |
| 20 | | | | |
| 21 | | | | |
| 22 | | | | |
| 23 | | | | |
| 24 | | | | |
| 25 | | | | |
| 26 | | | | |
| 27 | | | | |
| 28 | | | | |
| 29 | | | | |
| 30 | | | | |

OHIO DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
PRE-BID CONFERENCE

- - -

IN RE: LAKE WHITE DAM

PIK: 104-10.64

PROJECT NO: 8021-15

PID: 83667

- - -

OHIO DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
DISTRICT CONFERENCE ROOM
DISTRICT 9 HEADQUARTERS
650 EASTERN AVENUE
CHILLICOTHE, OHIO
FRIDAY, JUNE 26, 2015
1:00 P.M.

- - -

DIANA L. HODGE
RENO & ASSOCIATES
P.O. BOX 594
WAVERLY, OHIO 45694

1 FRIDAY AFTERNOON SESSION

2 June 26, 2015

3 - - -

4 P R O C E E D I N G S

5 MR. HUFF: I want to welcome you and
6 officially open the meeting. Anything that is
7 discussed from this point on is being recorded. It
8 will be put out as an addendum. Okay? Everything
9 that's discussed. So that will take probably a week
10 to get the recordings back, and then we issue the
11 addendum. Okay?

12 I need to make sure everybody is signed in
13 with your company. This sign-in sheet will become
14 part of the recordings. Okay?

15 With that, we're here to answer any
16 questions you have to clarify plan and proposal
17 intent. That's pretty much it. I want to make sure
18 everybody understands that. That's why we're here
19 today.

20 Okay. I'm going to have Doug go ahead and
21 give you the project scope of this project, in
22 summary.

23 MR. BUSKIRK: Thanks, Troy. I'll be very
24 brief. Hopefully everybody has seen the plans. The
25 project will reconstruct 1.04 miles of State Route

1 104. And there will be armoring on both sides of
2 the slope and across the top of where the pavement
3 will be. Concrete pavement will be placed on top of
4 the roller-compacted concrete.

5 The project will improve both the State
6 Route 551 and 552 intersections. 551 will have a
7 right turn lane added, and 552 will have both a left
8 and right turn lane added.

9 The project will relocate County Road 105,
10 Forest Hills Drive, and that will be relocated along
11 104 north, away from the intersection that's
12 currently there. The project will relocate Crooked
13 Creek. It will replace the bridge on 104 over Pee
14 Pee Creek.

15 There will be some repairs done to the
16 spillway that's there. There were some issues with
17 the spillway last fall, and ODNR did some emergency
18 repairs.

19 Hung, I don't know if you want to
20 elaborate on that?

21 MR. THAI: Yeah, sure. Last year, we
22 discovered leaks under the concrete spillway, so
23 ODNR and our team went out there and addressed that.
24 We basically looked at the area, and we have some
25 further works that maybe the consultant can go into

1 later about the approach of repair.

2 There are six siphons running out there.
3 We have a threshold, or water control level, that's
4 what it will be called, that must be adhered to
5 because the linkage through there. We isolated it
6 but we have not repaired the links yet.

7 That's the extent of where we are at with
8 this spillway.

9 MR. HUFF: I guess I have a question in
10 that. The contractor will have to maintain the
11 current lake level as it is today throughout the
12 duration of the project?

13 MR. THAI: Yes. We have a threshold of
14 the lake levels that we will be happy to share with
15 whoever gets the contract. It's critical to have
16 the lake level where we set it at, based on the
17 storage capacity of the lake and the potential
18 rainfall that we get in the area.

19 MR. HUFF: Okay. We'll start into the
20 question and answers. Depending on your question,
21 we don't know yet, but it will be ODOT, Stantec or
22 ODNR that answers, so we may have to kind of look at
23 each other, and somebody will have to step up to
24 answer that question.

25 So with that, we will start the questions

1 and answers. Remember to state your name and your
2 firm when you ask your questions. Okay. So with
3 that, the floor is open.

4 MR. HAUCK: Can we end the meeting?

5 MR. HUFF: We'll sit here for a few
6 minutes.

7 MR. SAXTON: All right. Bill Saxton,
8 Sunesis Construction. Where are we going to be able
9 to get water from for the RCC? Is there -- I mean,
10 the nearest fire hydrants that I saw was about
11 1,000, maybe 1,500 feet away. I don't even know if
12 that was a usable one. What is your plan to -- if
13 it's in here, I didn't see it on the specs. What is
14 the plan to get the water? From our calculations,
15 about two million gallons of water is going to be
16 needed.

17 The only thing I found is we're not
18 allowed to use the lake water, which I don't know if
19 you wanted to use that anyway. But what is your --
20 what is your -- do you guys have any idea? Are you
21 expecting us to truck it in, or is there access to
22 water?

23 MR. MORMAN: I'm Tom Morman. I'm with
24 Stantec Consulting.

25 Through the mixed design process, our

1 subconsultant had done a little bit of research and
2 Aqua Ohio had indicated that they would be able to
3 supply the water for the RCC.

4 MR. SAXTON: Okay.

5 MR. MORMAN: That's all I can tell you.
6 How and where, I don't --

7 MR. SAXTON: Yeah, okay. It would be nice
8 to get a little more detail on how and where we're
9 getting it from, whether it's -- are we tapping into
10 the hydrant up there and running another line down
11 to where the plant is going to be, or wherever it's
12 going to be? I mean, we need some direction here,
13 guys.

14 MR. HUFF: We will investigate that and
15 clarify it.

16 MR. SAXTON: All right.

17 MR. HUFF: Anything that we can't answer
18 today, we will defer a comment, and we'll tell you
19 that we'll have to investigate that, and we'll
20 report back to you.

21 MR. THAI: Well, we determined the lake
22 water is not qualified as part of the mix, right?
23 Typically, that would be the contractor's
24 responsibility to get the water to that.

25 MR. SAXTON: And I understand that. But

1 I'm just going to put it out there, we need to
2 know -- so everybody knows what we're going to have
3 to deal with, whether we're having semi loads of
4 water brought in, or hopefully we can work something
5 out before we have to do that. That's a significant
6 cost.

7 MR. HARSANYE: I'll speak a little more on
8 that. The contract documents talked that --
9 addressed that the contractor is responsible for
10 bringing the water, period. You guys find out where
11 to get it. There's a spec in there. You're not
12 allowed to use the lake water. You have got to take
13 it from there.

14 MR. SAXTON: Okay. Can we get some
15 direction on who is in charge of the water -- the
16 hydrants that are out there, if we can get to those?
17 I mean, we need some two-way work to have -- we
18 don't know who this -- like, when we drove around
19 town, there's one hydrant that's white and blue,
20 there's one that's red. So whose hydrants are
21 whose? Are they all Aqua?

22 We have no idea who to contact. We need a
23 little help from you guys, if we can even go that
24 route. Or drill a well and get our own water. I
25 mean, I don't know what our options are.

1 MR. HARSANYE: You've got Pike County
2 Water and you've got Aqua Ohio. Those are your two
3 sources that I'm aware of out there.

4 MR. SAXTON: Okay.

5 MR. HARSANYE: You can contact them and
6 get your understanding of the different color codes
7 on hydrants. I would assume one is a private
8 hydrant, being a different color.

9 MR. SAXTON: Well, that's what I'm saying.
10 I didn't know. Anything that you guys can help us
11 with.

12 MR. HARSANYE: We did not look into the
13 water that close, all of it.

14 MR. SAXTON: I know it's a dry mix, but
15 you still need a lot of water.

16 MR. HARSANYE: Right.

17 MR. SAXTON: And a lot of water for
18 curing.

19 MR. SMITH: Dan Smith with Jurgenson.

20 If there's been contact made with the
21 water companies, could we at least have the name and
22 number for contact personnel?

23 MR. HARSANYE: We can put that in the
24 minutes here and get that out.

25 MR. MORMAN: I think that information is

1 in your plan notes.

2 MS. BOYCE: Under the utilities, there
3 should be a contact for Aqua Ohio.

4 MR. HUFF: Just for closure, both
5 companies are listed on Page 10 of 241.

6 MR. SAXTON: I guess I would like to get
7 clarification on expectations of finished steps for
8 this RCC. I know that's kind of a broad question.
9 Doing these two-foot steps -- typically, it's a
10 one-foot step, but doing two-foot vertical steps
11 with RCC is a little -- depends on the quality of
12 the step and the finish that's going to be done.
13 It's a very coarse mix. What are your expectations
14 for the finish on this thing? I mean, is it totally
15 sealed? It's a coarse mix that's going to have, you
16 know, air holes and stuff like that in it. It's
17 going to kind of look rough, like honeycombs.

18 I just wanted to put that out there and
19 see what you guys had to -- if anybody had anything
20 to say about that. And is there different options
21 to use along that edge if there is, whether it's a
22 concrete mix versus an RCC on that front edge, or
23 what's the options?

24 MR. HARRIS: Stan Harris with Stantec.

25 We do have two-foot steps called for. The

1 RCC is still to be placed in 12-inch lifts, so each
2 step is consistent to 12-inch lifts.

3 Yes, the finish of RCC will not be the
4 same as conventional cast-in-place concrete. You
5 will have more voids and honeycombing. That being
6 said -- and I'll have to look at the specs and see
7 if we clarify that enough.

8 MR. SAXTON: When I read it, to me, it
9 sounded really kind of open. I wasn't sure what you
10 guys really -- we all want it to look good when it's
11 done because that's all you're going to see, driving
12 down through there.

13 MR. HARRIS: We will review that section
14 of the spec and see if it needs to be clarified.

15 MR. SAXTON: Okay.

16 MR. HARRIS: Along the edges of the step,
17 we still have -- need to get compaction so that we
18 have a good, durable material. There are -- the
19 specifications have guidelines on how close you can
20 get to the forms with the larger rollers, so,
21 obviously, next to the forms, you have to use
22 smaller compaction equipment.

23 MR. SAXTON: Okay.

24 MR. HARRIS: We're still trying to achieve
25 the target density. So, in summary, yes, we

1 understand that with RCC, you don't end up with a
2 product that looks like cast-in-place concrete.
3 There will be more voids. It will be more open
4 graded.

5 MR. SAXTON: Okay.

6 MR. HARRIS: But we will take a look at
7 that spec and see if we need to clarify anything on
8 the finish.

9 MR. SAXTON: Okay.

10 MR. KING: Rich King with ASI
11 Constructors.

12 In the plant requirements, you're
13 specifying a production rate capacity, but then
14 you're also specifying two mixers. That's probably
15 over the top on this particular job. It's going to
16 be significantly more expensive than the job
17 requires. Is there any consideration to going with
18 just the 200 yards-an-hour capacity and not double
19 mixers?

20 MR. HARRIS: We will take a look at that
21 and address it.

22 MR. KING: Okay. Are there bid items in
23 the maintenance and protection of traffic that are
24 designed to repair the detour should it break down
25 over the course of the project? Or is that going to

1 be handled by the owner outside of the contract?
2 How is the detour maintenance being addressed?

3 MR. HAUCK: Matt Hauck, ODOT District 9.

4 There's quantities set up for the local
5 detours only. The rest of the detours are on the
6 state routes. We are under the assumption that
7 those routes will handle the additional traffic. So
8 only items have been set up to repair the local
9 detours.

10 MR. KING: And those would be?

11 MR. HAUCK: Those are designated in the
12 plans on sheet -- hold on one second.

13 MS. BOYCE: The detour quantities are on
14 Sheet 16 under "Designated local detour route."

15 MR. HAUCK: Well, the local detour --
16 there's only one local detour. That will be Forest
17 Hills Boulevard and then Little Theater Road. The
18 rest are state routes. That's what the quantities
19 on Sheet 16 are set up for, the county roads.

20 MR. KING: Follow-up question, then. Can
21 we assume that there's no snow removal required on
22 any of these detours? How about the local detours
23 that you're referring to, then? Is there snow
24 removal obligations there?

25 MR. HAUCK: There will be no snow removal

1 obligations.

2 MR. KING: Thank you.

3 Will the Ohio Department of Natural
4 Resources have an on-site representative as well?
5 And will they have inspection obligation and
6 authority, or is this project solely under the
7 jurisdiction of the highway department?

8 MR. HARSANYE: We have a consultant,
9 Stantec, and they will have a project rep in the
10 field during construction. Their assignment will be
11 to focus on the dam safety improvements.

12 MR. HUFF: ODOT will be administering the
13 project. We will have our staff there doing all the
14 inspection and project administration. Their
15 consultant will be working with us.

16 MR. THAI: We also have a dam safety
17 regulator. They will stop by and visit the site
18 periodically, the RCC and the spillway repair.

19 MR. KING: So the highway department will
20 have their standard administrative personnel,
21 including inspectors, and ODNR will have Stantec's
22 representatives there? Is that the correct summary?

23 MR. BUSKIRK: Yes.

24 MR. HUFF: Yes.

25 While you guys are thinking of a few more

1 questions, I'll open up to a statement. I think
2 Tom, from Stantec, will probably clarify this. The
3 statement is that the contractor will not be
4 operating the gates on that dam. Okay? If the
5 gates need to be open or closed because of storm
6 water or something like that, we'll get a hold of
7 ODNR, or their agent, to operate the gates. We have
8 been informed that ODNR does not want the contractor
9 operating the gates. I'm sorry. ODOT does not want
10 you opening the gates. There's contractual issues
11 there between you and us.

12 So with that, Tom, would you like to
13 clarify anything on that statement?

14 MR. MORMAN: Not on that statement. But
15 my statement is, the qualifications for the RCC
16 should be included in your bid package submittal.

17 MR. CARTER: Josh Carter with Jurgenson.
18 How?

19 MR. MORMAN: How do we want the bid
20 package submittal of the -- the RCC clause, how do
21 we want those submitted?

22 MR. HARRIS: With the project, we would
23 like to see -- you know, the spec lays out what the
24 requirements are. We would like to see the
25 qualifications of the personnel, a list of some

1 projects that you have worked on in the past.

2 MR. SAXTON: When we submit our bid
3 through ODOT, we can't put that stuff in there.
4 That would be something that would be after the bid.
5 The electronic bid, it doesn't work that way, as far
6 as I know of.

7 MR. HUFF: We will get back with that
8 question and your follow-up question. We need to
9 research that.

10 MR. SAXTON: As soon as he said it, I was
11 thinking the same thing, how.

12 MR. ARVIDSON: Jerry Arvidson with
13 Phillips & Jordan.

14 Is there a minimum criteria for RCC
15 qualifications?

16 MR. HARRIS: There is. It's in -- let me
17 find the page of the spec. It's on Page 3 of the
18 spec, under where it talks about the quality control
19 plan. It lays out that the contractor or the
20 subcontractor must demonstrate experience in
21 production and placement of RCC by having at least
22 two jobs of similar quantity and scope in the past
23 three years. Foreman, superintendent and plant
24 operator shall be experienced with at least two jobs
25 of similar quantity and scope from the past three

1 years.

2 Let me say something. It says, "Similar
3 quantity and scope." Our intent is not to say that,
4 you know, if this job has 100,000 yards of RCC, that
5 it necessarily has to be a job of 100,000 yards of
6 RCC. But it has to be somewhat similar in the scope
7 and size to demonstrate -- so that we can have
8 confidence that the RCC can be placed in a
9 reasonable schedule, if that makes sense.

10 MR. SAXTON: I'm changing a little bit
11 here. The bid item for spillway gate operations, it
12 states that this item includes, but not limited to,
13 the calibration of water level instrumentation,
14 replacement of monitoring equipment and software.

15 I actually spoke to Ashish yesterday. You
16 know, he was saying, well, it could be -- it won't
17 be any more than \$150,000. He had no idea. Is
18 there any way that you guys can either reach out
19 with him and come up with a dollar amount allowance
20 for that item? He just gives me that, so I'm just
21 throwing in \$100,000. I mean, I don't want to take
22 a risk on it. He said, "Oh, it will never be that
23 high," but he doesn't know. He doesn't really know
24 what all.

25 He said the radios don't work out there,

1 and something else. The cameras don't work. So is
2 there a way that you guys -- and instead of -- so we
3 can get the bids more competitive or consistent, you
4 know, instead of this wild thing of -- you know, we
5 have no idea what this is going to have. Does that
6 make sense?

7 Oh Page 12, it gives you the contact
8 information and everything. Like I said, I called
9 him yesterday and --

10 MR. THAI: We have some modification to
11 the system recently.

12 MR. SAXTON: Yes.

13 MR. THAI: How about let's get back with
14 you on some of the issues that you have raised up?

15 MR. SAXTON: Yes. I mean, just to -- you
16 know, just to make it easy for, you know, everybody
17 in this room.

18 MR. THAI: Yes.

19 MR. SAXTON: You know, an allowance -- if
20 he gives you and budget and he just puts down an
21 allowance in there, you guys can work that out with
22 them direct and take us out of it. We can be the
23 middleman, but -- I may put 150 and this guy back
24 here may put two grand.

25 MR. THAI: You know, we have done some

1 work out there recently. So let's talk to Sutron
2 about what kind of modification we've done and what
3 needs to be followed up to get the system complete,
4 and then we'll provide the information.

5 MR. HUFF: We will follow up with that
6 question and make a determination of if we need to
7 make a fixed bid item or not.

8 MR. SAXTON: That's all I'm asking.

9 MR. KING: Tom mentioned before that
10 Stantec had an RCC subconsultant. Are we able to
11 find out who that is?

12 MR. MORMAN: Yes. It was Kleinfelder.

13 MR. KING: Follow-up question, then. Do
14 you know whether they were able to identify local
15 aggregates that met their need?

16 MR. MORMAN: Yes.

17 MR. SAXTON: To follow up with what he
18 brought up, I actually spoke to one of the
19 suppliers, which he spoke to that company -- and I
20 don't remember what you just said, sorry. He said
21 he spoke to that engineer, and I guess they are out
22 of California, and they sent him some samples, and
23 basically he said with that design that's in the
24 special provisions isn't what they were spec'ing.
25 He said it's not even close to what we suggested the

1 mix was.

2 So I think you guys need to -- I was going
3 to actually type that one in and send it to you
4 guys. I just found that out this morning. He
5 talked to them direct, and the guy said that's not
6 what we had designed.

7 So I don't know if there was a
8 communication or whether it was a miscommunication
9 on their end. I mean, I can still send that
10 question to get it clarified. He said something
11 about the fine sand wasn't correct, it wouldn't
12 work. I know you need to follow up on that.

13 MR. HARRIS: I know Kleinfelder got --
14 actually got materials from different sources, and
15 we shipped them out to California and they actually
16 made trial samples in a laboratory mix program. So
17 that's how they came up with the --

18 MR. SAXTON: Okay. That's what I'm
19 saying. For some reason, he was telling me that
20 that mix that they came up with out there, for some
21 reason, doesn't match what's in the special
22 provisions. I don't know if that's correct or not.
23 That's what he was telling me. I'm just putting it
24 out there.

25 MR. CARTER: The gradations for the sand,

1 from the No. 4 down, match the total gradations,
2 which doesn't really leave any room for the coarse
3 aggregates when you do that. So something just
4 didn't seem right between those two.

5 MR. HARRIS: We'll take a look at that.

6 MR. KING: Can the highway department
7 share a little bit on how it is that they enforce
8 the 50 percent self performed by the prime
9 contractor?

10 MR. HUFF: Would you repeat that question,
11 please?

12 MR. KING: I'm interested to know how it
13 is that the highway department enforces the
14 50 percent self-perform obligation that's in the
15 contract by the prime contractor. Is that done by
16 approval of subcontracts? Is it at contract unit
17 prices, or is it some other fashion?

18 MR. PICCIANO: If you're talking about
19 subcontractor, prime contractor, it's dollar amount.

20 MR. KING: Correct.

21 MR. PICCIANO: Does that answer your
22 question?

23 MR. KING: No, that is my question.

24 MR. PICCIANO: It's determined by dollar
25 amount of work performed.

1 MR. KING: Okay. The dollar amount that
2 you're referring to is the contract unit price, as
3 bid to the Ohio Department, or is it a --

4 MR. PICCIANO: That's the only way I can
5 convey money from a funding source to the prime
6 contractor, is through a bid item.

7 MR. KING: I understand that. Let's say
8 that there is an item that has two or three
9 subcontractors that participate in the same item as
10 it comes to the owner from the general contractor.
11 Does the general contractor give you a distribution
12 of that item, and then you assign it accordingly?

13 MR. PICCIANO: Yes.

14 MR. KING: Regardless, though, all three
15 of those other participants are still
16 subcontractors, so the whole item goes away.

17 MR. PICCIANO: Yes.

18 MR. KING: How is it handled if the
19 general contractor is a participant in that? Do
20 they say, I'm doing ten dollars' worth of this item
21 and the subcontractor is doing the other five
22 dollars' worth of this item, and therefore they get
23 charged only five dollars on that item,
24 subcontracted?

25 MR. PICCIANO: Yes. I believe it's that

1 way. If we want to get into hard and fast
2 particulars on that, that's an answer for central
3 office contracts.

4 MR. KING: Okay.

5 MR. MARINO: Dave Marino with Trucco.

6 Maybe an explanation of what a C-92 is
7 would help. I don't know if you're familiar with a
8 C-92?

9 MR. KING: I'm not.

10 MR. PICCIANO: Okay.

11 MR. KING: I'm not familiar with the
12 contracting methods of the department.

13 MR. PICCIANO: That's a document where you
14 declare your subcontractors and then their assigned
15 pay items and dollar amounts on the C-92. It will
16 all be split up in that manner. Those have to be
17 filed and all done with your contract before a
18 subcontractor can work.

19 MR. SAXTON: Back on the RCC, can the test
20 section be done in the same location as the proposed
21 is being placed? I mean, sometimes they want it
22 done in a separate location so it's not where the
23 proposed is going. I guess it simply is, if it goes
24 where it's supposed to go and it passes a test,
25 there's no reason to tear it out. If, for some

1 reason, it doesn't come up or whatever the reason
2 is -- well, do you guys have an issue with putting
3 it in place where the proposed is going, to
4 simplify?

5 MR. HARRIS: Right now, the spec says it's
6 to be torn out.

7 MR. SAXTON: Okay.

8 MR. HARRIS: But I think we could revisit
9 that and address it in the response.

10 MR. SAXTON: Okay.

11 MR. HUFF: For clarification, in bidding,
12 we need to probably answer that question, whether
13 it's a yes or a no, in the addendum, whether you're
14 going to allow that to remain or not.

15 MR. MORMAN: It's in the documents.

16 MR. SAXTON: You trying to give me a hard
17 time?

18 MR. MORMAN: I can answer that. It's in
19 the documents.

20 MR. SAXTON: I know that you're going to
21 answer.

22 MR. MORMAN: A test section is a test
23 section.

24 MR. SAXTON: I know. But if it passes the
25 test, there's no sense in wasting all that. It's a

1 pretty substantial test area. It's not just one
2 little spot.

3 MR. HUFF: Is that your official response
4 to that question?

5 MR. MORMAN: I say leave it the way it is
6 in the document. Bid the documents the way they
7 are.

8 MR. HUFF: Okay. The official answer is
9 bid it as it's in the documents.

10 MR. SAXTON: God bless you.

11 MR. HUFF: Okay. It slowed down a little
12 bit. I'll give first call to any further questions.
13 When I get to the third call, we will end this
14 meeting.

15 This is first call to any more questions.

16 Okay. Second call for any further
17 questions while we are here?

18 MR. ARVIDSON: Will there be an organized
19 site visit?

20 MR. HUFF: No. You are more than welcome,
21 on your own, to go down there, but we will not have
22 any organized visit.

23 Second call for any further questions.

24 As we sit here today, the sale date is
25 still 7-16-2015. We should have the -- her document

1 back in about a week. We will be working on your
2 questions. It might take a few days to get
3 everything put together, and we will issue an
4 addendum for today's meeting. So we'll have her
5 recordings, the answers to your questions, and the
6 sign-in sheet will all be on there. So probably in
7 ten days to two weeks, we'll try to have that out
8 there for you. So you have a few days to review it
9 and investigate it and put some numbers to it.

10 We realize we're less than -- what are we,
11 three weeks away? We will try to have it done in
12 two weeks. So that puts some pressure on you guys
13 to get answers going, too.

14 Second call. Any further questions?

15 MR. KING: That timing that you just
16 described, will that -- will all the answers be
17 issued prior to the date when we have to ask
18 questions? If we have a question on the answers,
19 will we have an opportunity to ask them?

20 MR. HUFF: I think, yeah, that's why we
21 want to get it out in two weeks, so you have a few
22 days to ask follow-up questions to what we put.
23 That gives us a few days to put an addendum out or
24 answer your question.

25 One of the reasons we're here today is so

1 you can ask these questions. I mean, don't wait
2 until the last minute because there is a cutoff for
3 us to answer the addendum. But if you have an
4 important question and you want an answer, today is
5 it.

6 MR. KING: Sure.

7 MR. HUFF: If you wait until the addendum
8 comes out and you want to do a follow up, that may
9 not give us enough time to do it. That's why we're
10 here today.

11 MR. KING: Fair enough. But we can't
12 answer -- we can't ask a question on the answer
13 until we have an answer.

14 MR. HUFF: Right. We understand that. We
15 will do our best to answer any follow-up questions.
16 But there is a cutoff where we don't have to respond
17 to them. After the 10th, we don't have to respond
18 to questions. So two weeks from --

19 MR. HAUCK: The question has to be
20 submitted by then.

21 MR. HUFF: The question has to be
22 submitted before the 10th. Anything after the 10th,
23 we don't. We'll try to get her stuff back and get
24 our stuff attached, and we'll do our best to get it
25 out there in the next ten days. We got a holiday

1 and --

2 MR. ARVIDSON: Can you send out the
3 sign-in sheet from today prior to that, or can we
4 take a copy with us?

5 MR. HUFF: No.

6 MR. SAXTON: Everywhere else does. You
7 can go right upstairs and make a copy of it and be
8 done with it.

9 MR. HUFF: We can get you a copy. Stick
10 around at the end, and we'll try to get copies made.

11 I would like to introduce Kathleen Fuller.
12 She's the voice of ODOT. Anybody ever heard
13 Kathleen's name on the radio? She's our public
14 information officer. I'm grateful to have her help
15 me today.

16 MS. FULLER: I'll be right back.

17 MR. HUFF: All right. The answer to that
18 was, we're going to make copies for anybody that
19 wants the sign-in sheets.

20 Any other questions? Second call.

21 Okay. Second and final call. Any further
22 questions?

23 Third call. Meeting is adjourned. Thank
24 you.

25 - - -

1 Thereupon at 1:57 p.m., Friday, June 26th,
2 2015, the pre-bid meeting was completed.

3 - - -
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify on this 1st day of July, 2015 that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings and testimony in this matter as compared with my stenographic notes.

My commission expires June 19, 2017

Diana L. Hodge
DIANA L. HODGE, NOTARY PUBLIC
IN AND FOR THE STATE OF OHIO