

**Table of Contents**

Executive Summary ..... ES-1

1.0 Introduction ..... 1-1

    1.1 Project Location and Study Area ..... 1-1

        1.1.1 Study Area Descriptions ..... 1-2

    1.2 ODOT's Project Development Process ..... 1-4

2.0 Purpose and Need ..... 2-1

    2.1 Summary Purpose and Need Statement ..... 2-1

        2.1.1 Purpose of the Proposed Action ..... 2-1

        2.1.2 Summary of Needs ..... 2-1

    2.2 Identified Needs ..... 2-1

        2.2.1 Innerbelt Freeway infrastructure ..... 2-1

        2.2.2 Innerbelt Freeway Operational Performance ..... 2-4

        2.2.3 Innerbelt Freeway Safety ..... 2-9

        2.2.4 Innerbelt Freeway Design Deficiencies ..... 2-10

        2.2.5 Innerbelt Freeway Access ..... 2-12

    2.3 Purpose and Need Conclusion ..... 2-14

3.0 Alternatives Considered ..... 3-1

    3.1 Introduction ..... 3-1

    3.2 Strategic Plan ..... 3-1

    3.3 Conceptual Alternatives Study ..... 3-3

        3.3.1 Innerbelt Curve Section ..... 3-3

        3.3.2 Innerbelt Trench Section ..... 3-4

        3.3.3 Central Interchange Section ..... 3-5

        3.3.4 Central Viaduct Bridge Section ..... 3-6

        3.3.5 Southern Innerbelt Section ..... 3-7

        3.3.6 C-D Roadways Section ..... 3-7

        3.3.7 I-77 Access Section ..... 3-8

        3.3.8 West 7<sup>th</sup> Street Interchange Section ..... 3-8

    3.4 Feasible Alternatives

        3.4.1 Description of Feasible Alternatives ..... 3-9

        3.4.2 Refinements to Feasible Alternatives ..... 3-12

            3.4.2.1 Innerbelt Curve ..... 3-14

            3.4.2.2 Innerbelt Trench ..... 3-14

            3.4.2.3 Central Interchange/Central Viaduct ..... 3-15

            3.4.2.4 Southern Innerbelt ..... 3-18

            3.4.2.5 I-77 Access ..... 3-18

4.0 Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences ..... 4-1

    4.1 Natural Environment ..... 4-1

        4.1.1 Geology- Soil and Bedrock ..... 4-1

        4.1.2 Aquatic Resources ..... 4-1

        4.1.3 Stormwater ..... 4-2

        4.1.4 Wetlands ..... 4-3

        4.1.5 Terrestrial Resources ..... 4-3

        4.1.6 Threatened and Endangered Species ..... 4-4

        4.1.7 Drinking Water Resources ..... 4-5

        4.1.8 Floodplains ..... 4-5

        4.1.9 Farmland ..... 4-5

        4.1.10 Parks and Other Green spaces ..... 4-5

        4.1.11 Hazardous Waste ..... 4-6

        4.1.12 Air Quality ..... 4-6

        4.1.13 Noise Analysis ..... 4-11

        4.1.14 Vibration Analysis ..... 4-16

    4.2 Social Environment ..... 4-20

        4.2.1 Visual Resources ..... 4-20

        4.2.2 Land Use and Development ..... 4-22

        4.2.3 Neighborhoods/ Community Access ..... 4-24

        4.2.4 Community Facilities and Services ..... 4-27

        4.2.5 Property Impacts and Relocations ..... 4-28

        4.2.6 Demographic Conditions ..... 4-31

        4.2.7 Regional Economic Effects ..... 4-34

        4.2.8 Local Economic Effects ..... 4-38

        4.2.9 Environmental Justice and Title VI ..... 4-38

        4.2.10 Other Transportation Modes in the Study Area ..... 4-39

        4.2.11 Cultural Resources ..... 4-41

    4.3 Technical Issues ..... 4-44

        4.3.1 Construction Impacts ..... 4-44

        4.3.2 Relationship of Local Short-Term Uses of Man's Environment and the Maintenance and Enhancement of Long-Term Productivity ..... 4-45

        4.3.3 Irreversible and Irrecoverable Commitments of Resources ..... 4-46

        4.3.4 Relationship to State and Local Transportation Plans ..... 4-46

    4.4 Secondary and Cumulative Impacts ..... 4-47

    4.5 Draft Section 4(f) Evaluation ..... 4-49

    4.6 Comparison of Feasible Alternatives ..... 4-56

5.0 Public Involvement and Agency Coordination .....	5-1
5.1 Introduction.....	5-1
5.2 Central Viaduct Bridge Subcommittee and Bridge-type Public Meetings .....	5-1
5.3 Design and Aesthetics Subcommittee .....	5-1
5.4 General Public Meeting .....	5-2
5.5 Section 106 Consulting Parties Process.....	5-2
5.6 Project Website .....	5-2
5.7 Notice of Intent .....	5-3
5.8 Summary of Public Comments .....	5-3
5.9 Agency Coordination .....	5-6
5.10 Summary of Key Issues.....	5-10
6.0 Implementation Plan .....	6-1
6.1 Implementation Plan and Cost Estimates.....	6-1
6.2 Environmental Commitments .....	6-1
6.3 Actions Required from Other State and Federal Agencies.....	6-2

**Exhibits**

- Exhibit A – Northern Alignment Alternative (Overview Sheets A-A to A-G; Detail Sheets 1-44: full project length)
- Exhibit B – Southern Alignment Alternative (Overview Sheets B-B to B-C; Detail Sheets 9-22: where different from Exhibit A)

**Appendices**

- A-Section 4(f) Data
- B-Purpose and Need Data
- C-Previous Reports (on CD)
- D-Environmental Data
- E- Agency Coordination
- F- Public Involvement Materials (on CD)
- G-Design Information

**Figures**

Figure 1-1: Innerbelt Study Area .....	1-1
Figure 1-2: Innerbelt Corridor and Innerbelt Freeway.....	1-2
Figure 1-3: Innerbelt Corridor Sections .....	1-3
Figure 1-4: ODOT Project Development Process for Major Projects.....	1-6
Figure 2-1: Cleveland Community Development Corporations.....	2-13
Figure 3-1: Recommended Design Concept and Scope .....	3-2
Figure 3-2: Innerbelt Curve Alternative F.....	3-3
Figure 3-3: Far Eastern Alignment Alternatives .....	3-4
Figure 3-4: Chester Alignment (No Payne) Alternatives .....	3-4
Figure 3-5: Dual Intersection Alternatives.....	3-5
Figure 3-6: Southern Alternative .....	3-5
Figure 3-7: Northern Hybrid .....	3-6
Figure 3-8: Southern Hybrid .....	3-6
Figure 3-9: Mainline Widening with Jennings Freeway Add Alternative .....	3-7
Figure 3-10: C-D Roadway Relocation Alternative .....	3-7
Figure 3-11: I-77 Access Improvements Alternative .....	3-8
Figure 3-12: West 7 <sup>th</sup> Street No-Build Alternative .....	3-8
Figure 4-1: Location of Additional Through Lanes .....	4-8
Figure 4-2: VMT versus MSAT Emissions .....	4-9
Figure 4-3: Location 1 – Measurement Locations near Pilgrim Congregational Church.....	4-16
Figure 4-4: Location 2 – Measurement Locations near St. Augustine Roman Catholic Church .....	4-16
Figure 4-5: Location 3 – Measurement Locations near the Greek Orthodox Church .....	4-17
Figure 4-6: Location 4 – Measurement Locations near CSU Mather Mansion .....	4-17
Figure 4-7: View of Existing Central Viaduct.....	4-20
Figure 4-8: Aerial View of Innerbelt Curve .....	4-20
Figure 4-9: Location of Mather Mansion .....	4-20
Figure 4-10: Innerbelt Trench Constraints .....	4-20
Figure 4-11: Central Interchange .....	4-21
Figure 4-12: Land Use 2005 .....	4-23
Figure 4-13: Zoning 2-5 .....	4-23
Figure 4-14: 2020 Comprehensive Plan .....	4-24
Figure 4-15: Percent Minority .....	4-32
Figure 4-16: Percent African American.....	4-32
Figure 4-17: Percent Hispanic .....	4-33
Figure 4-18: Percent Asian .....	4-33
Figure 4-19: Poverty Rates.....	4-34
Figure 4-20: Unemployment Rates .....	4-35



**Tables**

Table 2-1: General Bridge Appraisal Codes .....2-2

Table 2-2: Bridge Deck Summary .....2-2

Table 2-3: Innerbelt Freeway Pavement Conditions Ratings.....2-3

Table 2-4: Level of Service .....2-4

Table 2-5: Existing (2000) and Future (2035) Mainline Volumes and LOS.....2-5

Table 2-6: Existing (2000) and Future (2035) Mainline Volumes and LOS.....2-6

Table 2-7a: Existing (2000) and Future (2035) Ramp Volumes and LOS .....2-7

Table 2-7b: Existing (2000) and Future (2035) Ramp Volumes and LOS .....2-8

Table 2-8: Highway Safety Program Listings in Study Area (by year) .....2-9

Table 2-9: Hot Spot Listings in Study Area (by year).....2-9

Table 2-10: Mainline Crash Rates (2002-2004).....2-9

Table 2-11: Inadequate Acceleration, Deceleration, Weave or Ramp Terminal Spacing Lengths .....2-12

Table 2-12: Inadequate Curve Radius (Freeway Mainline).....2-12

  

Table 3-1: Design Standards .....3-9

Table 3-2: Deviations from Design Standards .....3-9

Table 3-3: Intersection Operations.....3-13

Table 3-3: Ontario On-Ramp/Intersections Options.....3-17

  

Table 4-1: Terrestrial Habitat Aerial Extent .....4-3

Table 4-2: Animal Species Observed .....4-4

Table 4-3: Phase II Environmental Site Assessment.....4-6

Table 4-4: National Ambient Air Quality Standards .....4-6

Table 4-5: Daily Vehicle Miles Traveled.....4-10

Table 4-6: Noise Abatement Criteria.....4-12

Table 4-7: Noise Impact Summary .....4-13

Table 4-8: Noise Barrier Design Analysis Summary .....4-15

Table 4-9: Summary of Ground-Borne Vibration Measurement Data .....4-18

Table 4-10: Low Frequency Traffic Noise-Induced Vibration Assessment .....4-19

Table 4-11: Disposition of I-90 Westbound Access Points .....4-26

Table 4-12: Disposition of I-90 Eastbound Access Points .....4-26

Table 4-13: Disposition of I-71 Local Access Points .....4-26

Table 4-14: Disposition of I-77 Northbound Local Access Points .....4-26

Table 4-15: Disposition of I-77 Southbound Local Access Points.....4-26

Table 4-16: Religious Facilities Located Within the Study Area.....4-28

Table 4-17: Residential Impacts .....4-28

Table 4-18: Business Impacts.....4-29

Table 4-19: Population.....4-31

Table 4-20: Population Dynamics.....4-31

  

Table 4-21: Individuals in Poverty .....4-34

Table 4-22: Unemployment .....4-34

Table 4-23: Income.....4-34

Table 4-24: Employment Sectors 1990 .....4-35

Table 4-25: Employment Sectors 2000 .....4-35

Table 4-26: Total User Benefits due to Innerbelt Improvements by Region, 2025 .....4-36

Table 4-27: Change in Employment due to Cleveland Innerbelt Improvements, 2010-2035 .....4-36

Table 4-28: Change in Gross Regional Product, 2010-2035.....4-36

Table 4-29: Change in Income due to Cleveland Innerbelt Improvements, 2010-2035.....4-36

Table 4-30: Change in Employment by Industry due to Innerbelt Improvements, 2035 .....4-37

Table 4-31: Change in Employment due to Cleveland Innerbelt Construction .....4-37

Table 4-32: Change in Gross Regional Product due to Cleveland Innerbelt Construction .....4-37

Table 4-33: Change in Income due to Cleveland Innerbelt Construction .....4-37

Table 4-34: Disadvantaged Population Characteristics by Census Tract in 2000 .....4-39

Table 4-35: Impacts to Historic Properties in the Central Interchange/Central Viaduct Area .....4-42

Table 4-36: Impacts to Historic Properties.....4-43

Table 4-37: Impacts to Section 4(f) Properties in Central Viaduct/Central Interchange .....4-52

Table 4-38: Comparison of Section 4(f) Avoidance Alternatives .....4-55

Table 4-39: Comparison of Feasible Alternatives for Central Viaduct/Central Interchange.....4-57

  

Table 5-1: Section 106 Consulting Parties Members .....5-3

Table 5-2: Summary of Comments.....5-4

Table 5-3: Agency Responses to SAFETEA-LU Coordination .....5-6

Table 5-4: Agency Coordination Summary.....5-7

Table 5-5: Summary of Key Issues .....5-10