

Ohio Department of Transportation Prebid Questions

Project No. 100330

Sale Date - 5/27/2010

Question Submitted: 5/13/2010

Question Number: 1

Can the cones in the Traffic Control plans be eliminated? If they can be eliminated would you require a pilot car as part of the revised traffic control plan with the flaggers?

The cones in the Traffic Control plan can not be eliminated. The traffic control for this project shall be as stated in the plans and proposal.

Question Submitted: 5/13/2010

Question Number: 2

Does the Department of Transportation ever consider alternative traffic control plans post bid, when the contractor and the state identify safety problems with the existing plan?

The traffic control for this project shall be as stated in the plans and proposal.

Question Submitted: 5/19/2010

Question Number: 3

Grinding edge line rumble strips only removes 5" of every 12" of edge line. The 7" of segmented markings that remain will appear as a continuous edge line. In addition we will be leaving a 12' gap of continuous edge line every 50'. Painting operations will not occur each day as rumble strips are installed since it is not practical for either operation to work together on the same roads. Will you consider eliminating the "No Edge Line" signs? If they are required please specify how and where they are to be erected.

Per OMUTCD Section 6F.44 NO CENTER LINE and NO EDGE LINE Signs (W8-H12, W8-H12a) Guidance: The NO CENTER LINE (W8-H12) sign (see Figure 6F-4, Sheet 2 of 4) or the NO EDGE LINE sign (W8-H12a) sign (see Appendix C) should be used as appropriate when the work obliterates the center or edge line pavement markings. The sign should be placed at the beginning of the TTC zone and repeated at 3.2 km (2mi) intervals in long TTC zones. For location of the signs relative to the pavement, placement shall be per Std Dwg TC-42.20. No addenda is required.

Question Submitted: 5/19/2010

Question Number: 4

Addendum #1 replaces sheet 3 of 8 of the general notes. Paragraph three was changed by the addition of the following sentence: Remove and dispose of all grinding materials deposited on the roadway pavement in a manner approved by the engineer and before opening the roadway to traffic. In addition, the sentence under Grinding Material indicating the "the grinding material shall become the property of the contractor and disposed of at his expense outside the limits of right of way" was removed. These changes would indicate that the engineer may allow the grindings to be swept to the side of the road contrary to answers on pre-bid questions. Please clarify what is acceptable by the engineer. Can the millings be swept off the edge of the shoulder or will the millings have to be picked up, hauled, and disposed of outside the limits of right away?

The millings are not to be swept to the edge of the shoulder, they are to be picked up, hauled away and disposed of outside the right of way. No addenda is required.

Question Submitted: 5/5/2010

Question Number: 5

Can alternate traffic control application TA-4 be used for two lane road rumble strips?

Traffic control application TA-4 from the MUTCD is for maintaining 2 way traffic in current lanes during a mobile operation on the shoulder with no encroachment onto the traveled lanes. The shoulder widths of the routes on this project are not wide enough to contain the construction operations without encroachment onto the traveled lane. Use of Traffic control application TA-4 from the MUTCD is not acceptable on this project and the contractor shall follow the existing traffic control shown in the plans.

Question Submitted: 5/6/2010

Question Number: 6

Can alternate traffic control application TA-17 be used for two lane road rumble strips? We're looking for some type of mobile lane closure that can be used.

Traffic control application TA-17 from the MUTCD is for maintaining 2 way traffic in current lanes during a mobile operation with protection vehicles following the operation and no established lane closures. The use of traffic control application TA-17 from the MUTCD is not acceptable on this project and the contractor shall follow the existing traffic control shown in the plans.

Question Submitted: 5/6/2010

Question Number: 7

The general notes specify that grinding material shall become the property of the contractor and be disposed of at his expense outside the limits of right of way. Will the rumble strip grinding contractor be permitted to sweep the millings from the rumble strips to just beyond the edge of pavement or will you require the millings to be collected with pickup type road brooms and then hauled to offsite disposal locations?

The grindings are to be removed and disposed of as per the plan note, the grindings shall not be swept to the edge of pavement.

All prospective bidders, subcontractors, suppliers, materialmen and all others who have an interest in these prebid questions and answers are advised that these items are being provided for informational purposes only and are not part of the bidding documents. If a question warrants a clarification, the Department will issue an addenda addressing the request for clarification to all plan holders. If the Department believes that the bidding documents adequately address the request, the contractor will be advised accordingly.