

# Ohio Department of Transportation - Prebid Questions

Project No. 153017

Sale Date - 4/9/2015

ALL-97504 - Collett-OBPP

*Question Submitted:* 3/18/2015 3:15:38 PM

The survey data is not available on the FTP site. Please provide a link to the data.

**<ftp://ftp.dot.state.oh.us/pub/Contracts/Attach/ALL-97504/>**

*Question Submitted:* 3/17/2015 12:54:42 PM

Is there any survey or basemap information that can be made available for this site?

**Yes and should have been provided when you received the scope. Please review section 14 of the scope as to any further survey responsibilities.**

*Question Submitted:* 3/12/2015 1:23:39 PM

Will ODOT require epoxy-urethane sealer or non-epoxy sealer? Allen Co. has allowed non-epoxy in the past and with there being sidewalks a non-epoxy sealer makes more sense, please specify what areas are to be sealed and with what material? We are assuming there is no required treatment of the existing piers since no instream work is allowed.

**Epoxy-urethane sealer shall be used to seal the box beams per the county's specifications, the parapets and the sidewalks down to toe of curb. The piers do not get sealed.**

*Question Submitted:* 3/12/2015 10:45:26 AM

The asbestos report included in the attachments states the caulking under the existing railing contains asbestos. Will ODOT require any special treatment/asbestos abatement for this caulking? If so what bid item is this to be included in?

**The asbestos containing material will have to be disposed of per EPA requirements. The cost of disposal and removal is part of the superstructure; Lump Sum**

*Question Submitted:* 3/11/2015 4:44:32 PM

1. If the structure is only excavated to the beam seat elevation and approach slabs are not used, will LSM be required to be placed all the way down to the top of footings? Will guardrail be required at this structure? The bike paths block the placement of guardrail in the approach quadrants. Also, many of the other bridges in the city do not have guardrail.

- 1) No
- 2) No

*Question Submitted:* 3/10/2015 4:49:46 PM

In the scope under 14.3 Roadway the traveled width is listed as 32' f/f of curb. In 15.3 Design and Construction Requirements of Structure the proposed bridge width is given as 40' f/f of rail and 5' sidewalks. This leaves only 30' f/f of curb on the structure. Is it ODOT's intent to have the roadway constrict at the structure?

**Yes, The City wants 5' sidewalks rather than 4'**