

Ohio Department of Transportation Prebid Questions

Project No. 100332

Sale Date - 6/3/2010

Question Submitted: 5/18/2010

Question Number: 1

A "blind" bid such as this eliminates details that are crucial to the bidding process. We respectfully request that several bid items be broken up so that you may receive the lowest and most accurate bid possible. 1) TC85.21 has significantly different requirements for simple and complex spans. Complex spans require additional turnbuckles, bull rings and hardware, as well as substantially increased time to install and adjust. Please add a separate reference number to install complex span tether wire. 2) Maintenance of traffic is significantly more expensive for a two lane roadway than it is for a four lane. Please add a reference number for a two - three lane roadway, and a second for four lane or above. 3) The Backplate items of work - is the intent of this item that it is limited to new signals being installed, or is it also to be used on existing signals? 4) Since we have no way of knowing which signals we are working on, we respectfully request that the pay item for Span Wire Adjustment, ref 35, be paid on a pole worked on basis, not an intersection basis. Adjusting box and complex spans are significantly more involved than adjusting a simple diagonal span. In addition, pole clamps to attach the tether turnbuckle to the pole is not mentioned in any plan note or standard drawing. In fact 732.12 lists these messenger clamps as part of the pole pay item. To insure clarity and eliminate problems later, we suggest a plan note be added making the turnbuckle pole attachment clamp a part of the tether assembly.

We will address this question with an addenda.

Question Submitted: 5/20/2010

Question Number: 2

Would the Liquidated Damages per 108.07 be charged by individual intersection or charged collectively if multiple intersections are over the 60 day completion.

We will clear the language as a part of addenda being prepared to cover the first question.

Question Submitted: 5/6/2010

Question Number: 3

Is the stated completion date correct? it seem st be a tight schedule for the amount of work on this project?

yes, completion date on the proposal is incorrect and District will be changing it by an addenda.

Question Submitted: 6/1/2010

Question Number: 4

When attempting to verify our bid bond in the EBS File an "unrecognized contract ID" error occurs. I have been advised that this occurs when the EBS file is not properly moved to the new bid date. Until this is corrected, an electronic bid submission will not be possible.

All prospective bidders, subcontractors, suppliers, materialmen and all others who have an interest in these prebid questions and answers are advised that these items are being provided for informational purposes only and are not part of the bidding documents. If a question warrants a clarification, the Department will issue an addenda addressing the request for clarification to all plan holders. If the Department believes that the bidding documents adequately address the request, the contractor will be advised accordingly.