

# Ohio Department of Transportation - Prebid Questions

Project No. 150619

Sale Date - 12/17/2015

ATB-100235 - /TRU CHIP FY2016

*Question Submitted:* 12/14/2015 2:21:16 PM

According to Supplemental Spec 882, it states that aggregate shall be a washed limestone or dolomite meeting 703.05. Would ODOT entertain the use of ACBFS as alternate material for chip seal?

*Question Submitted:* 12/10/2015 9:39:36 AM

After reviewing the proposed pavement sections' existing conditions, the pavements are missing approximately 50% of RPMs on all proposed sections. Will the contractor be responsible for replacing the RPMs that are missing? Will ODOT provide a quantity for RPM replacement?

**A RPM quantity will not be provided and the contractor will not be responsible for replacing missing RPMs.**

*Question Submitted:* 12/10/2015 9:36:18 AM

After reviewing the proposed pavement sections' existing conditions, the repair quantity is inadequate to provide a surface suitable to accept a single chip seal with warranty. Will ODOT revise the quantities for repair? or release the contractor from warranting the chip seal?

**ODOT feels that the quantities for repair are sufficient and the contractor will not be released from the chip seal warranty.**

*Question Submitted:* 11/19/2015 6:30:29 AM

The routes included in this project contain RPM's . On similar projects RPM removal and replacement has been included as two separate pay items. Is it ODOT's intention to require the contractor to remove and replace RPMs or protect RPMs incidental to the 882 specification?

**Refer to Supplemental Specification 882.09 for the Basis of Payment for the work you are asking about.**