Ohio Department of Transportation Prebid Questions

Project No. 060503 Sale Date - 12/21/2006

<u>Question Submitted:</u> 11/14/2006 <u>Question Number:</u> 1

On plan page 142 of 191, Item 632 Vehicular Signal Head ()-Section, 12-Inch Lens, 1-Way, Polycarbonate, as per plan, there is no mention of Supplemental Specification 872. Should Supplemental Specification 872 be required for vehicular LEDs on this project and if so, is the revision date of 04/21/2006 the proper date to use?

Question Submitted: 11/20/2006 Question Number: 2

On sheet 10 of the plans it references BP 7.1 for the construction of the curb ramps. The latest edition of BP 7.1 (7-28-00) does not call for Truncated Domes. Does the state want Truncated Domes on this job.

Question Submitted: 11/21/2006

Question Number: 3

1. The as directed quantities for the waterline and sanitary sewer seem excessive. there is over \$150,000 worth of items for a relatively simple utility relocation plan that is already well defined and has very few homes and businesses adjacent to the project. Can odot review these as directed quantities as listed on plan sh 108,109 and again on plan sh 11 and possibbly adjust them?

2.bid item 84 should be in FT not M on proposal.

3.also supplemental spec 835 and its std dwg and supplemental spec 840 were not listed on plans.

Question Submitted: 11/27/2006

Question Number: 4

It appears that all buildings called out in the proposal to be demolished (Line Items 10 through 15) have already been demolished. Please review and remove from proposal.

Thank you for pointing this out. The building demolition notes in the plans include a nonperformance section, which cover this situation among others; Please bid on all items in the Proposal.

Question Submitted: 11/28/2006

Question Number: 5

Please verify if the sidewalks on the east side of the approach slabs are sitting on the approach slab or are separated by a joint. There is conflicting information between sheets 4 of 191 and 172A of 191. Also sheet 4 shows sidewalks to be included with item 511 combination walk and parapet ref 227,

Is approach slab parapet and sidewalk to be included with ref 239/item 526 approach slab or ref 227 item 511?

Typical sections are general depictions; The sidewalk and railing are as shown in Section "A" detail on sheet 172A of 191. For the limits of the approach slab, there is no joint between the back of the curb line and the rest of the sidewalk. As per Note 2 on sheet 171 of 191, both parapets (left & right), as well as the sidewalk are included under Item 526 within the limits of the approach slab. The bridge drawings correctly detail whats included and how its paid; there should not be a bubble reference "21" on the approach slab typical section on sheet 4 of 191. The joint on the back of the curb line, as shown in Section "C" on sheet 157A and in the section on the bottom of sheet 157B is for the separation between the approach pavement, not the approach slab, and the Item 511 – Concrete Misc.: Combination Sidewalk and Parapet with Steel Tube Rail, which covers that sidewalk that runs along the long MSE wall at the southeast corner of the crossing. That only goes up to Sta. 18+25.56 as shown on sheet 154 of 191. This item is accurately quantified under the bridge Estimated Quantities on sheet 152 of 191.

Question Submitted: 11/6/2006 Question Number: 6

Is the department sure it wants thermoplastic pavement marking on new concrete?

All prospective bidders, subcontractors, suppliers, materialmen and all others who have an interest in these prebid questions and answers are advised that these items are being provided for informational purposes only and are not part of the bidding documents. If a question warrants a clarification, the Department will issue an addenda addressing the request for clarification to all plan holders. If the Department believes that the bidding documents adequately address the request, the contractor will be advised accordingly.