

Ohio Department of Transportation - Prebid Questions

Project No. 150426

Sale Date - 7/16/2015

ATB-83037 - SR 11-08.04

Question Submitted: 7/14/2015 11:32:45 AM

Note 3 on plan sheet 6 states that lane restrictions or lane reductions shall not be permitted unless the contractor has a full complement of employees and equipment actively working. This conflicts with other requirements of the contract. The pavement lowering and replacement under bridges and the Item 255 repairs will require long term lane closures due to the work and cure periods involved. The lane closures note on sheet 7 states that lane closures shall be as per the permitted lane closure chart, which allows one lane to be closed at all times. Please remove note 3 on sheet 6 from the plans, or clarify which specific operations it was intended for.

Question Submitted: 7/13/2015 4:01:18 PM

Is it correct that it is the intent from the department per addendum #1 to perform pavement repair no1 in 2015 and that this item has the interim completion date associated with it and that pavement repair no2 will be ADBE after the pavement planning and potentially be performed in 2016?

Question Submitted: 7/13/2015 9:21:31 AM

Upon field review of overpass structures, it was found that plan quantity for the PMAEJS (items #77, 87 and 108) only accounts for actual structure width. To place joint at each abutment as intended, quantity will need to be doubled.

Question Submitted: 7/10/2015 4:23:18 PM

The Linear Grading note on Plan Sheet 4 states - Shoulder width beyond the limits of the Compacted Aggregate will be graded to provide positive drainage to achieve a 0.08 positive slope. How far beyond the edge of the Compacted aggregate do you intend to grade. It also, states that the Linear Grading will be performed after the placement of Item 617-Compacted Aggregate. How do you perform this without damaging the 617 just placed. Did you intend to say that. We just bid ODOT Project 150420 US30 in Wayne County and the note on Linear Grading stated this work will be performed before the Surface Course is placed. Please clarify.

Question Submitted: 7/10/2015 4:03:24 PM

Please clarify the Plan Note on Sheet No. 7 under Time Limitation, Traffic on Intermediate Course Note. Did you intend to have that read "Planned Surface as opposed to Intermediate Course". The reason for our question is because, the last sentence in this note states "THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE ASSESSED A DISINCENTIVE IN THE AMOUNT OF \$1500.00 PER DAY THAT THE TRAFFIC IS PLACED ON A MILLED SURFACE BEYOND THE SPECIFIED LIMIT.

This question has been addressed in addendum A.

Question Submitted: 7/1/2015 12:10:30 PM

Items 255 Full Depth Repair Removal & Rigid Replacement No.1 & No.2 both state that the 9" concrete will have to be placed in two lifts. Please clarify the intent of this note. These notes also both state that the repairs are to be performed after completion of the pavement planning. The interim completion note on page 6 for Item 255 No.1 is November 1, 2015. This effectively makes the completion date for all the SR 11 mainline work November 1, 2015, not September 1, 2016 as stated in the proposal. It appears based on the Item 255 No.1 detail, that the intent of this item is to perform the repairs prior to the pavement planning. Could you please provide clarification as to the intent of this item.

An addendum will be forthcoming.