# Ohio Department of Transportation **Prebid Questions**

#### Project No. 080354 Sale Date - 5/21/2008

Question Submitted: 5/13/2008

OUR QUESTION IS ON THE COMPLETION DATE OF THIS PROJECT. WITH TOWERS TAKING APPROXIMATELY 16 WEEKS TO RECEIVE AFTER THE PROJECT IS AWARDED. THIS WILL NOT ALLOW ENOUGH TIME TO GET THE POLES UP AND ALL THE EXISTING POLES AND FOUNDATIONS REMOVED BEFORE THE COMPLETION. PLEASE ADVISE

# Question Submitted: 5/13/2008

Plan sheet 16 requires 3/4" expansion joint at each end of the 20' inlet sections. Are reinforced end anchors required as per SCD RM-4.5? Will reinforced end anchors be required at the barrier wall ends without transitions? If so, please provide a separate pay item. How are barrier wall "blunt ends" to be protected? There will be a time lapse between construction of the barrier wall, the barrier transition, and the installation and connection of the guardrail.

## Question Submitted: 5/13/2008

There needs to be bid items for End Anchors per RM4.5 and End Sections per RM4.6.

# Question Submitted: 5/14/2008

On Plan Sheet 2, the sections showing the concrete barrierdoes not show sawing the edge of the existing shoulder before constructing barrier. Will sawing be required?

## Question Submitted: 5/15/2008

Addendum #1 added 66 each end anchors. Should this lineal feet quantity be deducted from Ref # 11 Concrete Barrier, Single Slope, Type D, As Per Plan, or is the barrier wall paid through the end anchor?

Please refer to the detail shown on SCD RM-4.5. The barrier wall is paid through the end anchors. The payment for the actual end anchorage only includes the extra items (in addition to Type D wall) that are required to construct the end anchors.

#### Question Submitted: 5/15/2008

After field observations and elevation checks, the typical sections on Plan Sheet 2 appear to be incorrect. There appears to be major quantities of earthwork involved in order to re-establish the typical sections. In some locations along USR22 it will be difficult if not impossible to create the 10' bench. Is the intent to just re-establish the ditch grade 18" below the edge of shoulder or is the intent to re-establish the sections as shown on Plan Sheet 2? With just the information given in the plans, it will be very difficult for the bidders to determine the quantity of excavation required to re-establish the typical sections since the bid item is "linear feet of ditch cleanout". The excavated material will have to be loaded on trucks and wasted off-site which results in substantial costs. We request that ODOT establish a quantity and bid item for excavation.

## No quantity for excavation will be provided. The intent of this item is to re-establish the ditch and ditch flow in close conformity to the required typical sections. The typical sections provided are generic in nature and the contractor will only be required to establish the ditch as close as possible to its original cross section.

#### <u>Question Submitted:</u> 5/19/2008

Should there be a deduction of footage to reference 11 Concrete Barrier, Single Slope, Type D, APP, for the installation of the End Anchors and the End Sections?

## Please see previous Prebid Questions and Answers.

#### Question Submitted: 5/19/2008

Should there be a deduction of footage to reference 11 Concrete Barrier, Single Slope, Type D, APP, for the installation of the End Anchors and the End Sections?

#### Question Submitted: 5/6/2008

Ref # 39 = 22 each Pull box 24" 725.08 "as per plan"....The "AS PER PLAN" is not stated on the plans?????To what does it refer???

The "AS PER PLAN" for the pull box refers to the requirement for the grounding and bonding of any metal pull box lids.Please refer to the note titled "ITEM 625 - GROUNDING AND BONDING" on sheet 18. Note 1 D states "METAL PULL BOX LIDS SHALL BE BONDED BY ATTACHMENT OF THE EQUIPMENT GROUNDING CONDUCTOR TO THE FRAME DIAGONAL AS PROVIDED ON HL-30.11.

All prospective bidders, subcontractors, suppliers, materialmen and all others who have an interest in these prebid questions and answers are advised that these items are being provided for informational purposes only and are not part of the bidding documents. If a question warrants a clarification, the Department will issue an addenda addressing the request for clarification to all plan holders. If the Department believes that the bidding documents adequately address the request, the contractor will be advised accordingly.

Question Number: 9

Question Number: 8

Question Number: 7

Question Number: 6

Question Number: 3

Question Number: 4

Question Number: 5

Question Number: 1

Question Number: 2

# Ohio Department of Transportation Prebid Questions

Question Submitted: 5/8/2008

Question Number: 10

The typical sections for ditch cleanout on sheet 2 of 34 indicate varing distances between the ditchline and bench areas. There appears to be a considerable amount of excavation that is normally addressed under 203 bid items whereas this work is under 209 work, is it possible that this work can be quantified for bidding purposes.

This work will not be quantified. The intent of this item is to generically re-establish some ditch flow and to remove material and reshape the ditch in order to achieve the proper flow. It has been common practice with these projects to pay for ditch cleanout by the foot and not to quantify the volume of material. A site visit by the contractor should help him determine the extent of the excavation.

All prospective bidders, subcontractors, suppliers, materialmen and all others who have an interest in these prebid questions and answers are advised that these items are being provided for informational purposes only and are not part of the bidding documents. If a question warrants a clarification, the Department will issue an addenda addressing the request for clarification to all plan holders. If the Department believes that the bidding documents adequately address the request, the contractor will be advised accordingly.