

Ohio Department of Transportation - Prebid Questions

Project No. 160575

Sale Date - 12/1/2016

BEL-89314 - CR 29/Commons Mall Crossing

Question Submitted: 11/29/2016 9:51:13 AM

We can not load the amendment into AASHTOware because the amendment file posted on ODOT's site has a file extension for amendment 002. please either rename the amendment file or post amendment 001.

Amendment .001 was posted to Digital Paper this morning.

Question Submitted: 11/28/2016 11:59:18 AM

Please provide a detail for Ref 41 Mon Box Adjusted to grade. Are all of the existing ROW monuments located in a Monument Box?

Question Submitted: 11/28/2016 9:59:59 AM

The Winter Time Limitations note on sheet 18 of the Maintenance of Traffic general notes states that all existing lanes, including ramps, shall be open to traffic between October 15th and April 1st. Please confirm that this Winter Time Limit note only applies to I-70 and the ramps. There are separate notes shown on the sequence of construction plan sheets that list November 15th to January 15th for the Mall Ring Road.

The closure/restriction dates specified in the note on sheet 18 apply to the entire project unless specified differently is any phase of construction.

Question Submitted: 11/23/2016 8:42:14 AM

Can the Structure Type Study be made available.

The Structure Type Study can be found on the following FTP Site:

<ftp://ftp.dot.state.oh.us/pub/Districts/D11/89314/Stage1>

Question Submitted: 11/22/2016 1:56:54 PM

Contract plans reference GSD-1-96 for the cross frames. GSD offer two options for girder bridges. Is it the contractors option to use type 3 or type 4 cross frames?

The plans refer to ODOT Std. Dwg. GSD-1-96 for the intermediate cross frames. The notes within this standard drawing limits this bridge type (Shop Painted Plate Girder) to a Type 4 Intermediate Cross frame.

Question Submitted: 11/21/2016 4:57:34 PM

Are Type C lights required on all drums? The drums are shown with lights on the typical sections within the MOT pages.

MOT items will be required as specified in the Plans, SCD, OUMTCD, and ODOT specifications.

Question Submitted: 11/19/2016 6:34:40 PM

For Bid Ref 145, 146, & 147 will Sternberg's RTA series Round Tapered Aluminum Poles with Elliptical Mast Arms be considered as an Approved Equivalent to the Specified HAPCO product?

Approved equals are not determined thru the Pre-Bid process. They must be submitted to and approved by the Office of Materials Management.

Question Submitted: 11/19/2016 6:33:01 PM

For Bid Ref 145, 146, & 147 may Cooper/Easton's RTA series Round Tapered Aluminum Poles with Elliptical Mast Arms be considered as an Approved Equivalent to the Specified HAPCO product?

Approved equals are not determined thru the Pre-Bid process. They must be submitted to and approved by the Office of Materials Management.

Question Submitted: 11/18/2016 10:09:41 AM

Ref# 130 plan notes list fixtures (by manufacturer) that are not listed on the supplemental specification 813 for ODOT approved LED fixtures. Has ODOT waived the SS813 requirements on this project?

SS813 requirements have not been waived.

Ohio Department of Transportation - Prebid Questions

Question Submitted: 11/18/2016 10:08:20 AM

The GE Lighting LED Fixture ERS1-0-14-B1-X-40-A-GRAY-LR-130 is listed on the ODOT supplemental specification 813 as an approved LED fixture and is comparable to what is specified in the plans. May this fixture be provided as an acceptable alternate for reference #130?

As stated in the note on sheet 225 an approved equal will be allowed.

Question Submitted: 11/18/2016 9:51:01 AM

Ref# 145-147 specify an aluminum light pole manufactured by HAPCO. Valmont can manufacture light poles in aluminum that meet or exceed all current ODOT plan and design requirements and are comparable to the HAPCO poles that are specified. May Valmont be considered as an alternate manufacturer for references 145-147?

As stated in the note on sheet 225 an approved equal will be allowed.

Question Submitted: 11/15/2016 5:45:50 PM

On sheet 200, it appears that there are two new valve boxes (one on each end of the proposed WL) that are not quantified. Are these incidental to the waterline item?

The valve boxes are not incidental to the waterline and a quantity will be added by addendum for the two valve boxes.

Question Submitted: 11/15/2016 10:48:40 AM

Will a Cofferdams, Cribs and Sheeting item be added for replacing the MH and 78" pipe shown on Sheet 192? This is a 50 ft deep MH that is not a normal trench box operation. Is there any flow data in the 78" pipe to see if bypass pumping is required?

No additional bid items will be added for this work. It will be up to the Contractors to determine the best method of constructing the manhole and should be included in the cost of the manhole.

Question Submitted: 11/12/2016 11:00:04 AM

There are several drainage runs that will be installed in the mill/fill and widening area of CR 29 between 52+75-61+85. Besides flagging these runs to install them, how will the pavement to restore each trench be paid for?

The top of the trench within the existing pavement limits will be restored with Item 304 Aggregate Base (8" minimum or match existing) and Item 302 Asphalt Concrete Base, PG64-22 (7" minimum or match existing) at all locations. The quantities will be increased by addendum for this work.

Question Submitted: 11/11/2016 10:53:15 AM

On plan sheet 69, drainage runs D12-2 and D12-1 do not match the cross-sections on sheet 141. Different sizes and pipe types are specified. Please clarify.

The size should be 12" as quantified on sheet 93.

Question Submitted: 11/11/2016 8:48:31 AM

There appears to be some quantity errors on sheet 194. For the CONCRETE MASONRY item it lists 1.2 CY which is enough for the collar on the 24" pipe, but does not include the collars on the 78" pipes. For the 78" CONDUIT, TYPE C, 0.138" it lists 65 LF as the quantity. It appears we are only replacing about 20 ft. What is the 0.138" specifying?

A quantity for concrete masonry for the existing 78" conduit will be added.

The quantity for the 78" conduit will be revised to 16 feet.

The text 0.138" will be deleted.

These changes will be made in an upcoming addendum.

Question Submitted: 11/9/2016 3:28:25 PM

Please clarify Ref.#148 (Special - Nonperform Lighting Alternates) Doesn't the odot bidding process automatically pick the lower of the base bid and alternates?

ODOT will pick one of three options based on the bids submitted. Either of the lighting options or no lighting at all.

Ohio Department of Transportation - Prebid Questions

Question Submitted: 11/8/2016 3:31:39 PM

Please make the Office Calculations available.

The Office Calculations can be found on the ODOT FTP Site: <ftp://ftp.dot.state.oh.us/pub/Contracts/Attach/BEL-89314/>

Within the ReferenceFiles .zip file in the following directory: CADDFiles\roadway\spreadsheets\Office Quantity Calculations

Question Submitted: 11/8/2016 8:08:23 AM

Reference 88 78" conduit type c quantity is 65 LF. Plan page 194 only shows 16 lf. Please advise.

The correct quantity is 16 Feet. This will be corrected in a forthcoming addendum.

Question Submitted: 10/27/2016 3:00:35 PM

Multiple pages suggest Intermediate Cross Frames per ODOT Std. Dwg. GSD-1-96 But does not appear to clarify which type? Type 1, 2, 3 or 4. Please Advise.

The plans refer to ODOT Std. Dwg. GSD-1-96 for the intermediate cross frames. The notes within this standard drawing limits this bridge type (Shop Painted Plate Girder) to a Type 4 Intermediate Cross frame.