

Ohio Department of Transportation

Prebid Questions

Project No. 101002

Sale Date - 1/14/2010

Question Submitted: 1/12/2010

Question Number: 1

The plans call for widening of the existing approach slabs. Shouldn't there be a pay item for excavation and 304 in these areas. Also shouldn't there be a pay item for 304 under the existing approach slabs since they are being removed

Question Submitted: 1/5/2010

Question Number: 2

Plan page 7 on the left hand column under "transition planing for butt joints", the first paragraph references details on page 46. Page 46 shows the completed elevations higher than the existing. The last paragraph states corrective work needs to be done when the completed elevations are higher than the existing. What is the intent of this item, to transition to a new elevation as shown on page 46, or keep the elevation the same as stated in the last paragraph. Also, the 6th paragraph under this same item states the existing elevations need to be established in 10 foot intervals, not including the transition areas. Since this entire item is for transitions areas, will existing elevations need to be established under this pay item?

See Addendum :Under Note ITEM 254 – PAVEMENT PLANING, ASPHALT CONCRETE, AS PER PLAN, far left column, sub title (1.50" MIN. TO 1.75" MAX.) – TRANSITION PLANING FOR BUTT JOINTS: remove all seven (7) paragraphs under sub title and replace with: PLAN INTENT IS TO PROVIDE A SMOOTH RIDING PAVEMENT TRANSITION FROM THE PROPOSED PAVEMENT TYPICAL SECTION TO MEET THE EXISTING PAVEMENT, AN APPROXIMATE 1.50" ELEVATION TRANSITION IN THE PAVEMENT PROFILE AS DETAILED ON SHEET 46. PLANING SHALL BE THE WIDTH OF THE PAVEMENT INCLUDING PAVED SHOULDERS AT LOCATIONS SHOWN IN THE PLAN. PROVIDE ELEVATION CONTROL FOR THIS WORK AS REQUIRED TO MEET THE PLAN INTENT UNDER ITEM 623, CONSTRUCTION LAYOUT STAKES. AN AUTOMATIC MILLING HEAD PROFILE CONTROL HAVING THE EQUIVALENT OF USING A 30 FOOT SKI-ARM SHALL BE USED DURING THE MILLING OPERATION.

Question Submitted: 1/5/2010

Question Number: 3

PLAN SHEET 1 OF 83 UNDER SUPPLEMENTAL SPECS LISTS 848 DATED 4/15/05. WILL THE DEPARTMENT REQUIRE THE UPDATED VERSION DATED 10/16/09?

Supplemental Spec. 848, dated 10-16-09 will be required for this project.

Question Submitted: 1/8/2010

Question Number: 4

This project has a micro silica overlay on the bridges. Given that the 848 spec is currently changing, should this project be revised to have the newest specification?

Yes, see addendum

All prospective bidders, subcontractors, suppliers, materialmen and all others who have an interest in these prebid questions and answers are advised that these items are being provided for informational purposes only and are not part of the bidding documents. If a question warrants a clarification, the Department will issue an addenda addressing the request for clarification to all plan holders. If the Department believes that the bidding documents adequately address the request, the contractor will be advised accordingly.