

Ohio Department of Transportation

Prebid Questions

Project No. 080005

Sale Date - 1/25/2008

Question Submitted: 12/27/2007

Question Number: 1

Can SIP forms be used on this project?

No.

Question Submitted: 12/27/2007

Question Number: 2

Can the existing structure plans be posted on the ODOT ftp site?

<http://www.dot.state.oh.us/contract/ftp/attach/CLE-24955/>

Question Submitted: 12/27/2007

Question Number: 3

1.) After discussion with industry bridge painters it appears that the completion date of November 30, 2008 is all but impossible to obtain. With discussion with the bridge painters for the amount of their work that is to be completed and the amount of concrete replacement on the three mainline bridgedecks, backwalls, approach slabs and some new structural steel that is to be completed in two different traffic phases on this project it appears that it will be practically impossible to complete all this work by the specified completion date. Would the department consider moving the Bridge painting portion of this contract to the 2009 construction season having all lanes open to traffic by November 30, 2008.

Question Submitted: 12/27/2007

Question Number: 4

Sheet 151 of 156. Bridge No. CLE-32-0734. Expansion joint detail calls out MC 12 x 45. View C-C and D-D call out C 15 x 40. What channel will be used for this project?

Addendum

Question Submitted: 12/27/2007

Question Number: 5

The department answered a question pertaining to the maintaining of traffic for the painting operation at Haskell Lane, S.R. 132, and Ramp A, that they should be included and incidental to Item 614 Maintaining Traffic bid item. Does this mean that and portable concrete barrier, temporary striping, temporary signals, temporary impact attenuators, or other such items that typically have a pay item will be considered incidental to Item 614 Maintaining Traffic if used in these three locations for the painting operation, or will any of these items used be paid for in their respective pay item?

Question Submitted: 12/28/2007

Question Number: 6

Q) The median barrier transition on CLE-32-0734 Forward Approach as detailed on 152 of 156 was not included in the quantity for REF. NO. 16 - 'Barrier Transition'. This median transition is also not on the approach slab. How is this transition at the forward approach to be paid?

Addenda #5

Question Submitted: 12/28/2007

Question Number: 7

Q) RAILROAD PROTECTIVE LIABILITY INSURANCE ON PROPOSAL PG 42 STATES \$5,000,000 EACH OCCURENCE AND \$10,000,000 AGGREGATE. IS THIS CORRECT FOR THIS PROJECT GIVEN THE TRAINS OPERATING THROUGH THE PROJECT OR SHOULD IT BE \$2,000,000/\$6,000,000?

The numbers are correct at 5 and 10.

Question Submitted: 12/28/2007

Question Number: 8

Q) BRIDGE NO. CLE-32-0734 - THERE IS NOT A CLASS C OR QC/QA QSC1 PAY ITEM FOR THE PROPOSED ABUTMENT BACKWALL CONCRETE DETAILED ON 140 & 141 OF 156. HOW IS IT GOING TO BE PAID FOR?

Addendum

Question Submitted: 12/28/2007

Question Number: 9

On the general notes page (113/156) the pile design load note states that there is 'no dynamic load testing required', but on the estimated quantities page (115/156) there is a quantity of one. Will a dynamic load test be required?

All prospective bidders, subcontractors, suppliers, materialmen and all others who have an interest in these prebid questions and answers are advised that these items are being provided for informational purposes only and are not part of the bidding documents. If a question warrants a clarification, the Department will issue an addenda addressing the request for clarification to all plan holders. If the Department believes that the bidding documents adequately address the request, the contractor will be advised accordingly.

Ohio Department of Transportation Prebid Questions

Question Submitted: 12/28/2007

Question Number: 10

1. Reference number 20 Rock Channel Protection Type B, with Filter on sheet 91/156 the plans have notes stating two different depths for the item, one of which also calls for the RCP to be grouted which is not the way the bid item is set up? Which depth is correct and should the item be grouted or not grouted? 2. Would the department consider making the completion date into 2009 for the painting only. The contractor feels that the interim completion date will be tough to meet with all the work and phasing necessary for this job while still leaving the painting operation enough time to complete their work within the seasonal constraints? The contractor proposes to leave the interim completion date for having all traffic back to normal configuration but giving time in 2009 to do the painting and sealing. We are proposing a completion date of June 30, 2009. 3. No traffic control is shown for Ramp A, S.R. 132, and Haskell Lane. Traffic control, including barrier with a temporary signal will be necessary for all three of these locations at the very least the painting operation as two of the three barely have enough clearance for truck traffic as it is. Therefore the painting work will not be able to be done from a platform. The traffic control will aid in the construction, but will also be very important for the workers' and traveling publics' safety. 4. Will the department require some sort of bond breaker for the placement of the phase 1 deck concrete? As the plans show it now, the keyway needs to be placed against the saw cut joint at the existing concrete left for removal during phase 2. This concrete will also be the edge form for the phase 1 concrete. Later the phase 2 removal will abut the phase 1 new concrete deck. Will the department require a bond breaker or extra means to make sure the new concrete does not adhere to the old concrete that will later have to be removed? Additionally there are numerous construction issues, i.e. forming/stripping the keyway, as well as attaching the mechanical connectors at the centerline. Can this joint be moved 3" toward phase 2 leaving 3" of existing deck on the beam instead of 6"? This would also eliminate the need for a bond breaker. 5. On sheet 11/156 the note for the Item 615 Pavement for Maintaining Traffic, As Per Plan, a "304 Bituminous aggregate base 10" thick" is referenced. This does not exist. Is this to mean a 304 stone base, or a 301 asphalt base material?

All prospective bidders, subcontractors, suppliers, materialmen and all others who have an interest in these prebid questions and answers are advised that these items are being provided for informational purposes only and are not part of the bidding documents. If a question warrants a clarification, the Department will issue an addenda addressing the request for clarification to all plan holders. If the Department believes that the bidding documents adequately address the request, the contractor will be advised accordingly.