

Ohio Department of Transportation

Prebid Questions

Project No. 090009

Sale Date - 1/28/2009

Question Submitted: 3/2/2009

Question Number: 1

REF.# 80 APPROACH SLAB PLAN QTY. = 119 SQ. YDS. THIS DOES NOT MATCH THE TYPICAL ON PAGE 2 OF THE PLANS. WHAT IS THE CORRECT SQUARE YARDAGE?

Question Submitted: 3/2/2009

Question Number: 2

Ref# 46 Center Line, Type 1 appears that it should read, 0.06 mi. instead of 0.12 mi.

Question Submitted: 3/2/2009

Question Number: 3

On page 25 of the plans, in the General Notes area, Item 885 Field Painting with warranty is mentioned but on the proposal it is item 514. Does the proposal overrule?

Question Submitted: 3/2/2009

Question Number: 4

Can the existing structure plans be made available?

Answer: THE EXISTING PLANS (CLI-132-0.83) ARE AVAILABLE at <ftp://ftp.dot.state.oh.us/pub/Contracts/Attach/CLI-25259>

Question Submitted: 3/2/2009

Question Number: 5

The bridge general notes under (Portions of structure removed) talks about removal of concrete over flanges and "around the studs" We have asked that the existing plans be made available but this has not been done to date. Does the existing bridge have studs? If so why is there a bid item for new studs?

A) THE EXISTING PLANS (CLI-132-0.83) have been posted on the ODOT website. A) THE EXISTING BRIDGE DOES NOT HAVE WELDED STUD SHEAR CONNECTORS. THE ITEM AND QUANTITY FOR WELDED STUD SHEAR CONNECTORS IN THE PLANS ARE CORRECT.

Question Submitted: 3/2/2009

Question Number: 6

1) Plan sheet 12 shows curb and gutter from station 21+15 to 22+42, The cross sections also pavement over to the curb. The asphalt quantities do not cover this area. Is the 301 quantity right? 2) The typical sections on the approach slab shows a sidewalk and curb at all 4 corners, There are bold lines on sheet 12 that one would assume some work is desired off the approach slab, however there are no details or quantities to cover any work. Can ODOT please clarify?

Question Submitted: 3/2/2009

Question Number: 7

Under plan note for Work Zone Impact Attenuator (Bidirectional) on sheet 5/41 could the last paragraph in the second column from the left be revised so that compensation for the repair of a damaged impact attenuator be handled as defined in ODOT Specification 614.16?

Question Submitted: 3/2/2009

Question Number: 8

Can the concrete railing (Texas Classic) be precast or does it have to be cast in place? Also, there is a bid item for Item 848 Test Slab - This is mainly done with overlays, is it necessary for this project since we are replacing the deck?

Answer: A precast substitution would best be handled as a Value Engineering submission after award. Be aware that any precast rail substitutions will have to have at least a TL-2 crash rating like the Texas Classic rail in accordance with NCHRP 350. Answer: Remove by addendum.

Question Submitted: 3/2/2009

Question Number: 9

Is the plan quantity of 2320 EACH of welded stud shear connectors to be installed in addition to the existing studs?

THE EXISTING BRIDGE DOES NOT HAVE WELDED STUD SHEAR CONNECTORS. THE ITEM AND QUANTITY FOR WELDED STUD SHEAR CONNECTORS IN THE PLANS ARE CORRECT.

All prospective bidders, subcontractors, suppliers, materialmen and all others who have an interest in these prebid questions and answers are advised that these items are being provided for informational purposes only and are not part of the bidding documents. If a question warrants a clarification, the Department will issue an addenda addressing the request for clarification to all plan holders. If the Department believes that the bidding documents adequately address the request, the contractor will be advised accordingly.

Ohio Department of Transportation Prebid Questions

Question Submitted: 3/2/2009

Question Number: 10

Pre-Bid Question:1)The resteel for the Texas Railing is shown on Sheet 39 of 41 and it appears that the resteel is being paid for under Reference 61, Reinforcing Steel, But it appears that there is not enough quantity setup for this material. Will the department please verify the proper amount of resteel to be provided for reference 61 and will they conform that the resteel is to be paid for under this reference?2)Addendum number 1 changed Reference 80 to 250 sy. Is this correct? By our calculations we only come up with 211.11 sy. / $(25' \times 38') / 9 \times 2ea = 211.11$ sy. Will the department please verify the correct quantity?3)It appears that the concrete for the sidewalk on the approach slabs is to be covered in the cost of the approach slabs and that the Texas Railing and Resteel for the approach slabs is to be covered by references 61 & 74. Will the department please verify that yes the sidewalk concrete is to be included in the approach slabs and yes the railing and resteel for the approach slab areas are covered by reference 61 & 74. Please clarify.

Question Submitted: 3/2/2009

Question Number: 11

ON BID ITEM 0038 (1" AIR RELEASE VALVES); IS THERE ANY DETAIL TO HOW THIS ASSEMBLY IS SET UP OR WHAT MATERIAL GOES INTO IT?

All prospective bidders, subcontractors, suppliers, materialmen and all others who have an interest in these prebid questions and answers are advised that these items are being provided for informational purposes only and are not part of the bidding documents. If a question warrants a clarification, the Department will issue an addenda addressing the request for clarification to all plan holders. If the Department believes that the bidding documents adequately address the request, the contractor will be advised accordingly.