

Ohio Department of Transportation

Prebid Questions

Project No. 040353

Sale Date - 6/9/2004

Question Submitted: 5/13/2004

Question Number: 1

Contract drawing no. 1 lists Special Provision "NWP 3&33,#200001098-1, dated 1/27/03. Contract drawing no.7a lists "Army Corps of Engrs permit no. 200001098-1" as included with the Special Provisions.

Neither of the above items were included with the contract documents that we received for this project. Please advise. Thanks.

ITEM 642, CENTER LINE, TYPE 1

Question Submitted: 5/17/2004

Question Number: 2

Sheet 71 has a note in the lower left that makes the deck haunch concrete incidental. This is not standard ODOT practice and has been changed on several recent projects where a similar note was included. Please delete this note and revise the deck concrete quantity accordingly.

Question Submitted: 5/17/2004

Question Number: 3

Sheets 71 & 72 have notes that call out the deck and parapet concrete as Class S, however the bid item is set up as High Performance Concrete. Which class of concrete should be used in the superstructure?

Question Submitted: 5/18/2004

Question Number: 4

Addendum #2 revised the general note titled "Date For Completion" to read that the contractor has from the date of the District Administrator's written authorization until September 30, 2005 to complete the project. Unless clarified further by addendum, we assume that written authorization will be granted at the time of contract award. Any delays by the district in authorizing the start of work will make it impossible to meet the project completion date.

Question Submitted: 5/26/2004

Question Number: 5

Line Item 108 of the proposal asks that (2) dynamic load tests be taken on this project. Is one test required for the drilled shafts and the other for the 12" cast in place piling?

Please advise.

Thank you.

Question Submitted: 5/26/2004

Question Number: 6

Line Item 108 of the proposal asks that (2) dynamic load tests be taken on this project. Is one test required for the drilled shafts and the other for the 12" cast in place piling?

Please advise.

Thank you.

One (1) dynamic load test will be required at each abutment.

Question Submitted: 5/7/2004

Question Number: 7

The note on sheet 5/86 titled "Date for Completion" appears to limit any work that closes a lane for more than a single shift to 120 calendar days. If our understanding of the note is correct then both phases of the bridge work will need to be completed within this time limit.

Sheet 56/86 has a note for "Pile Driving Constraints" that requires a consolidation period at the abutments of 30 days. Since the abutment fill will be done in two phases this will take a minimum of 60 calendar days out of the allotted 120, leaving only 60 days to complete the piling, abutments, structural steel, and superstructure concrete in two phases.

Please revise these notes to allow a realistic amount of time to construct the bridge.

All prospective bidders, subcontractors, suppliers, materialmen and all others who have an interest in these prebid questions and answers are advised that these items are being provided for informational purposes only and are not part of the bidding documents. If a question warrants a clarification, the Department will issue an addenda addressing the request for clarification to all plan holders. If the Department believes that the bidding documents adequately address the request, the contractor will be advised accordingly.

Ohio Department of Transportation Prebid Questions

Question Submitted: 6/1/2004

Question Number: 8

Item 16 of the bid document specifies Archaeological Data Recovery for Site 33CS421. The bid package includes a data recovery plan (DRP) prepared by ASC Group to guide archaeological investigations at this site. There is a problem with one of the key issues involved with determining level of effort and associated costs: the sample size required for archaeological excavation. Page 25 of the DRP specifies "that 50 percent of the site area within the ROW (7,718 sq. ft. [717 sq. m.] of the total 15,436 sq. ft. [1,434 sq. m.]) be examined in the data recovery excavations...The proposed area to be excavated totals 0.125 acres (0.07 ha.)." Here the 717 sq. m. is not consistent with 0.125 acres which is actually 506 sq. m., not 717. However, either number represents a very large effort in terms of archaeological excavation practices and appears inconsistent with the objectives of the overall project. A question about the archaeological sample size was posed to ODOT/OES staff. Their answer was that the expected sample size was actually twenty (20) 3m x 3m excavation units totalling 180 sq. m. Due to the nature of the verbal clarification regarding the scope of work I would like to request the clarification/change be made in writing so as to be consistent with the bid document. Thank you for your consideration.

All prospective bidders, subcontractors, suppliers, materialmen and all others who have an interest in these prebid questions and answers are advised that these items are being provided for informational purposes only and are not part of the bidding documents. If a question warrants a clarification, the Department will issue an addenda addressing the request for clarification to all plan holders. If the Department believes that the bidding documents adequately address the request, the contractor will be advised accordingly.