

# Ohio Department of Transportation

## Prebid Questions

Project No. 078005

Sale Date - 5/23/2007

Question Submitted: 5/11/2007

Question Number: 1

1. Note 2 on sheet 45 states that concrete required to fill the haunches is incidental. This note is outdated and is contrary to current ODOT policy. Please revise the note and adjust the bid item quantity accordingly. 2. Will galvanized stay-in-place deck forms be allowed for use on this project? 3. Please make the existing bridge plans available on the ODOT internet site.

Question Submitted: 5/15/2007

Question Number: 2

1) Was an asbestos inspection completed on this structure? 2) Can the existing structure drawings be made available thru the ftp site?

Question Submitted: 5/15/2007

Question Number: 3

Is there any soils information available for this project?

Question Submitted: 5/16/2007

Question Number: 4

There is existing falsework protection under the existing bridge. There is also a lot of utility lines being installed under the bridge in between the beams. Whose responsibility is it to remove and replace the falsework in order to install the lines, and also to protect the lines after installation and before concrete deck removal. Will permanent metal decking be allowed at least in areas where the utilities are located. We need some clarification on sheet 37/60. There seem to be conflicting notes as far as the AT&T lines. Under the "notes" section, note #1 indicates that AT&T will install their new conduits under the bridge during stage two construction. The note that "arrows off" to the location of the conduits indicates the conduit installation is before Phase 1 is completed. Sheet 45/60, Note #2 indicates that the haunch concrete is incidental to plan quantity. This appears to be an old note since it's been ODOT's policy to pay for that amount of concrete. Sheet 36/60, Is ODOT anticipating a grade change in the new bridge deck as outlined on the detail @ centerline construction.

**A1) Falsework removal is included under Item 202-Portions of Structure Removed sheet 33/60. It is the Contractor's responsibility to remove/replace the falsework to install the lines and to protect the lines after installation and before concrete deck removal. A2) Permanent metal decking shall not be allowed. A3) Sheet 37/60 Note 1 states "AT&T will relocate their existing lines prior to completion of stage one construction and install new lines in the conduits under the bridge" and the leader off the AT&T ducts states "Installation of conduit shall be done 5 weeks prior to completion of stage 1 construction." A4) Sheet 45/60- answered in the addendum #1 A5) Sheet 36/60 See sheet 32/60 for the change in the profile grade.**

Question Submitted: 5/16/2007

Question Number: 5

This question pertains to the relocation of the 6 existing AT&T cables & ducts currently embedded in the sidewalk portion (southbound side) of the existing bridge. The suggested construction procedure notes for stage I & II on drawing 4A/30 do not address these conduit. A plan note on drawing 7/30 states "installation of conduit should be done 5 weeks prior to completion of phase I". The proposal utility note for AT&T states AT&T will require five weeks to relocate their cable after the existing deck is removed. The contractor cannot remove the deck above the proposed ducts during phase I because phase I traffic is routed over this side of the bridge. Is the contractor to install the new support steel for the proposed ducts from below the deck during phase I? Will AT&T then install their conduit from below the deck during phase I? If this is not the case will the contractor be forced to remove the deck for phase II in two operations - operation 1 would be limited to removing the deck only above the bay over the proposed ducts so they can be installed - operation 2 would then remove the remainder of the phase II deck after the ducts have been relocated. Work under the approach slabs & approach pavement also appears to be necessary prior to relocating the ducts. Please clarify how the AT&T duct work fits into the proposed sequence of construction. How this work is sequenced greatly impacts the cost of duct support steel installation & phase II deck removal.

Question Submitted: 5/17/2007

Question Number: 6

In addendum no. 1 you allude to asbestos containing materials located on the bridge. There is existing transite pipe for the electrical lines which is exposed, and which also does not get removed and replaced. Is this asbestos referring to the AT&T lines located embedded in the concrete sidewalk on the bridge deck? The addendum does not make this location clear, to me anyway.

Question Submitted: 5/18/2007

Question Number: 7

The expansion joint estimated quantity is listed as 277.77 linear feet. Based on drawing #57 of 60, there appears to be only 228 linear feet. Please review and confirm the estimated quantity for the required expansion joints.

All prospective bidders, subcontractors, suppliers, materialmen and all others who have an interest in these prebid questions and answers are advised that these items are being provided for informational purposes only and are not part of the bidding documents. If a question warrants a clarification, the Department will issue an addenda addressing the request for clarification to all plan holders. If the Department believes that the bidding documents adequately address the request, the contractor will be advised accordingly.

## Ohio Department of Transportation Prebid Questions

Question Submitted: 5/22/2007

Question Number: 8

Addendum 1 added Ref. 200 (AA2) for patterned fence. We can find no detail or explanation of what this patterned fence is referring to.

---

All prospective bidders, subcontractors, suppliers, materialmen and all others who have an interest in these prebid questions and answers are advised that these items are being provided for informational purposes only and are not part of the bidding documents. If a question warrants a clarification, the Department will issue an addenda addressing the request for clarification to all plan holders. If the Department believes that the bidding documents adequately address the request, the contractor will be advised accordingly.