## Ohio Department of Transportation Prebid Questions

Project No. 068008 Sale Date - 5/17/2006

<u>Question Submitted:</u> 5/11/2006 <u>Question Number:</u> 1

- 1) Are there any roadway borings for the new alignment of Ebright Road?
- 2) Can ODOT please provide existing typical sections for all roadways involved in the project.

Question Submitted: 5/2/2006

Question Number: 2

- 1. Unless clarified by addendum, we assume that any Indiana Bat Trees have been cleared from the project by others and that the start of the project will not be delayed due to this.
- 2. Have all the changes made by addendum under the previous job number (060125) been incorporated into the new plans for this project?
- 3. Sheet 27 lists an interim completion date of 9/30/06 for only the US33 portion of Phase 2. There is no limit listed for the Ebright Road closure period. Unless clarified by addendum, we will assume that the interim date is only for roadway work on US33 and that Ebright Road may be closed for the duration of the project.
- 4. In regards to the drums that are left on-site to close the additional lane of eastbound US33, there is no way to know when the Bixby Road interchange project will start or finish. It would make more sense to have these drums become the property of ODOT at the end of the Ebright Road project and then have the Bixby Road contractor remove them. If the drums become ODOT's property then it will also resolve any maintenance issues because the responsibility will be on ODOT and not the contractor. Please change the note to reflect this and provide the spacing which the drums should be left at.

<u>Question Submitted:</u> 5/8/2006 <u>Question Number:</u> 3

- 1) What size are the shear studs on sheet 194?
- 2) What size service line is required for reference 114, Water Work, Misc.: Water Service Tap Transferred (COL 805)?

All prospective bidders, subcontractors, suppliers, materialmen and all others who have an interest in these prebid questions and answers are advised that these items are being provided for informational purposes only and are not part of the bidding documents. If a question warrants a clarification, the Department will issue an addenda addressing the request for clarification to all plan holders. If the Department believes that the bidding documents adequately address the request, the contractor will be advised accordingly.

## Ohio Department of Transportation Prebid Questions

Project No. 060125 Sale Date - 3/22/2006

<u>Question Submitted:</u> <u>Question Number:</u> 1

will it be acceptable to use non-colored concrete for the parapet and seal the formlined area with one of the colors specified on sheet 176B?

Bid the item as indicated by the bidding documents.

Question Submitted: 2/21/2006 Question Number: 2

Sheets 33 & 40 refer to Std Dwg MT-99.30. I cannot find this drawing. Can you tell me where to find it.

Question Submitted: 2/22/2006

Question Number: 3

The utility note for this project states that AEP, Insight Cable, and SBC will have relocation plans submitted to the District by 12/31/05. Unless clarified by addendum, we will assume that any conflicts with these utilies will be removed prior to the bid letting since no further information has been given to the contractor. If this is not the case, please provide specific locations and dates for any utility relocations that need to occur to clear the construction limits.

Question Submitted: 2/27/2006

**Question Number:** 4

- 1. The noise barrier stations listed in the boxes on the plan and profile sheets do not match up with the post spacing given on sheets 221-223. For example; sheet 217, locations 15 and 16; stationing represents a 12' bay, however the post spacing shown on sheet 222 shows a 10' and a 10.14' bay. Please correct the plan sheets.
- 2. Are the non-standard noisewall post spacings being used to avoid utilities or can they be moved to work more efficiently with the manufacturer's typical panel sizes?
- 3. How has the plan quantity for the noisewall been calculated? The method of measurement on sheet 212 states that the quantities shall be measured from bottom of wall; and then goes on to state that no wall below the ground line will be paid for. Do the plan quantities include the amount of wall below ground? There is going to be a lot of extra area to accomodate standard panel sizes, is all of this incidental or will it be paid for?
- 4. The basis of payment lists aggregate drains to be included in the cost of the noisewall. There is no detail given for any aggregate drains, unless clarified we assume that none are to be constructed.

<u>Question Submitted:</u> 2/27/2006 <u>Question Number:</u> 5

Bid item 122, bypass pumping, we need the flow rates for this line to develop our estimate.

Question Submitted: 2/28/2006 Question Number: 6

The parapet biditem is set up as 898, QC/QA Concrete. Sheet 201 has a note that calls out the parapet as 511, Integral Colored HP Concrete. Which is correct? If the concrete is to be colored, what are the requirements for this coloring?

<u>Question Submitted:</u> 3/1/2006 <u>Question Number:</u> 7

Drawing 131A, the general note for bupass pumping refers to supplemental specifications ss-1 and ss-3. Where can these documents be found?

Drawing 131B, what kind of base section does the existing manhole have at station 0+00?

Question Submitted: 3/16/2006 Question Number: 8

This question is regarding the Special Provisions (SP) for Reinforced Earth Walls. SP requires the use of epoxy coated rebar (Section 709.00) in the panels. Section 2.1.1 of SP also requires the use of an approved corrosion inhibiting admixture at the approved dosage in the precast facing panels. A precast facing panel of an MSE structure is a above ground precast element and the precast panel is not the dominant load carrying device of an MSE structure. The use of corrosion inhibiting as well as epoxy coated rebar in a panel is not necessary and will serve no useful purpose. Everyone in the precast industry as well as the MSE industry believes that this requirement is an error and Ohio DOT should revise this requirement. We request Ohio DOT to revise the SP to state that either epoxy coated rebar or corrosion inhibiting admixture be used.

Both epoxy steel and the corrosion inhibiting admixture are to be used as per the special provisions.

All prospective bidders, subcontractors, suppliers, materialmen and all others who have an interest in these prebid questions and answers are advised that these items are being provided for informational purposes only and are not part of the bidding documents. If a question warrants a clarification, the Department will issue an addenda addressing the request for clarification to all plan holders. If the Department believes that the bidding documents adequately address the request, the contractor will be advised accordingly.

## Ohio Department of Transportation Prebid Questions

Question Submitted: 3/9/2006 Question Number: 9

We have been informed by the ready mix concrete suppliers that there is a large cost difference between the two different colors specified in the note on sheet 176B for colored concrete. Unless clarified by addendum, we will assume for bidding purposes that the less expensive color will be used and that ODOT will compensate the contractor if they choose to use the other one. It is unfair to the contractor to make us take the risk of which color ODOT will choose since there is a substantial cost difference.

Given the difference in cost, the Department would opt for the most economic material available to complete the work as indicated by the plan documents. In the event the higher cost item is chosen, the contractor will be compensated accordingly.

All prospective bidders, subcontractors, suppliers, materialmen and all others who have an interest in these prebid questions and answers are advised that these items are being provided for informational purposes only and are not part of the bidding documents. If a question warrants a clarification, the Department will issue an addenda addressing the request for clarification to all plan holders. If the Department believes that the bidding documents adequately address the request, the contractor will be advised accordingly.