Ohio Department of Transportation Prebid Questions

Project No. 100222 Sale Date - 4/29/2010

Question Submitted: 4/22/2010

On page 41 of 45 on the right side of the plans it shows a horizontal saw cut used to remove the upper half of the parapet wall. Just below that picture it looks like the existing re-steel is still there and no dowel hole shown to tie the existing wall piece that is remaining to the new top half of the parapet. Is this correct? Does the re-steel remain even after you have sawcut it and is there no dowel needed on the backside?

The proposed parapet detail shown on sheet 41 of 45 is incorrect and should show a second dowel at the back face of the parapet and remove the existing rebar where necessary. For the faced portions of the parapets, sawcut full depth and remove the existing parapet concrete and reinforcing steel as shown on the plans except for the portion of the parapets to remain. Ignore the note(s) to salvage the rebar in the portions of the parapet to be faced. Please refer to the proposed parapet blister detail shown on sheet 42 of 45 and use this detail for all of the faced parapets locations. The estimating information shown on sheet 41 of 45 correctly provides 2 dowels for the proposed vertical parapet rebar per foot of wall. The reinforcing steel list correctly shows (for Information Purposes only) the S508 bar mark with enough length on the back leg to accommodate a 6" dowel.

All prospective bidders, subcontractors, suppliers, materialmen and all others who have an interest in these prebid questions and answers are advised that these items are being provided for informational purposes only and are not part of the bidding documents. If a question warrants a clarification, the Department will issue an addenda addressing the request for clarification to all plan holders. If the Department believes that the bidding documents adequately address the request, the contractor will be advised accordingly.

Question Number: 1