

Ohio Department of Transportation

Prebid Questions

Project No. 070448

Sale Date - 10/24/2007

Question Submitted: 9/5/2007

Question Number: 1

Please clarify the Reinforcing Steel List. On sheet 26 of 32 there is a heading for Forward Abutment with a subtotal of 15,266 Lbs. Also, on sheet 26A there is a Heading for Forward Abutment with a subtotal of 15,220 Lbs. In addition, please provide the Reinforcing Steel List for the bridge deck and sidewalk.

Question Submitted: 9/5/2007

Question Number: 2

Contract drawing 15/32 provides the artwork and lettering that will be on Historical Marker #2. Please provide the artwork and/or lettering that is required on Historical Marker #1. Or will the information on #1 be similar to the information of #2?. What is the approx dimensions of each marker?

The Type 1 marker is the Photo and the Type 2 marker is the Text. The marker size is determined by the lettering size as per the note on page 14/32. The Type 1 marker with Picture would be proportional to the Type 2 marker.

Question Submitted: 9/5/2007

Question Number: 3

Would you please make the existing structure drawings available on-line. Thanks.

There are no existing structure drawings available.

Question Submitted: 9/6/2007

Question Number: 4

The Causeway details show the access to Will Creek being from the Northwest corner of the structure. This is the best corner for access, however there is an overhead telephone line on the north side of the bridge that the utility notes indicate are to remain in place. Since the removal of the existing bridge and erection of the new bridge will require a crane these telephone lines look to be in conflict. To construct this structure without this access will add significantly to the cost since much larger cranes will be required. In addition, there is such a limited amount of room on the east end of Campbell Avenue, there is not enough room to set up a large crane. The unclassified excavation limits for the abutments shown on Sheet 14 of 32 indicate extensive excavation beyond what is typically required. Given that there are no existing structure plans available to be able to determine the extent of the excavation required, it seems that the unclassified excavation should be bid by the cubic yard in lieu of the Lump Sum. The plans also call out for the backfill material behind the abutments to be granular material. Can the material, which will have to be replaced under and in front of the new footings, to be regular embankment material?

Question Submitted: 9/6/2007

Question Number: 5

We want to become a manufactured approved equal to the bridge companies already list as pre-qualified to bid on the project. We want to bid to a general contractor as a supplier of the bridge. Thank you.

Documentation for Approved Equal status should be submitted to: Jeff Chandler; Structural Welding and Metals Engineer; O.D.O.T., Office of Materials Management; 1600 West Broad Street; Columbus, Ohio 43223; Jeffrey.Chandler@dot.state.oh.us

All prospective bidders, subcontractors, suppliers, materialmen and all others who have an interest in these prebid questions and answers are advised that these items are being provided for informational purposes only and are not part of the bidding documents. If a question warrants a clarification, the Department will issue an addenda addressing the request for clarification to all plan holders. If the Department believes that the bidding documents adequately address the request, the contractor will be advised accordingly.