

# Ohio Department of Transportation

## Prebid Questions

Project No. 103004

Sale Date - 5/6/2010

Question Submitted: 4/14/2010

Question Number: 1

Can the existing bridge plans for TR99 over I75 and SR613 over I75 in Hancock Co be made available on the ODOT Website?

**\\ctrfs100\d01\$\Addenda\HAN-82163\Existing\_Plans**

Question Submitted: 4/14/2010

Question Number: 2

Please post the existing structure drawings to an FTP site. I am unable to find the internet address referenced in Addendum #1 - Prebid Question Answer #1.

**ftp://ftp.dot.state.oh.us/pub/Contracts/Attach/HAN-82163/**

Question Submitted: 4/14/2010

Question Number: 3

This project bidding is a design build project. On ODOT's web site the Office the contracts, under design build information on the design build summary has this project listed as bidding in June. Will ODOT consider delaying this project to bid 6/3/2010 in order to give sufficient time for prebid design work to take place prior to quote solicitations, and estimating work?

**The project will remain as scheduled for sale.**

Question Submitted: 4/15/2010

Question Number: 4

The existing site plan indicates five soil borings were taken at the bridge site, one for each substructure. Is this soil boring information available? Currently, the geotech information posted with the existing plans are only for borings on the mainline.

**The information that has been provided in the existing plans folder is all that is available for this location. We do not have the borings as shown on the site plan that you are inquiring about.**

Question Submitted: 4/19/2010

Question Number: 5

This question previously submitted on 4/14/2010: "Can the existing bridge plans for TR99 over I75 and SR613 over I75 in Hancock Co be made available on ODOT website?" was answered last friday with this answer: "\\ctrfs100\d01\$\Addenda\HAN-81263\Existing\_Plans" I cannot get this path to work. Please verify where we can get these plans.

**ftp://ftp.dot.state.oh.us/pub/Contracts/Attach/HAN-82163/**

Question Submitted: 4/26/2010

Question Number: 6

After looking at a preliminary design for the proposed profile, a 60mph design speed on CR109 requires a significant amount of approach work, almost 2000', and requires raising the roadway almost 3' at some locations. I wanted to verify that this was the District's intent and if the District would consider a 55mph or 50mph design speed to decrease the impact on the approach roadway including the waterway along the south side of the road and the culvert?

**see addendum**

Question Submitted: 4/27/2010

Question Number: 7

On page 15/34 of the scope of services at the top of the page says "All detour routes shall be provided by the Department and signed by the Contractor. The designated local detour shall also be provided by the Department" On this same page at the bottom says "No designated detour is provided" Is the contractor to sign a detour or not? On page 18/34 of the scope of services, it says rolling road blocks (Lane closures and traffic stoppage) using LEO's and PCM's will be permitted for removal and placement of bridge beams during permitted lane closure periods only. For example details, see Attachment "D" This example shows 4 PCM's in use. Will ODOT approve the use of two PCM's, one in each direction placed on I75. Can this item be setup with a unit of sign-month instead of being in a Lump Sum item? This example had 20 sign-months setup.

**Question answered with addenda 2**

Question Submitted: 4/27/2010

Question Number: 8

In the proposal, Reference line 16, Item 250E99000, SPECIAL - PAVEMENT REPAIR, AS PER CONCEPTUAL DOCUMENTS 1LS Where is the pavement to be repaired on CR109 or I75? Is this item to include repairing the existing shoulder on I75 after removal of the existing Ty D Wall?

**Question answered with addenda 2**

Question Submitted: 4/29/2010

Question Number: 9

Are there any Aesthetic requirements? If so, where are aesthetics required and what type of treatment (pattern) is required. Will ODOT allow stay in place bridge deck forms to be used on this project? Thank you

**There are no requirements for aesthetics and stay-in place forms will not be permitted.**

All prospective bidders, subcontractors, suppliers, materialmen and all others who have an interest in these prebid questions and answers are advised that these items are being provided for informational purposes only and are not part of the bidding documents. If a question warrants a clarification, the Department will issue an addenda addressing the request for clarification to all plan holders. If the Department believes that the bidding documents adequately address the request, the contractor will be advised accordingly.

## Ohio Department of Transportation Prebid Questions

Question Submitted: 4/8/2010

Question Number: 10

1) Are existing plans available (HAN-75-22.12 & HAN-25-18.82/WOO-25-0.00) as indicated in the scope of services on sheet 2 of 34? 2) On sheet 3 of 34 of the scope of services it indicates under section 5, "Constructor's Consultant" that the consultant needs to be prequalified for "Level 4 Bridge Design". Is that a typo because we are only familiar with Level 1 and Level 2 bridge design?

**An addendum will be issued to address this question.**

Question Submitted: 5/3/2010

Question Number: 11

On page 24/34 of the Scope of Services, a Treated Shoulder consisting of 4ft. of 8" Aggregate Base is specified for CR109. What are the limits for the Aggregate Treated Shoulder- To the end of the pavement replacement or to the end of the guardrail replacement?

**The treated shoulder will be to the end of the replaced guardrail.**

Question Submitted: 5/3/2010

Question Number: 12

Addendum No 2 only was available after approximately 1:00 PM today 5/3/2010 and the change in the design speed is no small change. This affects all of our approach work and to get revise numbers from our consultant is nearly impossible by bid date of 5/6/2010. Therefore we request if ODOT would delay this project to 5/20/2010 due the late arrival Addendum No 2. Design build projects need more time deal with these type of changes. Thank you.

**The sale date will remain 5/6/2010**

Question Submitted: 5/3/2010

Question Number: 13

On page 23 of 34 guardrail is to be placed at 15 ft offset from centerline and shall be placed at this constant offset as much as possible and on page 25 of 34 traverse section of bridge is 28 Ft face to face of concrete parapets is this correct? Is ODOT intent that the guardrail is to be set at 15 Ft offset with a 30 Ft wide bridge or the guardrail is to taper into the bridge width of 28 Ft. The proposal is not clear on this point its either a 28 ft Bridge or 30 Ft Bridge. Please clarify Proposal intent.

**The bridge is 28 ft toe to toe, the guardrail off the structure is to be 15 ft off the centerline of CR 109, therefore the guardrail must taper into structure. No addenda is required.**