

# Ohio Department of Transportation Prebid Questions

Project No. 050279

Sale Date - 5/11/2005

---

Question Submitted: 4/20/2005

Question Number: 1

The "Design Requirements for Plant Mix Pavements" note on page 89 of the proposal states that the asphalt mixes should be designed for HEAVY traffic volumes. It is obvious from the plan notes and typical sections that the plan designers did not intend for the mixes for this project to be HEAVY design. In addition, the plan note that prohibits the use of limestone aggregates in the surface mixes makes it difficult and very expensive to make Type 1H gradation surface mixes. Please consider changing the proposal note to MEDIUM traffic volumes, or allowing the use of crushed limestone aggregates in the surface mixes.

Question Submitted: 4/20/2005

Question Number: 2

The "Design Requirements for Plant Mix Pavements" note on page 89 of the proposal states that the asphalt mixes should be designed for HEAVY traffic volumes. It is obvious from the plan notes and typical sections that the plan designers did not intend for the mixes for this project to be HEAVY design. In addition, the plan note that prohibits the use of limestone aggregates in the surface mixes makes it difficult and very expensive to make Type 1H gradation surface mixes. Please consider changing the proposal note to MEDIUM traffic volumes, or allowing the use of crushed limestone aggregates in the surface mixes.

Question Submitted: 5/6/2005

Question Number: 3

The unit of measure for Ref. No. 9, Item 253, Pavement Repair is square yards in the proposal, but is calculated in cubic yards on Sheet 18 of the plans.

Question Submitted: 5/9/2005

Question Number: 4

On page 8 of the plans, the typical 1 paved shoulder detail indicates the 448 intermediate lane material extends through the shoulder. Also on page 8 Part 1 from SLM 0.00 thru SLM 6.50 and Part 2 US 62 from SLM 33.54 thru 37.23 specifies a Type I PG64-22 material and on page 5 a lane material 448 Type 2 PG64-22 for Part 1 from SLM 0.00 thru SLM 6.50. The same problem exists from SLM 33.54 thru 37.23 Part 2.

**Thank you for pointing out this inconsistency in the bidding documents. Due to the poor condition of the roadway and the need to perform the work we have determined that the project will not be delayed to correct these inconsistencies. Please prepare your based upon the quantities in the Proposal.**

---

All prospective bidders, subcontractors, suppliers, materialmen and all others who have an interest in these prebid questions and answers are advised that these items are being provided for informational purposes only and are not part of the bidding documents. If a question warrants a clarification, the Department will issue an addenda addressing the request for clarification to all plan holders. If the Department believes that the bidding documents adequately address the request, the contractor will be advised accordingly.