

# Ohio Department of Transportation

## Prebid Questions

Project No. 100095

Sale Date - 2/25/2010

Question Submitted: 1/25/2010

Question Number: 1

The DBE Goal for this project is 8%, since this is a Hydro-demolition/MicroSilica overlay project, which limits the qualified DBEs, would ODOT consider lowering the DBE requirement ?

**The goal will remain at 8%.**

Question Submitted: 1/25/2010

Question Number: 2

The project calls out Supplemental Spec 848 Dated 4-15-05. Should the project bid under SS-848 Dated 10-16-09, which specs the containment and disposal of hydro waste water ?

**SS-848 should be dated Dated 10-16-09. An addenda will be processed to revise the date.**

Question Submitted: 1/26/2010

Question Number: 3

The traffic plans call for 50" portable concrete barrier to be used in all locations. After our initial review of the traffic plans, could 32" portable concrete barrier be used in some if not all PCB locations ?

**See addendum**

Question Submitted: 2/15/2010

Question Number: 4

This project has a bid item Pavement For Maintaining Traffic Class A by the SY. Should a bid item be added, 615 Roads for Maintaining Traffic, Lump Sum to cover all the associated road work not included in the square yard bid item.

**Payment for Pavement for Maintaining Traffic Class A includes all items of work necessary to construct the temporary pavement to the dimensions shown in the plans. Therefore there is no need to add 615 Roads for Maintaining Traffic, Lump Sum.**

Question Submitted: 2/23/2010

Question Number: 5

Supplemental spec 848.24 describes containing, collecting and disposing of the waste from the secondary surface preparation prior to overlay with the same restrictions as the hydro-demolition waste when water blasting is employed. Are these same handling/ disposal techniques required if only dry blasting surface preparation methods are used?

**Yes as per the detail in supplemental spec. 848.24**

Question Submitted: 2/23/2010

Question Number: 6

Plan Sheet 3/48 only indicates pavement removed applicable to the concrete section of South Bound US 23. The corresponding quantity does not represent the bid quantity. Is it the Department's intent to pay for the concrete ramp D section and all the asphalt shoulder areas under this item also?

**Per Pavement Subsummary table on sheet 28 of 49, the pavement removed quantities includes the southbound US 23 and Ramp D. The shoulders are also included.**

Question Submitted: 2/23/2010

Question Number: 7

There is no wearing course removal item for the approach roadway asphalt or asphalt wearing course on the existing concrete approach slabs. How/Where will the Department pay for this work?

**The asphalt removal is included in 202 Pavement Removed as per CMS 202.05.**

All prospective bidders, subcontractors, suppliers, materialmen and all others who have an interest in these prebid questions and answers are advised that these items are being provided for informational purposes only and are not part of the bidding documents. If a question warrants a clarification, the Department will issue an addenda addressing the request for clarification to all plan holders. If the Department believes that the bidding documents adequately address the request, the contractor will be advised accordingly.