# Ohio Department of Transportation Prebid Questions

Project No. 050413 Sale Date - 7/29/2005

<u>Question Submitted:</u> 7/21/2005 <u>Question Number:</u> 1

On page 154/295 the general notes state that the pedestrian signal head housing is to be painted yellow. Is this correct since normally the ODOT standard is a black housing?

Question Submitted: 7/21/2005

Question Number: 2

- 1)Does the existing waterline insulation at the Belmont Ave and 5th Ave Bridges contain Asbestos or Hazzardous materials? If so how and who will handle the removal?
- 2) Has the existing duct banks at all bridge locations been tested for asbestos and hazzardous materials? If presant whos responsibility is removal if disturbed during bridge demolition procedures?

Question Submitted: 7/22/2005

**Question Number:** 3

Question #1

The quantity for Ref #26, Seeding & Mulching seems incorrect. 116,121 SY is setup for the item.

#### Question #2

The quantity for Ref #34, Erosion Control also seems extremely excessive. \$52,500.00 is setup in the proposal.

#### Question #3

We believe that short term permanent lane closures will be needed at Wick Ave. in direct conflict with the plan MOT notes for this structure noted on page 21A. The work proposed in our opinion cannot be accomplished without short term lane restrictions.

#### Question #4

We request clarification that Belmont and Fifth Ave. can be constructed at the same time. It does not appear that the plans state otherwise however, the detour appears to have a possible conflict (truck traffic) and this is a high traffic area to have phased construction take place in close proximity to one another. The only stipulation is that no construction at Fifth Ave. can occur during YSU's football season. Please clarify.

### Question #5

Please clarify the installation of the 1" copper service connections for the proposed sprinkler systems. The proposed 1" lines are shown to cross the correlating roadways outside the proposed work areas. However, the item does not include payment for drilling or jacking the conduit under the payment. Will the owner of the road permit an open cut for this work? Please clarify.

## Question #6

From our observations it appears that the MOT plans are not very clear or completly detailed for the work contemplated. There is much work outside the closed structures that needs completed without specific MOT plans in this proposal. Is it possible to add an item for a Contractor Prepared MOT plan for work not detailed in the plans.

### Question #7

Ref #404, Class S Superstructure quantity appears low. It looks like the wingwalls shown on page 294/308 were not taken into account in the quantity summary on page 292/308.

Response #1 - Refer to Item 201, Clearing and Grubbing, As Per Plan on Sheet 18/308 which details clearing of all shrub growth and vegetation except specific trees to remain is intended to "clean up" the vegetative overgrowth throughout the entire corridor. The large Seeding & Mulching quantity is set up to provide reseeding of the "cleaned-up" corridor. Response #2 - The plan quantity is based on corridor-wide clearing and grubbing and reseeding as mentioned in response to question 1 above. Response #3 - The notes state that one 10' lane must be maintained in each direction and must be opened back up when they're not working. Based on the existing bridge width, we do not see a problem with constructing the work under the restrictions provided in the plans. Response #4 - As noted in the plans the intent of the planned phasing is to minimize the impacts to the local traffic. If there is a conflict between the truck detours, then the contractor will need to construct the bridges at different times. The contractor is permitted to submit an alternative MOT as per the note on plan sheet 22. Response #5 - These lines can be installed by open cutting the roadway as long as the contractor complies with all lane restrictions stated in the plans. Response #6- The contractor shall complete the work in compliance with ODOT standards and stated lane restrictions. The plans permit the contractor to submit an alternative MOT schemes by note. Response #7 - The concrete quantities have been reviewed including the wingwalls and the estimated quantities provided in the plans are acceptable.

All prospective bidders, subcontractors, suppliers, materialmen and all others who have an interest in these prebid questions and answers are advised that these items are being provided for informational purposes only and are not part of the bidding documents. If a question warrants a clarification, the Department will issue an addenda addressing the request for clarification to all plan holders. If the Department believes that the bidding documents adequately address the request, the contractor will be advised accordingly.

# Ohio Department of Transportation Prebid Questions

<u>Question Submitted:</u> 7/25/2005 <u>Question Number:</u> 4

The seismic pedestal reinforcing @ the piers for bridges 0243,0252,0263 are not shown on the state's material lists.

Question Submitted: 7/25/2005 Question Number: 5

I don't see the pressure relief joints for any of the bridges. please give reference number and item.

Question Submitted: 7/25/2005 Question Number: 6

There is a Type A Pressure relief Joint shown for Elm street 0292 on sheet 272 of 308. Is this existing? If not, please tell me what line item and reference number the reinforcing should be entered under.

<u>Question Submitted:</u> 7/25/2005 <u>Question Number:</u> 7

Five of the six bridges to be rehabilitated on this project are to receive new "Type A Pressure Relief Joints". Where is this work to be included for payment? Please advise, thank you.

All prospective bidders, subcontractors, suppliers, materialmen and all others who have an interest in these prebid questions and answers are advised that these items are being provided for informational purposes only and are not part of the bidding documents. If a question warrants a clarification, the Department will issue an addenda addressing the request for clarification to all plan holders. If the Department believes that the bidding documents adequately address the request, the contractor will be advised accordingly.