

Ohio Department of Transportation - Prebid Questions

Project No. 110232

Sale Date - 5/5/2011

MOT-82506 - SR-741-0.00

Question Submitted: 5/3/2011 2:04:58 PM

The EBS files do not match addendum #3 quantity changes.... Please revise the EBS files to match.

Question Submitted: 4/28/2011 8:45:48 AM

When downloading the EBS file for the new bid date there is one EBS file and two amendment files, all dated 5/5. When the EBS file is downloaded the quantities are not corrected for the revisions in addendum no. 3. When the 1st amendment file is downloaded, the changes are made per addendum no. 3. When the 2nd amendment file is downloaded the quantity changes in addendum no. 3 are no longer in the EBS file. Should the 2nd amendment file dated 5/5 not be used? If so, could that be clarified in an addendum?

Question Submitted: 4/18/2011 2:47:00 PM

In the pavement marking items, the sub summary on Sheet 35 does not agree with with general summary. I believe the sub summary to be correct. Please add the individual sheet(36-40)totals together to confirm the general summary is incorrect. This should be corrected for Ref 28, 34-43. Also the temporary striping, Ref 56-63, is based off of these totals and should be corrected too. Thank you.

We will correct these quantities by addendum and this will delay the sale.

Question Submitted: 4/18/2011 8:48:13 AM

With respect to night work, is detector loop installation considered signal work?

Yes.

Question Submitted: 4/13/2011 10:53:20 AM

On sheet 7/60 of the drawings, it appears that plan note: Item 608 - Curb Ramps (with Detectable Warnings), and plan note: Item 608 - Detectable Warnings, As Per Plan conflict with each other. The second note says that "these installations shall occur where curb ramps are not warranted". Then it says, "Each installation shall be a wet set application into the proposed concrete". Which is it? If "no curb ramp is warranted", then why is there any proposed concrete? Did the designer really intend to use a surface mounted tactile warning mat? It appears that proposal Note 608 was intended to clarify the way Item 608 - Curb Ramps was supposed to be paid, but it has had the opposite effect. Is the curb removal and installation now included in the SF of curb ramp, and is the installation of the new curb supposed to be monolithic with the new ramp. That's the way we were instructed to build 110022.

No curb ramp is warranted as the walk will be the same elevation as the pavement it is approaching at the applicable location in the plans. The wet set is required for the detectable warning installation in the walk approach to the pavement so the concrete is paid for under the walk.

Question Submitted: 4/11/2011 8:17:43 AM

Bid items 32 thru 43 which are the pavement marking items all need to be changed. They are all different in the plans from the quantities given in the proposal.

The plans and proposal for bid items 32 through 43 match exactly.

Question Submitted: 4/9/2011 4:06:50 PM

Can the department make available the office calc's for the planning and asphalt items?

Question Submitted: 4/7/2011 2:29:09 PM

Does all work or just signal work, grinding & paving operations have to be perform at night according to pg 8 of the plans?

As stated on sheet 8, only signal work, grinding and paving operations must be done at night.

Ohio Department of Transportation - Prebid Questions

Project No. 100571

Sale Date - 12/2/2010

MOT-82506 - SR-741-0.00

Question Submitted: 11/24/2010 3:16:22 PM

The quantity of Ref#24 - 617 Compacted Aggregate, is extremely high. Please check the first calculation.

Quantity will be revised to 12 CY in addendum 2.

Question Submitted: 11/11/2010 2:13:29 PM

Can the Office Calculations for milling and asphalt be made available?

<ftp://ftp.dot.state.oh.us/pub/Contracts/Attach/MOT-82506/>