

Ohio Department of Transportation

Prebid Questions

Project No. 050286

Sale Date - 5/25/2005

Question Submitted: 5/4/2005

Question Number: 1

Ref 37 SIGNALIZATION, MISC.:AEP CONDUIT RISER FEE

We are unable to get any information from AEP as to this fee. Apparently, AEP has not looked into this issue at all and an application would have to be filed to start them looking into it - a several week project.

We suggest that this item be non-performed, as the utility company fees are to be reimbursed at cost by specification anyway. Non-performing this issues would not delay the project or modify the project costs.

All prospective bidders, subcontractors, suppliers, materialmen and all others who have an interest in these prebid questions and answers are advised that these items are being provided for informational purposes only and are not part of the bidding documents. If a question warrants a clarification, the Department will issue an addenda addressing the request for clarification to all plan holders. If the Department believes that the bidding documents adequately address the request, the contractor will be advised accordingly.

Ohio Department of Transportation

Prebid Questions

Project No. 040462

Sale Date - 8/11/2004

Question Submitted: 8/4/2004

Question Number: 1

Addendum #1 has many quantity changes, will there be any explanation of where the extra quantities will be used? Also where are the items deleted from?

Question Submitted: 8/4/2004

Question Number: 2

1) Addendum one has significantly increased conduit and trench quantities, but there is no mention as to why. The plans do not appear to support the increase. Please furnish additional information as to the reason for the increases.

2) Addendum One also significantly increases ref 30, conduit risers. Where are they to be installed?

3) Plan sheet 5, "ITEM 632 - INTERCONNECT CABLE, MISC., UNDERGROUND INTERCONNECT CABLE states that the interconnect cable shall be "GELLED FILLED DUCT CABLE". What size duct is required, and is there a manufacturer that will produce it?

4) Various plan sheets show loop lead in installed aerially, with no means of support. This cable is not designed to be installed under these conditions. We strongly suggest a bid item of 1/4" messenger wire be added to support the wire.

5) There are significant quantities of curb ramps (ref 48 & 49) as well as 4" walk (ref 2) in the bid. However, there are no bid items for removal of existing walk or curb ramps.

The Trench A quantities will cover some of the removal, but not most of it. In addition, there is always controversy associated with payment of sidewalks & curb ramps verses trench A. We suggest that the trench A item (ref 7) be deleted and made all standard trench (ref 6), and that the concrete removal and replacement be performed under appropriate concrete reference numbers, which would include the new reference number covering the concrete removals discussed above. This will aid in the overall management of the project.

6) Plan sheet 5 also contains a note involving DETECTOR LOOPS. It proposes a plan to install detector loops in brick. We do not feel that this approach will provide a lasting product, as the bricks have a tendency to shift and break at the saw cut - cutting the loop wire. we strongly suggest that this approach be re-examined.

All prospective bidders, subcontractors, suppliers, materialmen and all others who have an interest in these prebid questions and answers are advised that these items are being provided for informational purposes only and are not part of the bidding documents. If a question warrants a clarification, the Department will issue an addenda addressing the request for clarification to all plan holders. If the Department believes that the bidding documents adequately address the request, the contractor will be advised accordingly.