

Ohio Department of Transportation

Prebid Questions

Project No. 050123

Sale Date - 2/23/2005

Question Submitted: 2/11/2005

Question Number: 1

In Addendum No. 1, plan sheet 12, there is an item for rigid overhead sign support foundation. There is no pay item for this.

Question Submitted: 2/17/2005

Question Number: 2

On page 14 under the 446 Surface column is shows "1.25" thick", I presume this a typo error? Quantities and details add up to 1.5" thickness.

Re: Mailbox Approaches

Page 6 of the plans call for 2" of 448-I on existing aggregate MB approaches & 1" min. on improved approaches. If we are milling 1.5" and replacing w/1.5" the new asphalt will be flush with the existing approaches. There is no quantity for milling of the asphalt approaches. Is the intent to include all costs(including milling)for mailbox approaches?

If so, per notes on page 6 state if we do the MB App. w/ the drives the will be paid under the Driveway Item and the same for the Mainline but states nothing about MILLING.

If we mill the mailbox approaches w/ the drives & pave them with the mainline surface course how will the milling be paid for?

Thank You!

Question Submitted: 2/18/2005

Question Number: 3

Completion of previous question:

In the current format, the Item 251 partial Depth Repair is left to the individual interpretation and could be preformed anywhere within the limits of the 7.85 miles of resurfacing. By furnishing the requested information to the contractors, the Ohio Department of Transportation will clarify the intent of the proposed work and level the playing field for all bidders.

Thank you.

Question Submitted: 2/18/2005

Question Number: 4

Project 050123 is to be let on 2-23-05 and the project description describes the proposed work as improvement of 4.42 miles of SR-176, 3.43 miles of SR-303 by resurfacing, including pavement repair, pavement planing, guardrail upgrade, traffic control signing and pavement marking.

Upon completion of a field investigation of the two State Highways, the intended use of Reference #12, Item #251, Partial Depth Pavement Repair is vague and not clear.

According to the 2002 Ohio Department of Transportation Construction and Material Specifications book, Item 251.02 Removal of Existing Pavement states, "The Engineer will designate the location and limits of the areas to be repaired."

Presently the plan quantity could be completely exhausted on either of the two State Highways; or proportioned between the two. Plan quantity could also be exhausted either entirely on longitudinal or transverse joint repair. Each contractor who bids this item of work will interpret the intent of the repair differently. Since the cost of performing the transverse joint repair is significantly higher than the longitudinal repair, unit prices for this reference will vary. Maintenance of traffic costs assigned to this work will also vary due to the difference in time required to perform the different operation.

The Engineer who designed this project new the scope of work when he established the plan quantity. We request that he share this information with the proposed bidders. We wish to know what portion of the established plan quantity is to be used on SR-176 and what part is to be used on SR-303. Also from this breakdown, what percentage is for transverse joint repair and what percentage is for longitudinal joint repair.

Thank you.

Question Submitted: 2/18/2005

Question Number: 5

Completion of previous question:

In the current format, the Item 251 partial Depth Repair is left to the individual interpretation and could be preformed anywhere within the limits of the 7.85 miles of resurfacing. By furnishing the requested information to the contractors, the Ohio Department of Transportation will clarify the intent of the proposed work and level the playing field for all bidders.

Thank you.

All prospective bidders, subcontractors, suppliers, materialmen and all others who have an interest in these prebid questions and answers are advised that these items are being provided for informational purposes only and are not part of the bidding documents. If a question warrants a clarification, the Department will issue an addenda addressing the request for clarification to all plan holders. If the Department believes that the bidding documents adequately address the request, the contractor will be advised accordingly.

Ohio Department of Transportation Prebid Questions

Question Submitted: 2/18/2005

Question Number: 6

Project 050123 is to be let on 2-23-05 and the project description describes the proposed work as improvement of 4.42 miles of SR-176, 3.43 miles of SR-303 by resurfacing, including pavement repair, pavement planing, guardrail upgrade, traffic control signing and pavement marking.

Upon completion of a field investigation of the two State Highways, the intended use of Reference #12, Item #251, Partial Depth Pavement Repair is vague and not clear.

According to the 2002 Ohio Department of Transportation Construction and Material Specifications book, Item 251.02 Removal of Existing Pavement states, "The Engineer will designate the location and limits of the areas to be repaired."

Presently the plan quantity could be completely exhausted on either of the two State Highways; or proportioned between the two. Plan quantity could also be exhausted either entirely on longitudinal or transverse joint repair. Each contractor who bids this item of work will interpret the intent of the repair differently. Since the cost of performing the transverse joint repair is significantly higher than the longitudinal repair, unit prices for this reference will vary. Maintenance of traffic costs assigned to this work will also vary due to the difference in time required to perform the different operation.

The Engineer who designed this project new the scope of work when he established the plan quantity. We request that he share this information with the proposed bidders. We wish to know what portion of the established plan quantity is to be used on SR-176 and what part is to be used on SR-303. Also from this breakdown, what percentage is for transverse joint repair and what percentage is for longitudinal joint repair.

Thank you.

Question Submitted: 2/8/2005

Question Number: 7

On Project 050123 in the February 23, 2005 letting, ODOT has specified a quantity of 1,310 SY of Item 251, Partial Depth Pavement Repairs.

Following a field survey the intended use of the item is unclear. The quantity could be used in both the longitudinal or the transverse direction. Please explain the intention of the department with respect to this item.

What portion of the quantity is intended to be used longitudinally. What portion is to be used transversely. Due to the fact that the repairs differ substantially in cost, relative to direction, please clarify the departments intended use of the item.

Per CMS Section 251.02 "REMOVAL OF EXISTING PAVEMENT. The Engineer will designate the location and limits of the areas to be repairs." We respectfully disagree that there is not adequate information to properly prepare a bid. The pay item is consistent with the CMS and has been used routinely in ODOT plans.

All prospective bidders, subcontractors, suppliers, materialmen and all others who have an interest in these prebid questions and answers are advised that these items are being provided for informational purposes only and are not part of the bidding documents. If a question warrants a clarification, the Department will issue an addenda addressing the request for clarification to all plan holders. If the Department believes that the bidding documents adequately address the request, the contractor will be advised accordingly.