

Ohio Department of Transportation

Prebid Questions

Project No. 080647

Sale Date - 12/10/2008

Question Submitted: 3/17/2009

Question Number: 1

Ref#'s 95 and 155 have SF for units I assume they should be LF.

Question Submitted: 3/17/2009

Question Number: 2

Will the department post the existing structure plans online?

<ftp://ftp.dot.state.oh.us/pub/Contracts/Attach/TRU-83328/>

Question Submitted: 3/17/2009

Question Number: 3

Will the department please add Unclassified Excavation items for structures 1815L&R? They have not been included in the proposal.

Question Submitted: 3/18/2009

Question Number: 4

References 95 and 115 have units of Square Feet for the Item Special - Steel Drip Strip. Normally this is paid in units of FT which includes both the upper and lower drip strip lengths in the measurement calculations.

Question Submitted: 3/18/2009

Question Number: 5

1. After reviewing the pavement calculations, specifically the pavement calculations for the ramps (sheet 16/57 Part 1), there appears to be way more 12.5mm and tack coat material set up for the Kings Graves Rd. interchange ramps than is required. Please clarify. 2. Please review the quantity of 617 compacted aggregate set up on the project, based on the dimensions given for this item, there appears to be an excess of material setup for this item. Please clarify. 3. On sheet 15/57 Part 1, the section of pavement between SLM 18.63 and 18.88, there is no calculations for any work in this section. Will any work be required in this section of pavement. Please clarify. 4. Reference 198 calls for 23 cy of 448 Type 1 surface, it is not clear where this material is to go. Please clarify.

Question Submitted: 3/18/2009

Question Number: 6

on structures tru-11-1815 left and right there are no unclassified excavation items. please add these line items.

Question Submitted: 3/18/2009

Question Number: 7

Ref. No. 240, 265, 288, 309 Item 513 On Plan sheets 119/171 and 143/171 the partial section of the field splice shows that the bolts are double nutted. is this the intent?

The partial section of the field splice details shown in the plan does not show that the bolts are double nutted. The intent is not to double nut the bolts.

Question Submitted: 3/18/2009

Question Number: 8

It appears that the total quantities for reference numbers 50, 51, 52, 53 are incorrect due to the carry forward quantities on sheet 13, from the sub-summary sheet 32-Part 1 plans.

All prospective bidders, subcontractors, suppliers, materialmen and all others who have an interest in these prebid questions and answers are advised that these items are being provided for informational purposes only and are not part of the bidding documents. If a question warrants a clarification, the Department will issue an addenda addressing the request for clarification to all plan holders. If the Department believes that the bidding documents adequately address the request, the contractor will be advised accordingly.