

Ohio Department of Transportation

Prebid Questions

Project No. 100306

Sale Date - 5/27/2010

Question Submitted: 5/10/2010

Question Number: 1

Could ODOT make the existing structure drawing available for this project? ODOT 306(10)

The existing plans listed on sheet 5 of 86 have been placed in there entirety and may be accessed at the following web site:<ftp://ftp.dot.state.oh.us/pub/Districts/D11/TUS-751-4.20/>

Question Submitted: 5/18/2010

Question Number: 2

Plan sheet 67 of 86 shows the existing stem bars to remain. Can these bars be cut at the saw cut line and new bars drilled and grouted?

NO. The existing stem bars are to remain in place as stated and shown in the plans. The answer to the prebid question does not require an addendum.

Question Submitted: 5/20/2010

Question Number: 3

Reference item 83 Cofferdams, Cribs & Sheeting. Shouldn't this item be with Structure 0423, Culvert No. 2?

The sheeting as shown on the site plan (sht 47) is to allow for the construction of the two culverts sperately and because of the elevation difference between these culverts. It is intended to be used for the construction of both culverts but the bid item was carried with only one of the culverts (0422, culvert 1). No Addendum is required.

Question Submitted: 5/20/2010

Question Number: 4

Sheet 8 of 86 calls for 1B closure to be 120 days. Sheet 49 calls for a 42 day settlement period for the embankment. Is this 42 days part of the 120 day closure? Because of the bidding time of the project (late May), the 1B closure would probably start around August 1 to finish by November 30 (the 1A phase would only have 30 days, the month of July). Any weather days or increase in the settlement period would cause the project to be pushed into winter and possibly not be opened(due to unavailability of asphalt, etc.). Would the Department consider allowing phase 1B in 2011?

The District is researching a response and will have an answer to the question or an addendum on Monday.

Question Submitted: 5/21/2010

Question Number: 5

Would the Department please review the timeline of Phase 1A & 1B? With a July 6th start date probable, based on a sale date of May 27th the contractor woud have less than one month to complete the work in Phase 1A to allow full use of the 120 days of concurrent closure(Sht. 8) (Phase 1B & 2A) prior to the November 30th, 2010 interim completion date(Sht. 8). Of the 120 days of concurrent closure, 42 days will be taken up with the settlement period(Sht. 49), provided settlement is acheived in this period. Estimate a minimum ten days for finish embankment, subgrade, 304, paving striping ect. in the late fall, this leaves approximately 65 days to finish the Phase 1A (Right Portion of the Culverts), remove the existing bridge complete the 1B portion of the culverts and complete the bulk of the embankment, which can only work semi- concurrently with the culverts. The above has no weather allowance considered.

The District is researching a response and will have an answer to the question or an addendum on Monday.

Question Submitted: 5/22/2010

Question Number: 6

There is no bid item for breakaway connection for the steel beam sign supports. It looks like the quantity should be 10 each.

All the signs that require beam supports are located behind guardrail and therefore do not require breakaway connections. No addendum is required.

Question Submitted: 5/25/2010

Question Number: 7

Regarding the schedule on the project, the precast suppliers are looking at a delivery date of mid September at the earliest. This does not provide enough time (to be complete by November 30) for backfilling, embankment construction, settlement, and roadway construction.

This is a statement not a question and is past the cut off time for prebid questions.The District has no further response.

Question Submitted: 5/25/2010

Question Number: 8

Addendum #2 did not resolve the schedule problem on this project. The precast culvert suppliers are indicating an anticipated delivery of 10/1/10. (4weeks for shop drawing development, 1 week shop drawing review & approval, 10 weeks of precast culvert production) We are researching beam availability for structure TUS-751-0432 but I suspect this material will also present a schedule problem.Due to material availability I don't believe a 11/30/2010 interim completion for phases 1B and 2A is possible.

Addendum #2 addresses these issues.

All prospective bidders, subcontractors, suppliers, materialmen and all others who have an interest in these prebid questions and answers are advised that these items are being provided for informational purposes only and are not part of the bidding documents. If a question warrants a clarification, the Department will issue an addenda addressing the request for clarification to all plan holders. If the Department believes that the bidding documents adequately address the request, the contractor will be advised accordingly.

Ohio Department of Transportation Prebid Questions

Question Submitted: 5/26/2010

Question Number: 9

Clarification on Addendum 2: Q1-Can the temporary pavement between 12+25 and 14+50 be placed at the completion of the embankment construction? Is the intent to have traffic drive on the temporary pavement during the settlement period? Q2-The 2B note addresses traffic control for the final asphalt surface course and the final pavement markings; however, it does not address the temporary pavement removal and replacement with the full depth. Can this work be done under a one lane condition with temporary signals and portable concrete barrier?

The settlement period, as stated in the plans, is within the detour time. The remaining questions seem to be proposals for alternative MOT and construction methods.

All prospective bidders, subcontractors, suppliers, materialmen and all others who have an interest in these prebid questions and answers are advised that these items are being provided for informational purposes only and are not part of the bidding documents. If a question warrants a clarification, the Department will issue an addenda addressing the request for clarification to all plan holders. If the Department believes that the bidding documents adequately address the request, the contractor will be advised accordingly.